### Financial Highlights

**Norfolk Southern Corporation & Subsidiaries**

#### For the Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Railway operating revenues</td>
<td>$11,458</td>
<td>$10,551</td>
<td>$9,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from railway operations</td>
<td>$3,959</td>
<td>$3,371</td>
<td>$3,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$2,666</td>
<td>$1,922</td>
<td>$1,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per share – diluted</td>
<td>$9.51</td>
<td>$6.61</td>
<td>$5.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends per share</td>
<td>$3.04</td>
<td>$2.44</td>
<td>$2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend payout ratio</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash provided by operating activities</td>
<td>$3,726</td>
<td>$3,253</td>
<td>$3,034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property additions</td>
<td>$1,951</td>
<td>$1,723</td>
<td>$1,887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free cash flow</td>
<td>$1,775</td>
<td>$1,530</td>
<td>$1,147</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### At Year End

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$36,239</td>
<td>$35,711</td>
<td>$34,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total debt</td>
<td>$11,145</td>
<td>$9,836</td>
<td>$10,212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholders’ equity</td>
<td>$15,362</td>
<td>$16,359</td>
<td>$12,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares outstanding</td>
<td>268.1</td>
<td>284.2</td>
<td>290.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Financial Ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating ratio</td>
<td>65.4%</td>
<td>68.1%</td>
<td>69.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt-to-total-capitalization ratio</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>45.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. Our 2017 financial results included the effects of remeasurement of net deferred tax liabilities (“2017 tax adjustments”) resulting from the enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act. For purposes of period-over-period comparability, 2017 results for income from railway operations, net income, net income per share – diluted, dividend payout ratio, and operating ratio have been adjusted to exclude the effects of the 2017 tax adjustments, and are considered non-GAAP financial measures. The 2017 dividend payout ratio is dividends paid ($703M) as a percentage of net income ($1,922M). For more information, see the “Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures” on page K19 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended Dec. 31, 2018.

2. We adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Update 2017-07 “Improving the Presentation of Net Periodic Pension Cost and Net Periodic Postretirement Benefit Cost” on Jan. 1, 2018. The retrospective application resulted in an offsetting increase in “compensation and benefits” expense within “railway operating expenses” and an increase to “other income-net” on the Consolidated Statements of Income of $64M and $65M for 2017 and 2016, respectively.

3. Free cash flow is considered a non-GAAP financial measure and is a measure of cash available for other investing and financing activities, including payment of dividends, repurchases of common stock, and repayments of debt. Management believes that this non-GAAP financial measure provides useful supplemental information to investors regarding our ability to generate cash flows after taking into consideration cash necessary to cover operations and maintain and grow our capital base. Net cash provided by operating activities is a GAAP measure. Free cash flow ($1,775M) is net cash provided by operating activities ($3,726M) reduced by payments for property additions ($1,951M).

4. This graph compares cumulative stockholder returns on Norfolk Southern Corporation common stock with the other identified indices. It assumes an investment of $100 in NSC common stock and each index on Dec. 31, 2013, and that all dividends were reinvested over the five-year period. Data furnished by Bloomberg Financial Markets.
DEAR FELLOW SHAREHOLDERS:

2018 was another year of record financial results for Norfolk Southern — and a year in which we began Reimagining Norfolk Southern through a comprehensive review of strategy. As we begin 2019, we are hard at work on initiatives to drive shareholder value, from new ways of operating the railroad to new ways of marketing our services.

A YEAR OF GROWTH AND RECORD FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

Thanks to the efforts of our management team and employees, in 2018 Norfolk Southern continued to deliver on our financial commitments to shareholders. Guided by the five-year strategic plan we announced in late 2015, the company achieved an all-time best operating ratio of 65.4 – the third consecutive year of improvement – and record income from railway operations, up by double digits. Earnings per share\(^1\) of $9.51 also was a record and up by double digits absent 2017 adjustments from tax reform.

A strong economy in 2018 gave us opportunities to increase volume and revenue. Our total railway operating revenue grew 9 percent year-over-year and surpassed $11 billion for the first time since 2014. Intermodal revenue grew an impressive 18 percent, bolstered by a 7 percent volume increase and pricing gains in a tight trucking market. Merchandise revenue grew 6 percent on volume growth of 1 percent. Coal revenue was up 5 percent, despite a 1 percent decline in carloads. Across all three major groups, revenue-per-unit increased an average of 4 percent year-over-year, reflecting favorable traffic mix and strong pricing.

With the expectation we would meet our prior strategic plan’s 2020 financial goals ahead of schedule, we began work on a new plan in mid-2018. On Feb. 11, 2019, we unveiled the new plan, announcing productivity and growth initiatives to achieve an operating ratio of 60 by 2021.

\(^1\) The comparison for earnings per share is to a non-GAAP financial measure. For more information, see the “Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures” on page K19 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended Dec. 31, 2018.
REIMAGINING OPERATIONS BY FOCUSING ON SERVICE, PRODUCTIVITY, COSTS, SAFETY, AND PEOPLE

Five core principles underpin the transformation of our operations: serving the customer, managing assets, controlling costs, working safely, and developing people.

Kick-starting this effort across our network in 2018, we began “Clean Sheeting” yards, to turn rail cars more quickly and provide more consistent local service. Those Clean Sheeting efforts will lay the foundation for our new operating plan, TOP21. Under TOP21 we will: run fewer, larger trains; balance network and asset flows; decrease circuitry; reduce classification events; and fully integrate local and system operations. A newly established Network Planning and Optimization Department will be responsible for ensuring TOP21 is executable by our field forces, responsive to our customers’ needs, rigorously adhered to, and financially sound. Supporting these efforts, in 2018 we opened a state-of-the-art Network Operations Center in Atlanta that, for the first time, brings together under one roof all departments involved in the movement and safe operation of trains.

Our commitment to sustainable business practices will bolster our efforts to manage assets and control costs. In 2018, we achieved gains on efficiency measures such as train length and locomotive productivity, and we increased traffic volumes while keeping overall employment levels relatively flat. Since 2015, the company has improved locomotive fuel economy by over 6 percent, generating substantial savings in fuel costs and reducing our carbon footprint.

Norfolk Southern’s highest priority is the safety of our employees and the safe operation of trains in the communities we serve. In 2018, the employee reportable-injury ratio remained stable, while serious workplace injuries declined for the second consecutive year. Norfolk Southern provided training and other resources at no cost to more than 6,200 first responders last year to help them prepare for and safely respond to possible rail-related incidents. The company’s safety train stopped in over 20 cities across 15 states, while our safety and environmental personnel participated in nearly 20 table-top drills in communities across our network. We were honored to receive the American Chemistry Council’s Responsible Care® Partner of the Year award for exemplary performance and safety in the transport of chemical products. Through behavior-based safety practices, rules compliance, on-the-job safety briefings, and ongoing workplace safety checkups, Norfolk Southern is striving for zero injuries and incidents.
Norfolk Southern achieved a milestone in positive train control technology in 2018. By year-end, capping years of hard work, we had completed installing wayside and locomotive hardware, acquired all radio spectrum, trained over 18,700 employees, and started operating PTC-equipped trains on a majority of required track. With these accomplishments, we received a congressionally approved extension to the end of 2020 for full PTC implementation. While significant challenges remain to achieve industry interoperability, Norfolk Southern is determined to tap the full potential of PTC not only to enhance safety but as a system that will support next-generation freight rail operations.

REIMAGINING GROWTH — A TECHNOLOGY COMPANY THAT OPERATES TRAINS

Top-line growth is a key component of our new strategic plan. As we look into the future, we currently see favorable economic conditions. Unemployment is low, manufacturing activity is high, and customers are investing. Our franchise has both cyclical and structural growth potential. To grow successfully, we will: ensure pricing reflects the value of our product in the marketplace; direct railroad assets to their most valuable use within our rights; understand the cost of our service; and make sure our service is executable. With these objectives in mind, TOP21 provides the platform for top-line growth, margin improvement, and shareholder value.

We aim to be the industry leader in the use of technology to advance our top- and bottom-line goals. In 2018, we released a new online portal that provides real-time data about shipments and other customized features that make it easier to do business with us. We followed with a mobile application that allows customers to do business with us anywhere they are. We are harnessing predictive analytics to add to the value of our service to our customers while improving our own efficiency.

Last year, we formed strategic partnerships with various technology businesses and associations to foster the development and deployment of technologies that will enhance safety of operations, streamline supply-chain logistics, improve how we train employees, and increase the efficiency of rail operations.

REIMAGINING CULTURE

Changes to our operating philosophy demand that we reimagine culture. To succeed, we must get the right people in the right jobs with the right organizational structure. Toward this end, in 2018 we recruited top industry veterans with deep experience in precision scheduled railroading operations. We renewed emphasis on developing and retaining talented employees. We enhanced job benefits, expanded technology-enabled learning, and initiated quarterly “pulse” surveys to better understand what our employees need to thrive. Norfolk Southern became the first Class I railroad to join the CEO Action for Diversity and Inclusion coalition, pledging to cultivate a workplace environment that welcomes diversity and inclusion. As part of that commitment, we introduced unconscious bias training for employees to advance our goal of becoming a more diverse and inclusive company. In late 2018, we announced plans to consolidate our Norfolk and Atlanta business operations into a single Atlanta corporate headquarters, a move we believe will foster increased alignment.
In support of our strategic goals, Norfolk Southern continues to make investments in railway infrastructure to ensure safe and efficient operations. In 2018, the company invested close to $2 billion in capital improvements, including nearly $1.3 billion in roadway projects and $675 million in equipment. During the year, we installed 416 track-miles of rail, resurfaced 4,594 miles of track with ballast, and installed 2.2 million new crossties.

While reinvesting in our business, we also fulfilled our commitment to return capital to our shareholders. The company’s board raised the quarterly dividend twice in 2018, for an overall increase of 31 percent and total payouts of $844 million. In addition, we repurchased nearly $2.8 billion of the company’s shares.

We are fortunate to have an exceptionally qualified board with senior-level expertise across a range of business sectors, providing us with a diversity of skills, experience, and perspectives. Our highly independent board is actively involved in our strategic plan and in monitoring progress toward our goals.

A RAILROAD THAT REIMAGINES POSSIBLE

As we Reimagine Norfolk Southern, we are building a stronger company for our customers, our employees, and our shareholders. Through the hard work and determination of our employees, we have delivered on the financial commitments made to our shareholders. Our progress gives us confidence we can achieve even more. The momentum we have today is exciting, and I feel strongly that our focus on serving customers, managing assets, controlling costs, working safely, and developing people will deliver superior shareholder value in 2019 and in the years to come. Thank you for your investment in Norfolk Southern.

Forward-looking Statement Disclaimer: This letter contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor provision of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended. These statements relate to future events or the Company’s future financial performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, including those discussed under “Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended Dec. 31, 2018, as well as the Company’s subsequent filings with the SEC, that may cause our actual results, benefits, performance, or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, the occurrence of certain events or otherwise, unless otherwise required by applicable securities law.
BOARD OF DIRECTORS

All directors are subject to re-election each year. Information as of Feb. 1, 2019.

**THOMAS D. BELL JR. | DIRECTOR SINCE 2010**

Bell is chairman of Mesa Capital Partners, a real-estate investment company. He also served as chairman and CEO of Cousins Properties, a publicly traded real-estate investment trust that invests in office buildings throughout the South, from 2002 to 2009. He is a director of Southern Company Gas, formerly AGL Resources. Previously, he was a director of Regal Entertainment Group Inc.

**COMMITTEES:** compensation, executive, finance and risk management (chair)

**EXPERTISE:** CEO/senior officer; environmental and safety; governance/board; governmental and stakeholder relations; human resources and compensation; marketing; strategic planning

**WESLEY G. BUSH | DIRECTOR SINCE 2012**

Bush has been chairman of Northrop Grumman Corporation, a global aerospace and defense technology company, since 2011. He previously served as Northrop Grumman’s chief executive officer from 2010 to 2018, president from 2007 to 2017, chief operating officer from 2007 to 2009, and as corporate vice president and chief financial officer from 2006 to 2007.

**COMMITTEES:** compensation, finance and risk management

**EXPERTISE:** CEO/senior officer; environmental and safety; finance and accounting; governance/board; governmental and stakeholder relations; human resources and compensation; information technology; strategic planning; transportation

**DANIEL A. CARP | DIRECTOR SINCE 2006**

Carp served as chairman of the board and chief executive officer of Eastman Kodak Company from 2000 until his retirement in 2005. He is a director of Delta Air Lines Inc., having been non-executive chairman of its board from 2007 until May 2016. He is a director of Texas Instruments Inc.

**COMMITTEES:** compensation (chair), executive, governance and nominating

**EXPERTISE:** CEO/senior officer; governance/board; human resources and compensation; information technology; strategic planning; transportation

**MITCHELL E. DANIELS JR. | DIRECTOR SINCE 2016**

Daniels has been president of Purdue University since 2013 and served as governor of Indiana from 2005 to 2013. From 1990 to 2000, he worked for Eli Lilly and Company, holding the executive positions of president of North American pharmaceutical operations and senior vice president of corporate strategy and policy. He is a director of Cerner Corp.

**COMMITTEES:** compensation, governance and nominating

**EXPERTISE:** CEO/senior officer; finance and accounting; governance/board; governmental and stakeholder relations; strategic planning
AMY E. MILES | DIRECTOR SINCE 2014

Miles served as chief executive officer of Regal Entertainment Group Inc., a leading motion picture exhibitor, from 2009 until its acquisition in March 2018. During that time, she served as a director of Regal and was named chair of its board in March 2015. Previously, she served as Regal Entertainment’s executive vice president, chief financial officer and treasurer from March 2002 through June 2009.

COMMITTEES: audit (chair), executive, governance and nominating

EXPERTISE: CEO/senior officer; finance and accounting; governance/board; information technology; marketing; strategic planning

MARCELA E. DONADIO | DIRECTOR SINCE 2016

Donadio retired as a partner of Ernst & Young LLP, a multinational professional services firm, in 2014. From 2007 until her retirement, she was Americas Oil & Gas sector leader, with responsibility for one of Ernst & Young’s significant industry groups. She helped set firm strategy for oil and gas industry clients in the United States and throughout the Americas. She is a director of Marathon Oil Corp. and National Oilwell Varco Inc.

COMMITTEES: audit, finance and risk management

EXPERTISE: CEO/senior officer; finance and accounting; governance/board; human resources and compensation; strategic planning

THOMAS C. KELLEHER | DIRECTOR SINCE 2008

Kelleher has been president of Morgan Stanley, a leading global financial services firm, since 2016. He also serves as chairman and chief executive officer of Morgan Stanley Bank N.A. Previously, he served as president of Morgan Stanley Institutional Securities and CEO of Morgan Stanley International from 2009 to 2016, as chief financial officer and co-head of corporate strategy from 2007 to 2009, and as head of global capital markets from 2006 to 2007.

COMMITTEES: finance and risk management

EXPERTISE: CEO/senior officer; finance/accounting; governance/board; governmental and stakeholder relations; human resources and compensation; strategic planning

STEVEN F. LEER | LEAD DIRECTOR | DIRECTOR SINCE 1999

Leer served as the chief executive officer of Arch Coal Inc., a company engaged in coal mining and related businesses, from 1992 through 2012. He was chairman of its board from 2006 through 2012 and its executive chairman from 2012 through 2014. He served as senior advisor to the president and CEO of Arch Coal from 2014 through May 2015. He is a director of Cenovus Energy Inc. and the non-executive chairman of USG Corporation.

COMMITTEES: compensation, executive, governance and nominating (chair)

EXPERTISE: CEO/senior officer; environmental and safety; governance/board; governmental and stakeholder relations; human resources and compensation; marketing; strategic planning; transportation

MICHAEL D. LOCKHART | DIRECTOR SINCE 2008

Lockhart served as chairman of the board, president and chief executive officer of Armstrong World Industries Inc., and its predecessor, Armstrong Holdings Inc., a leading global producer of flooring products and ceiling systems, from 2000 until his retirement in February 2010. Previously, he served as chairman and chief executive officer of General Signal Corporation, a diversified manufacturer, from September 1995 until it was acquired in 1998.

COMMITTEES: audit, finance and risk management

EXPERTISE: CEO/senior officer; environmental and safety; finance and accounting; governance/board; marketing; strategic planning; transportation

THOMAS C. KELLEHER | DIRECTOR SINCE 2008

Kelleher has been president of Morgan Stanley, a leading global financial services firm, since 2016. He also serves as chairman and chief executive officer of Morgan Stanley Bank N.A. Previously, he served as president of Morgan Stanley Institutional Securities and CEO of Morgan Stanley International from 2009 to 2016, as chief financial officer and co-head of corporate strategy from 2007 to 2009, and as head of global capital markets from 2006 to 2007.

COMMITTEES: finance and risk management

EXPERTISE: CEO/senior officer; finance/accounting; governance/board; governmental and stakeholder relations; human resources and compensation; strategic planning

MARCELA E. DONADIO | DIRECTOR SINCE 2016

Donadio retired as a partner of Ernst & Young LLP, a multinational professional services firm, in 2014. From 2007 until her retirement, she was Americas Oil & Gas sector leader, with responsibility for one of Ernst & Young’s significant industry groups. She helped set firm strategy for oil and gas industry clients in the United States and throughout the Americas. She is a director of Marathon Oil Corp. and National Oilwell Varco Inc.

COMMITTEES: audit, finance and risk management

EXPERTISE: CEO/senior officer; finance and accounting; governance/board; human resources and compensation; strategic planning

MICHAEL D. LOCKHART | DIRECTOR SINCE 2008

Lockhart served as chairman of the board, president and chief executive officer of Armstrong World Industries Inc., and its predecessor, Armstrong Holdings Inc., a leading global producer of flooring products and ceiling systems, from 2000 until his retirement in February 2010. Previously, he served as chairman and chief executive officer of General Signal Corporation, a diversified manufacturer, from September 1995 until it was acquired in 1998.

COMMITTEES: audit, finance and risk management

EXPERTISE: CEO/senior officer; environmental and safety; finance and accounting; governance/board; marketing; strategic planning; transportation

AMY E. MILES | DIRECTOR SINCE 2014

Miles served as chief executive officer of Regal Entertainment Group Inc., a leading motion picture exhibitor, from 2009 until its acquisition in March 2018. During that time, she served as a director of Regal and was named chair of its board in March 2015. Previously, she served as Regal Entertainment’s executive vice president, chief financial officer and treasurer from March 2002 through June 2009.

COMMITTEES: audit (chair), executive, governance and nominating

EXPERTISE: CEO/senior officer; finance and accounting; governance/board; information technology; marketing; strategic planning
JAMES A. SQUIRES | CHAIRMAN, PRESIDENT AND CEO | DIRECTOR SINCE 2014

Squires has been president of Norfolk Southern since 2013 and chief executive officer since June 2015. He was named chairman of the board of Norfolk Southern in October 2015. Previously, he served as Norfolk Southern’s executive vice president administration, executive vice president finance and chief financial officer, senior vice president finance, senior vice president law, and vice president law.

COMMITTEES: executive (chair)

EXPERTISE: CEO/senior officer; finance and accounting; governance/board; governmental and stakeholder relations; human resources and compensation; marketing; strategic planning; transportation

MARTIN H. NESBITT | DIRECTOR SINCE 2013

Nesbitt is the co-founder of The Vistria Group, a private equity firm. He served as president and chief executive officer of PRG Parking Management LLC, an off-airport parking management company, and managing director of Green Courte Partners LLC, a real-estate investment firm, until 2012. He is a director of Jones Lang LaSalle Inc., American Airlines Group Inc., and CenterPoint Energy, Inc.

COMMITTEES: audit, finance and risk management

EXPERTISE: CEO/senior officer; finance and accounting; governance/board; governmental and stakeholder relations; marketing; strategic planning

JENNIFER F. SCANLON | DIRECTOR SINCE 2018

Scanlon has been president and chief executive officer of USG Corporation, an industry-leading manufacturer of building products and innovative solutions, since November 2016. Previously, she was president of the company’s international business, president of its L&W Supply Corporation, and chief information officer and chairman of the board for USG Boral Building Products. She was elected to USG’s board in September 2016.

COMMITTEES: compensation, finance and risk management

EXPERTISE: CEO/senior officer; environmental and safety; governance/board; information technology; marketing; strategic planning; transportation

JOHN R. THOMPSON | DIRECTOR SINCE 2013

Thompson served as a government relations consultant for Best Buy Co. Inc., a multinational consumer electronics corporation, from October 2012 to April 2016. He served as senior vice president and general manager of BestBuy.com LLC, a subsidiary of Best Buy Co. Inc., from 2002 through 2012. Previously, he was a director of Belk Inc. and Wendy’s International Inc.

COMMITTEES: audit, governance and nominating

EXPERTISE: CEO/senior officer; finance and accounting; governance/board; governmental and stakeholder relations; information technology; marketing; strategic planning
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Item 1. Business and Item 2. Properties

GENERAL – Our company, Norfolk Southern Corporation (Norfolk Southern), is a Norfolk, Virginia-based company that owns a major freight railroad, Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NSR). We were incorporated on July 23, 1980, under the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia. Our common stock (Common Stock) is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol “NSC.”

Unless indicated otherwise, Norfolk Southern Corporation and its subsidiaries, including NSR, are referred to collectively as NS, we, us, and our.

We are primarily engaged in the rail transportation of raw materials, intermediate products, and finished goods primarily in the Southeast, East, and Midwest and, via interchange with rail carriers, to and from the rest of the United States. We also transport overseas freight through several Atlantic and Gulf Coast ports. We offer the most extensive intermodal network in the eastern half of the United States.

We make available free of charge through our website, www.norfolksouthern.com, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). In addition, the following documents are available on our website and in print to any shareholder who requests them:

- Corporate Governance Guidelines
- Charters of the Committees of the Board of Directors
- The Thoroughbred Code of Ethics
- Code of Ethical Conduct for Senior Financial Officers
- Categorical Independence Standards for Directors
- Norfolk Southern Corporation Bylaws
RAILROAD OPERATIONS – At December 31, 2018, our railroad operated approximately 19,500 route miles in 22 states and the District of Columbia.

Our system reaches many manufacturing plants, electric generating facilities, mines, distribution centers, transload facilities, and other businesses located in our service area.

Corridors with heaviest freight volume:
- New York City area to Chicago (via Allentown and Pittsburgh)
- Chicago to Macon (via Cincinnati, Chattanooga, and Atlanta)
- Central Ohio to Norfolk (via Columbus and Roanoke)
- Birmingham to Meridian
- Cleveland to Kansas City
- Memphis to Chattanooga
The miles operated, which include major leased lines between Cincinnati, Ohio, and Chattanooga, Tennessee, and an exclusive operating agreement for trackage rights over property owned by North Carolina Railroad Company, were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route Miles</th>
<th>Second and Other Main Track</th>
<th>Passing Track, Crossovers and Turnouts</th>
<th>Way and Yard Switching</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owned</td>
<td>14,664</td>
<td>2,755</td>
<td>1,949</td>
<td>8,319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operated under lease, contract or trackage rights</td>
<td>4,756</td>
<td>1,943</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19,420</td>
<td>4,698</td>
<td>2,347</td>
<td>9,153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We operate freight service over lines with significant ongoing Amtrak and commuter passenger operations, and conduct freight operations over trackage owned or leased by Amtrak, New Jersey Transit, Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority, Metro-North Commuter Railroad Company, Maryland Department of Transportation, and Michigan Department of Transportation.

The following table sets forth certain statistics relating to our railroads’ operations for the past five years:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue ton miles (billions)</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue per thousand revenue ton miles</td>
<td>$55.25</td>
<td>$52.38</td>
<td>$51.91</td>
<td>$52.63</td>
<td>$56.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue ton miles (thousands) per railroad employee</td>
<td>7,822</td>
<td>7,474</td>
<td>6,838</td>
<td>6,645</td>
<td>7,054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of railway operating expenses to railway operating revenues (Railway operating ratio)</td>
<td>65.4%</td>
<td>66.6% 2</td>
<td>69.6% 2</td>
<td>72.8% 2</td>
<td>69.4% 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway operating ratio, excluding the effects of the 2017 tax adjustments (non-GAAP)</td>
<td>65.4%</td>
<td>68.1% 1,2</td>
<td>69.6% 2</td>
<td>72.8% 2</td>
<td>69.4% 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1  See reconciliation to U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) in Item 7 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”

2  We adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2017-07 on January 1, 2018. The retrospective application resulted in an increase in “Railway operating expenses” and therefore an increase to the “Railway operating ratio” for all years presented prior to 2018. See additional details in Item 8 “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” in Note 1.

**RAILWAY OPERATING REVENUES** – Total railway operating revenues were $11.5 billion in 2018. Following is an overview of our three major commodity groups. See the discussion of merchandise revenues by commodity group, intermodal revenues, and coal revenues and tonnage in Item 7 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”
MERCHANDISE – Our merchandise commodity group is composed of five groupings:

- Chemicals includes sulfur and related chemicals, petroleum products (including crude oil), chlorine and bleaching compounds, plastics, rubber, industrial chemicals, and chemical wastes.
- Agriculture, consumer products, and government includes soybeans, wheat, corn, fertilizer, livestock and poultry feed, food oils, flour, beverages, canned goods, sweeteners, consumer products, ethanol, transportation equipment, and items for the U.S. military.
- Metals and construction includes steel, aluminum products, machinery, scrap metals, cement, aggregates, sand, and minerals.
- Automotive includes finished motor vehicles and automotive parts.
- Paper, clay and forest products includes lumber and wood products, pulp board and paper products, wood fibers, wood pulp, scrap paper, and clay.

Merchandise carloads handled in 2018 were 2.5 million, the revenues from which accounted for 59% of our total railway operating revenues.

INTERMODAL – Our intermodal commodity group consists of shipments moving in domestic and international containers and trailers. These shipments are handled on behalf of intermodal marketing companies, international steamship lines, truckers, and other shippers. Intermodal units handled in 2018 were 4.4 million, the revenues from which accounted for 25% of our total railway operating revenues.

COAL – Revenues from coal accounted for 16% of our total railway operating revenues in 2018. We handled 115 million tons, or 1.0 million carloads, in 2018, most of which originated on our lines from major eastern coal basins, with the balance from major western coal basins received via the Memphis and Chicago gateways. Our coal franchise supports the electric generation market, serving approximately 70 coal generation plants, as well as the export, domestic metallurgical and industrial markets, primarily through direct rail and river, lake, and coastal facilities, including various terminals on the Ohio River, Lamberts Point in Norfolk, Virginia, the Port of Baltimore, and Lake Erie.

FREIGHT RATES – Our predominant pricing mechanisms, private contracts and exempt price quotes, are not subject to regulation. In general, market forces are the primary determinant of rail service prices.

RAILWAY PROPERTY

Our railroad infrastructure makes us capital intensive with net property of approximately $31 billion on a historical cost basis.

Property Additions – Property additions for the past five years were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road and other property</td>
<td>$1,276</td>
<td>$1,210</td>
<td>$1,292</td>
<td>$1,514</td>
<td>$1,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware &amp; Hudson acquisition</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,951</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,723</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,887</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,385</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,118</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our capital spending and replacement programs are and have been designed to assure the ability to provide safe, efficient, and reliable rail transportation services.
**Equipment** – At December 31, 2018, we owned or leased the following units of equipment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment Category</th>
<th>Owned</th>
<th>Leased</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Capacity of Equipment (Horsepower)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Locomotives:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple purpose</td>
<td>3,900</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3,976</td>
<td>15,229,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary units</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>64,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total locomotives</td>
<td>4,121</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>4,197</td>
<td>15,293,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight cars:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gondola</td>
<td>24,768</td>
<td>4,048</td>
<td>28,816</td>
<td>3,205,609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopper</td>
<td>11,001</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11,001</td>
<td>1,244,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered hopper</td>
<td>8,323</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>8,408</td>
<td>932,767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box</td>
<td>7,125</td>
<td>1,251</td>
<td>8,376</td>
<td>726,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>1,685</td>
<td>1,608</td>
<td>3,293</td>
<td>312,537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1,597</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,601</td>
<td>73,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total freight cars</td>
<td>54,499</td>
<td>6,996</td>
<td>61,495</td>
<td>6,494,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chassis</td>
<td>33,865</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>33,865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Containers</td>
<td>17,664</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>17,664</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work equipment</td>
<td>7,117</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>7,375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles</td>
<td>3,591</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>3,724</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>2,381</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2,381</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total other</td>
<td>64,618</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>65,009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table indicates the number and year built for locomotives and freight cars owned at December 31, 2018:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Locomotives:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of units</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>3,088</td>
<td>4,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of fleet</td>
<td>—%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>—%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight cars:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of units</td>
<td></td>
<td>470</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>2,091</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>6,464</td>
<td>4,080</td>
<td>39,722</td>
<td>54,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of fleet</td>
<td>—%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following table shows the average age of our owned locomotive and freight car fleets at December 31, 2018, and information regarding 2018 retirements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Locomotives</th>
<th>Freight Cars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average age – in</td>
<td>25.2 years</td>
<td>28.7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirements</td>
<td>37 units</td>
<td>2,748 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age – retired</td>
<td>42.9 years</td>
<td>44.7 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Track Maintenance** – Of the approximately 35,600 total miles of track on which we operate, we are responsible for maintaining approximately 28,400 miles, with the remainder being operated under trackage rights from other parties responsible for maintenance.

Over 83% of the main line trackage (including first, second, third, and branch main tracks, all excluding rail operated pursuant to trackage rights) has rail ranging from 131 to 155 pounds per yard with the standard installation currently at 136 pounds per yard. Approximately 47% of our lines, excluding rail operated pursuant to trackage rights, carried 20 million or more gross tons per track mile during 2018.

The following table summarizes several measurements regarding our track roadway additions and replacements during the past five years:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Track miles of rail</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>installed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles of track</td>
<td>4,594</td>
<td>5,368</td>
<td>4,984</td>
<td>5,074</td>
<td>5,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surfaced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossties installed</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(millions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Traffic Control** – Of the approximately 16,400 route miles we dispatch, about 11,300 miles are signalized, including 8,500 miles of centralized traffic control (CTC) and 2,800 miles of automatic block signals. Of the 8,500 miles of CTC, approximately 7,600 miles are controlled by data radio originating at 355 base station radio sites.

**ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS** – Compliance with federal, state, and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment is one of our principal goals. To date, such compliance has not had a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, liquidity, or competitive position. See Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

**EMPLOYEES** – The following table shows the average number of employees and the average cost per employee for wages and benefits:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average number of</td>
<td>26,662</td>
<td>27,110</td>
<td>28,044</td>
<td>30,456</td>
<td>29,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>employees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average wage cost</td>
<td>$ 83,000</td>
<td>$ 79,000</td>
<td>$ 76,000</td>
<td>$ 77,000</td>
<td>$ 76,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>per employee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average benefit</td>
<td>$ 39,000</td>
<td>$ 42,000</td>
<td>$ 35,000</td>
<td>$ 32,000</td>
<td>$ 35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cost per employee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approximately 80% of our railroad employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements with various labor unions. See the discussion of “Labor Agreements” in Item 7 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”
GOVERNMENT REGULATION – In addition to environmental, safety, securities, and other regulations generally applicable to all business, our railroads are subject to regulation by the U.S. Surface Transportation Board (STB). The STB has jurisdiction to varying extents over rates, routes, customer access provisions, fuel surcharges, conditions of service, and the extension or abandonment of rail lines. The STB has jurisdiction to determine whether we are “revenue adequate” on an annual basis based on the results of the prior year. A railroad is “revenue adequate” on an annual basis under the applicable law when its return on net investment exceeds the rail industry’s composite cost of capital. This determination is made pursuant to a statutory requirement. The STB also has jurisdiction over the consolidation, merger, or acquisition of control of and by rail common carriers.

The relaxation of economic regulation of railroads, following the Staggers Rail Act of 1980, included exemption from STB regulation of the rates and most service terms for intermodal business (trailer-on-flat-car, container-on-flat-car), rail boxcar shipments, lumber, manufactured steel, automobiles, and certain bulk commodities such as sand, gravel, pulpwod, and wood chips for paper manufacturing. Further, all shipments that we have under contract are effectively removed from commercial regulation for the duration of the contract. Approximately 90% of our revenues comes from either exempt shipments or shipments moving under transportation contracts; the remainder comes from shipments moving under public tariff rates.

Efforts have been made over the past several years to increase federal economic regulation of the rail industry, and such efforts are expected to continue in 2019. The Staggers Rail Act of 1980 substantially balanced the interests of shippers and rail carriers, and encouraged and enabled rail carriers to innovate, invest in their infrastructure, and compete for business, thereby contributing to the economic health of the nation and to the revitalization of the industry. Accordingly, we will continue to oppose efforts to reimpose increased economic regulation.

Government regulations are discussed within Item 1A “Risk Factors” and the safety and security of our railroads are discussed within the “Security of Operations” section contained herein.

COMPETITION – There is continuing strong competition among rail, water, and highway carriers. Price is usually only one factor of importance as shippers and receivers choose a transport mode and specific hauling company. Inventory carrying costs, service reliability, ease of handling, and the desire to avoid loss and damage during transit are also important considerations, especially for higher-valued finished goods, machinery, and consumer products. Even for raw materials, semi-finished goods, and work-in-progress, users are increasingly sensitive to transport arrangements that minimize problems at successive production stages.

Our primary rail competitor is CSX Corporation (CSX); both NS and CSX operate throughout much of the same territory. Other railroads also operate in parts of the territory. We also compete with motor carriers, water carriers, and with shippers who have the additional options of handling their own goods in private carriage, sourcing products from different geographic areas, and using substitute products.

Certain marketing strategies to expand reach and shipping options among railroads and between railroads and motor carriers enable railroads to compete more effectively in specific markets.

SECURITY OF OPERATIONS – We continue to enhance the security of our rail system. Our comprehensive security plan is modeled on and was developed in conjunction with the security plan prepared by the Association of American Railroads (AAR) post September 11, 2001. The AAR Security Plan defines four Alert Levels and details the actions and countermeasures that are being applied across the railroad industry as a terrorist threat increases or decreases. The Alert Level actions include countermeasures that will be applied in three general areas: (1) operations (including transportation, engineering, and mechanical); (2) information technology and communications; and, (3) railroad police. All of our Operations Division employees are advised by their supervisors or train dispatchers, as appropriate, of any change in Alert Level and any additional responsibilities they may incur due to such change.

Our plan also complies with U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) security regulations pertaining to training and security plans with respect to the transportation of hazardous materials. As part of the plan, security awareness
training is given to all railroad employees who directly affect hazardous material transportation safety, and is integrated into hazardous material training programs. Additionally, location-specific security plans are in place for certain metropolitan areas and each of the six facilities we operate that are under U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) Maritime Security Regulations. With respect to these facilities, each facility’s security plan has been approved by the applicable Captain of the Port and remains subject to inspection by the USCG.

Additionally, we continue to engage in close and regular coordination with numerous federal and state agencies, including the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), the Transportation Security Administration, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), the USCG, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, the Department of Defense, and various state Homeland Security offices. Similarly, we follow guidance from DHS and DOT regarding rail corridors in High Threat Urban Areas (HTUA). Particular attention is aimed at reducing risk in HTUA by: (1) the establishment of secure storage areas for rail cars carrying toxic-by-inhalation (TIH) materials; (2) the expedited movement of trains transporting rail cars carrying TIH materials; (3) substantially reducing the number of unattended loaded tank cars carrying TIH materials; and (4) cooperation with federal, state, local, and tribal governments to identify those locations where security risks are the highest.

In 2018, through participation in the Transportation Community Awareness and Emergency Response Program, we provided rail accident response training to approximately 6,300 emergency responders, such as local police and fire personnel. Our other training efforts throughout 2018 included participation in drills for local, state, and federal agencies. We also have ongoing programs to sponsor local emergency responders at the Security and Emergency Response Training Course conducted at the AAR Transportation Technology Center in Pueblo, Colorado.

We also continually evaluate ourselves for appropriate business continuity and disaster recovery planning, with test scenarios that include cybersecurity attacks. Our risk-based information security program helps ensure our defenses and resources are aligned to address the most likely and most damaging potential attacks, to provide support for our organizational mission and operational objectives, and to keep us in the best position to detect, mitigate, and recover from a wide variety of potential attacks in a timely fashion.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

The risks set forth in the following risk factors could have a materially adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or liquidity in a particular year or quarter, and could cause those results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in our forward-looking statements. The information set forth in this Item 1A “Risk Factors” should be read in conjunction with the rest of the information included in this annual report, including Item 7 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and Item 8 “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”

**Significant governmental legislation and regulation over commercial, operating and environmental matters could affect us, our customers, and the markets we serve.** Congress can enact laws that could increase economic regulation of the industry. Railroads presently are subject to commercial regulation by the STB, which has jurisdiction to varying extents over rates, routes, customer access provisions, fuel surcharges, conditions of service, and the extension or abandonment of rail lines. The STB also has jurisdiction over the consolidation, merger, or acquisition of control of and by rail common carriers. Additional economic regulation of the rail industry by Congress or the STB, whether under new or existing laws, could have a significant negative impact on our ability to negotiate prices for rail services, on railway operating revenues, and on the efficiency of our operations. This potential material adverse effect could also result in reduced capital spending on our rail network or abandonment of lines.

Railroads are also subject to the enactment of laws by Congress and regulation by the DOT and the DHS (which regulate most aspects of our operations) related to safety and security. The Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008, the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2015, and the implementing regulations promulgated by the FRA (collectively “the PTC laws and regulations”) require us (and each other Class I railroad) to implement, on certain mainline track where intercity and commuter passenger railroads operate and where TIH hazardous materials are
transported, an interoperable positive train control system (PTC). PTC is a set of highly advanced technologies designed to prevent train-to-train collisions, speed-related derailments, and certain other accidents caused by human error, but PTC will not prevent all types of train accidents or incidents. We have met the December 31, 2018 deadline under the PTC laws and regulations to install all hardware and to implement PTC on some of those rail lines. The PTC laws and regulations also require us to fully implement PTC on the remainder of those rail lines by December 31, 2020. In addition, other railroads’ implementation schedules could impose additional interoperability requirements and accelerated timelines on us, which could impact our operations over other railroads if not met.

Full implementation of PTC will result in additional operating costs and capital expenditures, and PTC implementation may result in reduced operational efficiency and service levels, as well as increased compensation and benefits expenses, and increased claims and litigation costs.

Our operations are subject to extensive federal and state environmental laws and regulations concerning, among other things, emissions to the air; discharges to waterways or groundwater supplies; handling, storage, transportation, and disposal of waste and other materials; and the cleanup of hazardous material or petroleum releases. The risk of incurring environmental liability, for acts and omissions, past, present, and future, is inherent in the railroad business. This risk includes property owned by us, whether currently or in the past, that is or has been subject to a variety of uses, including our railroad operations and other industrial activity by past owners or our past and present tenants.

Environmental problems that are latent or undisclosed may exist on these properties, and we could incur environmental liabilities or costs, the amount and materiality of which cannot be estimated reliably at this time, with respect to one or more of these properties. Moreover, lawsuits and claims involving other unidentified environmental sites and matters are likely to arise from time to time.

Concern over climate change has led to significant federal, state, and international legislative and regulatory efforts to limit greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Restrictions, caps, taxes, or other controls on GHG emissions, including diesel exhaust, could significantly increase our operating costs, decrease the amount of traffic handled, and decrease the value of coal reserves we own.

In addition, legislation and regulation related to GHGs could negatively affect the markets we serve and our customers. Even without legislation or regulation, government incentives and adverse publicity relating to GHGs could negatively affect the markets for certain of the commodities we carry and our customers that (1) use commodities that we carry to produce energy, including coal, (2) use significant amounts of energy in producing or delivering the commodities we carry, or (3) manufacture or produce goods that consume significant amounts of energy.

As a common carrier by rail, we must offer to transport hazardous materials, regardless of risk. Transportation of certain hazardous materials could create catastrophic losses in terms of personal injury and property (including environmental) damage, and compromise critical parts of our rail network. The cost of a catastrophic rail accident involving hazardous materials could exceed our insurance coverage. We have obtained insurance for potential losses for third-party liability and first-party property damages (see Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements); however, insurance is available from a limited number of insurers and may not continue to be available or, if available, may not be obtainable on terms acceptable to us.

We may be affected by general economic conditions. Prolonged negative changes in domestic and global economic conditions could affect the producers and consumers of the commodities we carry. Economic conditions could also result in bankruptcies of one or more large customers.

Significant increases in demand for rail services could result in the unavailability of qualified personnel and locomotives. In addition, workforce demographics and training requirements, particularly for engineers and conductors, could have a negative impact on our ability to meet short-term demand for rail service. Unpredicted increases in demand for rail services may exacerbate such risks.
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**We may be affected by energy prices.** Volatility in energy prices could have a significant effect on a variety of items including, but not limited to: the economy; demand for transportation services; business related to the energy sector, including crude oil, natural gas, and coal; fuel prices; and fuel surcharges.

**We face competition from other transportation providers.** We are subject to competition from motor carriers, railroads and, to a lesser extent, ships, barges, and pipelines, on the basis of transit time, pricing, and quality and reliability of service. While we have used primarily internal resources to build or acquire and maintain our rail system, trucks and barges have been able to use public rights-of-way maintained by public entities. Any future improvements, expenditures, legislation, or regulation materially increasing the quality or reducing the cost of alternative modes of transportation in the regions in which we operate (such as granting materially greater latitude for motor carriers with respect to size or weight limitations or adoption of autonomous commercial vehicles) could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

**The operations of carriers with which we interchange may adversely affect our operations.** Our ability to provide rail service to customers in the U.S. and Canada depends in large part upon our ability to maintain collaborative relationships with connecting carriers (including shortlines and regional railroads) with respect to, among other matters, freight rates, revenue division, car supply and locomotive availability, data exchange and communications, reciprocal switching, interchange, and trackage rights. Deterioration in the operations of or service provided by connecting carriers, or in our relationship with those connecting carriers, could result in our inability to meet our customers’ demands or require us to use alternate train routes, which could result in significant additional costs and network inefficiencies. Additionally, any significant consolidations, mergers or operational changes among other railroads may significantly redefine our market access and reach.

**We rely on technology and technology improvements in our business operations.** If we experience significant disruption or failure of one or more of our information technology systems, including computer hardware, software, and communications equipment, we could experience a service interruption, a security breach, or other operational difficulties. We also face cybersecurity threats which may result in breaches of systems, or compromises of sensitive data, which may result in an inability to access or operate systems necessary for conducting operations and providing customer service, thereby impacting our efficiency and/or damaging our corporate reputation. Additionally, if we do not have sufficient capital to acquire new technology or we are unable to implement new technology, we may suffer a competitive disadvantage within the rail industry and with companies providing other modes of transportation service.

**The vast majority of our employees belong to labor unions, and labor agreements, strikes, or work stoppages could adversely affect our operations.** Approximately 80% of our railroad employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements with various labor unions. If unionized workers were to engage in a strike, work stoppage, or other slowdown, we could experience a significant disruption of our operations. Additionally, future national labor agreements, or renegotiation of labor agreements or provisions of labor agreements, could significantly increase our costs for health care, wages, and other benefits.

**We may be subject to various claims and lawsuits that could result in significant expenditures.** The nature of our business exposes us to the potential for various claims and litigation related to labor and employment, personal injury, commercial disputes, freight loss and other property damage, and other matters. Job-related personal injury and occupational claims are subject to the Federal Employer’s Liability Act (FELA), which is applicable only to railroads. FELA’s fault-based tort system produces results that are unpredictable and inconsistent as compared with a no-fault worker’s compensation system. The variability inherent in this system could result in actual costs being different from the liability recorded.

Any material changes to current litigation trends or a catastrophic rail accident involving any or all of freight loss, property damage, personal injury, and environmental liability could have a material adverse effect on us to the extent not covered by insurance. We have obtained insurance for potential losses for third-party liability and first-
party property damages; however, insurance is available from a limited number of insurers and may not continue to be available or, if available, may not be obtainable on terms acceptable to us.

**Severe weather could result in significant business interruptions and expenditures.** Severe weather conditions and other natural phenomena, including hurricanes, floods, fires, and earthquakes, may cause significant business interruptions and result in increased costs, increased liabilities, and decreased revenues.

**We may be affected by terrorism or war.** Any terrorist attack, or other similar event, any government response thereto, and war or risk of war could cause significant business interruption. Because we play a critical role in the nation’s transportation system, we could become the target of such an attack or have a significant role in the government’s preemptive approach or response to an attack or war.

Although we currently maintain insurance coverage for third-party liability arising out of war and acts of terrorism, we maintain only limited insurance coverage for first-party property damage and damage to property in our care, custody, or control caused by certain acts of terrorism. In addition, premiums for some or all of our current insurance programs covering these losses could increase dramatically, or insurance coverage for certain losses could be unavailable to us in the future.

**We may be affected by supply constraints resulting from disruptions in the fuel markets or the nature of some of our supplier markets.** We consumed approximately 472 million gallons of diesel fuel in 2018. Fuel availability could be affected by any limitation in the fuel supply or by any imposition of mandatory allocation or rationing regulations. A severe fuel supply shortage arising from production curtailments, increased demand in existing or emerging foreign markets, disruption of oil imports, disruption of domestic refinery production, damage to refinery or pipeline infrastructure, political unrest, war or other factors could impact us as well as our customers and other transportation companies.

Due to the capital intensive nature, as well as the industry-specific requirements of the rail industry, high barriers of entry exist for potential new suppliers of core railroad items, such as locomotives and rolling stock equipment. Additionally, we compete with other industries for available capacity and raw materials used in the production of locomotives and certain track and rolling stock materials. Changes in the competitive landscapes of these limited supplier markets could result in increased prices or significant shortages of materials.

**The state of capital markets could adversely affect our liquidity.** We rely on the capital markets to provide some of our capital requirements, including the issuance of debt instruments, as well as the sale of certain receivables. Significant instability or disruptions of the capital markets, including the credit markets, or deterioration of our financial position due to internal or external factors could restrict or eliminate our access to, and/or significantly increase the cost of, various financing sources, including bank credit facilities and issuance of corporate bonds. Instability or disruptions of the capital markets and deterioration of our financial position, alone or in combination, could also result in a reduction in our credit rating to below investment grade, which could prohibit or restrict us from accessing external sources of short- and long-term debt financing and/or significantly increase the associated costs.

**Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments**

None.
**Item 3. Legal Proceedings**

In 2007, various antitrust class actions filed against us and other Class I railroads in various Federal district courts regarding fuel surcharges were consolidated in the District of Columbia by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation. In 2012, the court certified the case as a class action. The defendant railroads appealed this certification, and the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia vacated the District Court’s decision and remanded the case for further consideration. On October 10, 2017, the District Court denied class certification; the findings are subject to appeal. We believe the allegations in the complaints are without merit and intend to vigorously defend the cases. We do not believe the outcome of these proceedings will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

**Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures**

Not applicable.
Executive Officers of the Registrant

Our executive officers generally are elected and designated annually by the Board of Directors at its first meeting held after the annual meeting of stockholders, and they hold office until their successors are elected. Executive officers also may be elected and designated throughout the year as the Board of Directors considers appropriate. There are no family relationships among our officers, nor any arrangement or understanding between any officer and any other person pursuant to which the officer was selected. The following table sets forth certain information, at February 1, 2019, relating to our officers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name, Age, Present Position</th>
<th>Business Experience During Past Five Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>James A. Squires, 57,</td>
<td>Present position since October 1, 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chairman, President and</td>
<td>Served as CEO since June 1, 2015. Served as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Executive Officer</td>
<td>President since June 1, 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynthia C. Earhart, 57,</td>
<td>Present position since August 15, 2017.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Vice President –</td>
<td>Served as Executive Vice President –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance and Chief Financial</td>
<td>Administration and Chief Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officer</td>
<td>Officer from October 1, 2015 to August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15, 2017. Served as Executive Vice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>President – Administration from June 1,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013 to October 1, 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John M. Scheib, 47,</td>
<td>Present position since March 1, 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Vice President –</td>
<td>Served as Senior Vice President Law and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law and Administration and</td>
<td>Corporate Relations from October 1, 2017,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Legal Officer</td>
<td>to March 1, 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Served as Vice President Law from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December 1, 2016, to October 1, 2017.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Served as General Counsel from August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan H. Shaw, 51,</td>
<td>Present position since May 16, 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Vice President and</td>
<td>Served as Vice President Intermodal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Marketing Officer</td>
<td>Operations from November 1, 2013 to May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16, 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael J. Wheeler, 56,</td>
<td>Present position since February 1, 2016.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Vice President and</td>
<td>Served as Senior Vice President Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Operating Officer</td>
<td>from October 1, 2015 to February 1, 2016.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Served as Vice President Engineering from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>November 1, 2012 to October 1, 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason A. Zampi, 44,</td>
<td>Present position since December 16, 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice President and Controller</td>
<td>Served as Assistant Vice President Corporate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accounting from April 1, 2016 to December</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16, 2018. Served as Director Accounting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Research and Analysis from May 1, 2014 to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>April 1, 2016. Served as Director Forecast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and Performance Measures from March 16,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011 to May 1, 2014.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART II

NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

STOCK INFORMATION

Common Stock is owned by 24,475 stockholders of record as of December 31, 2018, and is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol “NSC.”

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased (1)</th>
<th>Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)</th>
<th>Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (2)(3)</th>
<th>Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that may yet be Purchased under the Plans or Programs (3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 1-31, 2018</td>
<td>874,580</td>
<td>$171.45</td>
<td>874,580</td>
<td>42,783,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 1-30, 2018</td>
<td>1,145,256</td>
<td>$168.48</td>
<td>1,145,256</td>
<td>41,638,161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 1-31, 2018</td>
<td>2,271,418</td>
<td>$166.72</td>
<td>2,270,242</td>
<td>39,367,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,291,254</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,290,078</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Of this amount, 1,176 represents shares tendered by employees in connection with the exercise of stock options under the stockholder-approved Long-Term Incentive Plan.

(2) Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs includes 1.3 million shares purchased under the accelerated stock repurchase program (ASR) (see Note 15).

(3) On September 26, 2017, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to an additional 50 million shares of Common Stock through December 31, 2022. As of December 31, 2018, 39.4 million shares remain authorized for repurchase.
### Item 6. Selected Financial Data

#### FIVE-YEAR FINANCIAL REVIEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>($ in millions, except per share amounts)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway operating revenues</td>
<td>$11,458</td>
<td>$10,551</td>
<td>$9,888</td>
<td>$10,511</td>
<td>$11,624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway operating expenses</td>
<td>7,499</td>
<td>7,029</td>
<td>6,879</td>
<td>7,656</td>
<td>8,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from railway operations</td>
<td>3,959</td>
<td>3,522</td>
<td>3,009</td>
<td>2,855</td>
<td>3,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income – net</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense on debt</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income before income taxes</td>
<td>3,469</td>
<td>3,128</td>
<td>2,582</td>
<td>2,442</td>
<td>3,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income taxes</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>(2,276)</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>1,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$2,666</td>
<td>$5,404</td>
<td>$1,668</td>
<td>$1,556</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **PER SHARE DATA** |          |          |          |          |          |
| Basic earnings per share | $9.58    | $18.76   | $5.66    | $5.13    | $6.44    |
| Diluted earnings per share | 9.51     | 18.61    | 5.62     | 5.10     | 6.39     |
| Dividends | 3.04     | 2.44     | 2.36     | 2.36     | 2.22     |
| Stockholders’ equity at year end | 57.30    | 57.57    | 42.73    | 40.93    | 40.26    |

| **FINANCIAL POSITION** |          |          |          |          |          |
| Total assets | $36,239  | $35,711  | $34,892  | $34,139  | $33,033  |
| Total debt | 11,145   | 9,836    | 10,212   | 10,093   | 8,985    |
| Stockholders’ equity | 15,362   | 16,359   | 12,409   | 12,188   | 12,408   |

| **OTHER** |          |          |          |          |          |
| Property additions | $1,951   | $1,723   | $1,887   | $2,385   | $2,118   |

### Note 1:
In 2017, as a result of the enactment of tax reform, “Railway operating expenses” included a $151 million benefit and “Income taxes” included a $3,331 million benefit, which added $3,482 million to “Net income” and $12.00 to “Diluted earnings per share.”

### Note 2:
The retrospective application of FASB ASU 2017-07 resulted in an increase to “Compensation and benefits” expense within “Railway operating expenses” and an offsetting increase to “Other income – net” of $64 million, $65 million, $29 million, and $17 million for the years ended 2017, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively, with no impact on “Net income.” See additional details in Item 8 “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” in Note 1.

*See accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.*
OVERVIEW

We are one of the nation’s premier transportation companies. Our Norfolk Southern Railway Company subsidiary operates approximately 19,500 route miles in 22 states and the District of Columbia, serves every major container port in the eastern United States, and provides efficient connections to other rail carriers. Norfolk Southern is a major transporter of industrial products, including chemicals, agriculture, and metals and construction materials. In addition, the railroad operates the most extensive intermodal network in the East and is a principal carrier of coal, automobiles, and automotive parts.

We achieved records for income from railway operations and railway operating ratio (a measure of the amount of operating revenues consumed by operating expenses) for the year, the result of significant revenue growth, partially offset by increased operating expenses. Progress on our strategic initiatives established in 2015 has created a sustainable platform positioning us for the continued execution of transformational changes that will provide greater long-term value for our shareholders.

SUMMARIZED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table adjusts our 2017 GAAP financial results to exclude the effects of tax reform, specifically, the effects of remeasurement of net deferred tax liabilities related to the reduction of the federal tax rate from 35% to 21% (the “2017 tax adjustments”). We use these non-GAAP financial measures internally and believe this information provides useful supplemental information to investors to facilitate making period-to-period comparisons by excluding the 2017 tax adjustments. While we believe that these non-GAAP financial measures are useful in evaluating our business, this information should be considered as supplemental in nature and is not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for the related financial information prepared in accordance with GAAP. In addition, these non-GAAP financial measures may not be the same as similar measures presented by other companies.
Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reported 2017 (GAAP)</th>
<th>2017 tax adjustments</th>
<th>Adjusted 2017 (non-GAAP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($ in millions, except per share amounts)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from railway operations</td>
<td>$3,522</td>
<td>$(151)</td>
<td>$3,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$5,404</td>
<td>$(3,482)</td>
<td>$1,922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted earnings per share</td>
<td>$18.61</td>
<td>$(12.00)</td>
<td>$6.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway operating ratio</td>
<td>$66.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>68.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the table below and the paragraph following, references to 2017 results and related comparisons use the adjusted, non-GAAP results from the reconciliation in the table above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Adjusted 2017 (non-GAAP)</th>
<th>2018 vs. 2016 (%) change</th>
<th>Adjusted 2017 (non-GAAP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>($ in millions, except per share amounts)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from railway operations</td>
<td>$3,959</td>
<td>$3,371</td>
<td>$3,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$2,666</td>
<td>$1,922</td>
<td>$1,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted earnings per share</td>
<td>$9.51</td>
<td>$6.61</td>
<td>$5.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway operating ratio</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>69.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Income from railway operations rose in both comparisons resulting from higher railway operating revenues that more than offset higher expenses. Revenue growth of 9% and 7% in 2018 and 2017, respectively, was tempered by increased adjusted operating expenses of 4% in both periods. In addition to higher income from railway operations, net income and diluted earnings per share growth in 2018 also benefited from a lower effective tax rate, primarily due to the enactment of tax reform. Finally, our share repurchase programs in both years resulted in diluted earnings per share growth that exceeded that of net income.
THE DETAILED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Railway Operating Revenues

The following tables present a three-year comparison of revenues, volumes (units), and average revenue per unit by commodity group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(% change)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Merchandise:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals</td>
<td>$1,808</td>
<td>$1,668</td>
<td>$1,648</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agr./consumer/gov’t.</td>
<td>1,674</td>
<td>1,547</td>
<td>1,548</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals/construction</td>
<td>1,462</td>
<td>1,426</td>
<td>1,267</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>(2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper/clay/forest</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Merchandise</strong></td>
<td>6,744</td>
<td>6,357</td>
<td>6,182</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intermodal</strong></td>
<td>2,893</td>
<td>2,452</td>
<td>2,218</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coal</strong></td>
<td>1,821</td>
<td>1,742</td>
<td>1,488</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$11,458</td>
<td>$10,551</td>
<td>$9,888</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(in thousands)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(% change)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Merchandise:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals</td>
<td>498.0</td>
<td>467.2</td>
<td>475.7</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>(2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agr./consumer/gov’t.</td>
<td>614.4</td>
<td>589.0</td>
<td>601.2</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>(2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals/construction</td>
<td>715.7</td>
<td>727.5</td>
<td>685.8</td>
<td>(2%)</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive</td>
<td>403.9</td>
<td>423.1</td>
<td>440.5</td>
<td>(5%)</td>
<td>(4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper/clay/forest</td>
<td>287.1</td>
<td>284.6</td>
<td>284.0</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Merchandise</strong></td>
<td>2,519.1</td>
<td>2,491.4</td>
<td>2,487.2</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intermodal</strong></td>
<td>4,375.7</td>
<td>4,074.1</td>
<td>3,870.4</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coal</strong></td>
<td>1,033.5</td>
<td>1,046.0</td>
<td>902.1</td>
<td>(1%)</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>7,928.3</td>
<td>7,611.5</td>
<td>7,259.7</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($ per unit)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(% change)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Merchandise:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals</td>
<td>$3,631</td>
<td>$3,571</td>
<td>$3,465</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agr./consumer/gov’t.</td>
<td>2,724</td>
<td>2,627</td>
<td>2,575</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals/construction</td>
<td>2,042</td>
<td>1,960</td>
<td>1,847</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive</td>
<td>2,453</td>
<td>2,257</td>
<td>2,213</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper/clay/forest</td>
<td>2,819</td>
<td>2,673</td>
<td>2,620</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Merchandise</strong></td>
<td>2,677</td>
<td>2,552</td>
<td>2,486</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intermodal</strong></td>
<td>661</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coal</strong></td>
<td>1,762</td>
<td>1,665</td>
<td>1,650</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1,445</td>
<td>1,386</td>
<td>1,362</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Revenues increased $907 million and $663 million in 2018 and 2017, respectively, compared to the prior years. As reflected in the table below, higher 2018 revenues were the result of higher average revenue per unit, driven by pricing gains and higher fuel surcharge revenue, partially offset by the mix-related impacts of increased intermodal volume and decreased coal volume. In addition, overall volume also increased. The rise in 2017 was largely the result of increased volume, particularly in our coal and intermodal markets, coupled with pricing gains. The table below reflects the components of the revenue change by major commodity group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018 vs. 2017 Increase (Decrease) ($ in millions)</th>
<th>2017 vs. 2016 Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Merchandise</td>
<td>Intermodal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel surcharge revenue</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate, mix and other</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$387</td>
<td>$441</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most of our contracts include negotiated fuel surcharges, typically tied to either On-Highway Diesel (OHD) or West Texas Intermediate Crude Oil. Approximately 90% of our revenue base is covered by these negotiated fuel surcharges, with almost 75% tied to OHD. For both 2018 and 2017, contracts tied to OHD accounted for about 90% of our fuel surcharge revenue. Revenues associated with fuel surcharges totaled $657 million, $359 million, and $236 million in 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

**MERCHANDISE** revenues increased in both 2018 and 2017 compared with the prior years. In 2018, revenues grew due to higher average revenue per unit, driven by pricing gains and higher fuel surcharge revenue, as well as higher volumes. Volume gains in chemicals, agriculture, and paper, clay, and forest products were partially offset by declines in automotive and metals and construction traffic. Revenue growth in 2017 was a result of higher average revenue per unit, the result of price improvements. Volume was relatively flat compared to the prior year, as gains in the metals and construction group were offset by declines in automotive, agriculture, and chemicals traffic.

For 2019, merchandise revenues are expected to increase, primarily the result of pricing gains.

**Chemicals** revenues rose in 2018 compared to a modest increase in 2017. In 2018 the rise was the result of higher volume and higher average revenue per unit, due to pricing gains and higher fuel surcharge revenue. Volumes grew due to increased shipments of crude oil, liquefied petroleum gas, and plastics, partially offset by a decrease in coal ash shipments. The increase in 2017 was due to higher average revenue per unit, a result of favorable mix and price improvements, which outweighed declines in volume. Volume declines were the result of fewer shipments of crude oil from the Bakken oil fields, lower shipments of coal ash, partially offset by an increase in shipments of plastics.

For 2019, chemicals revenues are anticipated to increase, as average revenue per unit is expected to be higher, the effect of overall pricing gains. We expect carloads to be relatively flat year-over-year, as declines in liquefied petroleum gas are expected to be offset by gains in crude oil.

**Agriculture, consumer products, and government** revenues increased in 2018 and were flat in 2017 compared to the prior years. Growth in 2018 was due to higher volume and higher average revenue per unit, a result of pricing gains and higher fuel surcharge revenues. Higher ethanol and fertilizer shipments more than offset declines in soybean and corn shipments. In 2017, lower traffic volume was offset by higher revenue per unit, driven by pricing gains. Volume declines in ethanol and soybeans, reflecting reduced market demand, more than offset increases in fertilizer.
For 2019, agriculture, consumer products, and government revenues are expected to increase, driven by increased average revenue per unit, primarily a result of pricing gains. We expect volumes to decrease due to lower fertilizer shipments.

**Metals and construction** revenues grew in both periods, more significantly so in 2017. In 2018, higher average revenue per unit, the result of pricing gains and higher fuel surcharge revenue, more than offset volume declines. Volume declines in aggregates, cement, aluminum, and iron and steel were partially offset by increases in frac sand shipments for use in natural gas drilling in the Marcellus and Utica regions. In 2017, higher volume and average revenue per unit contributed to the rise in revenues. Volume growth was a result of more frac sand shipments for use in natural gas drilling in the Marcellus and Utica regions and more iron and steel shipments driven by continued improvement in construction activity. These increases were partially offset by a decline in coil steel traffic due to customer sourcing changes. Revenue per unit growth in 2017 was driven by favorable changes in traffic mix.

For 2019, metals and construction revenues are expected to rise, a result of increased revenue per unit driven by pricing gains, and volume growth is expected in aggregates and coil steel traffic.

**Automotive** revenues rose in 2018, but declined in 2017 compared to the prior years. In 2018, higher average revenue per unit, driven by price increases and higher fuel surcharge revenues, more than offset volume declines. Traffic declines were the result of shortages of availability of multilevel equipment and scheduled automotive plant downtime. The drop in volume in 2017 was driven mainly by decreases in U.S. light vehicle production, as well as temporary shutdowns for retooling of several NS-served facilities. Average revenue per unit increased for the year, primarily the result of higher fuel surcharge revenue.

For 2019, automotive revenues are expected to increase as a result of higher volumes, reflecting increased demand at NS-served plants, and higher average revenue per unit driven by price increases.

**Paper, clay and forest products** revenues rose in both 2018 and 2017 compared to the prior years. In 2018, higher average revenue per unit, the result of pricing gains and higher fuel surcharge revenue, and volume gains drove the increase. Gains in pulpboard and municipal waste shipments, a result of tightened truck capacity and growth with existing customers, respectively, were partially offset by decreases in pulp, woodchip, and graphic paper traffic. The increase in 2017 was due to higher average revenue per unit, a result of pricing gains and changes in the traffic mix. Traffic was flat for the year as increases in waste and pulp shipments were offset by losses in woodchip volume due to customer sourcing changes.

For 2019, paper, clay, and forest products revenues are anticipated to increase, reflecting pricing gains. We expect volume to decline slightly, as gains in lumber traffic are expected to be offset by declines in wood chips and graphic paper.

**INTERMODAL** revenues increased considerably in both 2018 and 2017 compared to the prior years. The rise in 2018 was driven by higher average revenue per unit, a result of increased fuel surcharge revenue and pricing gains, and higher volume. Growth in 2017 was the result of higher volume and higher average revenue per unit, due to higher fuel surcharge revenue and pricing gains.

For 2019, we expect intermodal revenues to rise, the result of increased domestic volumes and higher average revenue per unit, driven by rate increases.
Intermodal units by market were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(units in thousands)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(% change)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>2,801.1</td>
<td>2,585.0</td>
<td>2,416.2</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International</td>
<td>1,574.6</td>
<td>1,489.1</td>
<td>1,454.2</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,375.7</td>
<td>4,074.1</td>
<td>3,870.4</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Domestic** volume increased in both periods. The rise in 2018 benefited from continued highway conversions due to tighter capacity in the truck market, higher truckload pricing, and growth from existing accounts. In 2017, continued highway conversions and growth from existing accounts drove the increase.

For 2019, we expect higher domestic volumes driven by continued highway conversions and growth from existing accounts.

**International** volume increased in both years reflecting increased demand from existing customers.

For 2019, we expect continued growth in our international volume largely driven by more traffic from existing customers.

**COAL** revenues increased in 2018 and significantly so in 2017 compared with the prior years. Revenue growth in 2018 was the result of higher average revenue per unit, largely the result of pricing gains, which more than offset volume declines. The increase in 2017 was a result of higher volume, primarily in the export market, and higher revenue per unit, driven by higher fuel surcharge revenue and pricing gains.

For 2019, coal revenues are expected to remain relatively flat year-over-year. Higher export and domestic metallurgical volumes are expected to be offset by lower revenue per unit, primarily the result of lower pricing in our export market.

As shown in the following table, total tonnage decreased slightly in 2018, but increased in 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(tons in thousands)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(% change)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility</td>
<td>65,688</td>
<td>67,899</td>
<td>65,033</td>
<td>(3%)</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Export</td>
<td>28,046</td>
<td>26,460</td>
<td>14,608</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic metallurgical</td>
<td>15,500</td>
<td>15,675</td>
<td>13,884</td>
<td>(1%)</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>5,410</td>
<td>5,545</td>
<td>6,152</td>
<td>(2%)</td>
<td>(10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>114,644</td>
<td>115,579</td>
<td>99,677</td>
<td>(1%)</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Utility coal** tonnage declined in 2018, driven by lower network velocity, decreased coal supply, inclement weather in the first quarter, and plant outages. Tonnage rose in 2017, driven by market share gains, partially offset by limited coal burn due to milder weather. Both periods were negatively impacted by sustained lower natural gas prices.
For 2019, we expect utility tonnage to be relatively flat year-over-year, the result of continued pressure from natural gas prices and continued expected growth in renewable and natural gas capacity.

Export coal tonnage increased in both periods due to strong seaborne pricing that resulted in higher demand for U.S. coal. Volume through Norfolk was up 2.3 million tons, or 15%, in 2018 and 5.5 million tons, or 57%, in 2017. Volume through Baltimore declined 0.8 million tons, or 7%, in 2018, but rose 6.4 million tons, or 129%, in 2017.

For 2019, we expect export coal tonnage to rise due to continued demand for U.S. coal.

Domestic metallurgical coal tonnage was down slightly in 2018, but up in 2017. The decline in 2018 was a reflection of customer sourcing changes. In 2017, the increase was a result of market share gains.

For 2019, domestic metallurgical coal tonnage is expected to grow due to increased demand in domestic steel production.

Industrial coal tonnage decreased in both years. In 2018, the decrease reflected customer sourcing changes and pressure from natural gas conversions. The drop in 2017 was a result of plant outages, natural gas conversions, and decreased coal burn.

For 2019, industrial coal tonnage is expected to decrease as a result of continued pressure from natural gas conversions and customer sourcing changes.

Railway Operating Expenses

Railway operating expenses summarized by major classifications were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018 ($ in millions)</th>
<th>2017 ($ in millions)</th>
<th>2016 ($ in millions)</th>
<th>2018 vs. 2017 (% change)</th>
<th>2017 vs. 2016 (% change)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compensation and benefits</td>
<td>$2,925</td>
<td>$2,979</td>
<td>$2,808</td>
<td>(2%)</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased services and rents</td>
<td>1,730</td>
<td>1,414</td>
<td>1,548</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>(9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>1,087</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>1,102</td>
<td>1,055</td>
<td>1,026</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials and other</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>(12%)</td>
<td>(7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$7,499</td>
<td>$7,029</td>
<td>$6,879</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2018, expenses rose due to higher fuel prices as well as volume-related increases and costs associated with overall lower network velocity, partially offset by higher property sales. In 2017, we experienced an overall increase in expense compared to the prior year, reflecting higher fuel expense, incentive compensation, inflationary increases, and volume-related costs, partially offset by improved productivity and increased equity in earnings of certain investees as a result of the enactment of tax reform.
Compensation and benefits decreased in 2018, reflecting changes in:

- employment levels (down $61 million),
- health and welfare benefit rates for agreement employees (down $34 million),
- employment tax refund ($31 million benefit),
- incentive and stock-based compensation (down $7 million),
- pay rates (up $34 million), and
- overtime and recrews (up $58 million).

In 2017, compensation and benefits increased, a result of changes in:

- incentive and stock-based compensation (up $125 million),
- higher health and welfare benefit rates for agreement employees (up $62 million),
- pay rates (up $43 million),
- increased overtime (up $24 million), and
- employment levels (down $81 million).


Purchased services and rents includes the costs of services purchased from outside contractors, including the net costs of operating joint (or leased) facilities with other railroads and the net cost of equipment rentals. As previously discussed, in 2017, this line item includes a $151 million benefit from the 2017 tax adjustments ($36 million in purchased services and $115 million in equipment rents) in the form of higher income of certain equity investees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchased services</td>
<td>$1,367</td>
<td>$1,233</td>
<td>$1,242</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>(1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment rents</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>101%</td>
<td>(41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,730</td>
<td>$1,414</td>
<td>$1,548</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>(9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The increase in purchased services in 2018 was largely the result of the absence of the benefit from the 2017 tax adjustments, higher intermodal volume-related costs, additional transportation and engineering activities as well as higher technology costs. In addition to the tax reform impacts discussed above, the remaining increase in purchased services expense in 2017 was a result of higher intermodal volume-related costs.

Equipment rents, which includes our cost of using equipment (mostly freight cars) owned by other railroads or private owners less the rent paid to us for the use of our equipment, increased in 2018, but decreased in 2017. In 2018, the rise was due to the absence of the benefits from the 2017 tax adjustments, the impact of slower network velocity, the cost of additional short-term locomotive resources as well as growth in volume. In 2017, in addition to the benefit from the 2017 tax adjustments, the decline was a result of lower automotive volume.

Fuel expense, which includes the cost of locomotive fuel as well as other fuel used in railway operations, increased in both periods. The change in both years was principally due to locomotive fuel prices (up 25% in 2018 and up 22% in 2017) which increased expenses $208 million and $143 million, respectively. Locomotive fuel consumption increased 3% in 2018, but declined 1% in 2017. We consumed approximately 472 million gallons of diesel fuel in 2018, compared with 458 million gallons in 2017 and 462 million gallons in 2016.

Depreciation expense increased in both periods, a reflection of growth in our roadway and equipment capital base as we continue to invest in our infrastructure and rolling stock.
Materials and other expenses decreased in both periods as shown in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Materials</td>
<td>$362</td>
<td>$348</td>
<td>$364</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>(4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casualties and other claims</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>(3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>(53%)</td>
<td>(13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$655</td>
<td>$741</td>
<td>$799</td>
<td>(12%)</td>
<td>(7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Materials expense increased in 2018, due primarily to higher locomotive repair costs. In 2017, the decline was a result of lower freight car repairs.

Casualties and other claims expenses include the estimates of costs related to personal injury, property damage, and environmental matters. The 2018 expense increased, primarily the result of higher derailment-related costs. The decrease in 2017 was the result of lower loss and damage, offset in part by unfavorable developments in personal injury cases.

Other expense decreased in both periods, largely a result of higher gains from sales of operating properties, up $79 million and $42 million in 2018 and 2017, respectively, compared to the prior periods. In 2018, the decline was additionally impacted by the inclusion of net rental income from operating property previously included in “Other income – net” of $78 million, partially offset by increased costs as a result of the relocation of our train dispatchers to Atlanta, Georgia.

**Other income – net**

Other income – net decreased in 2018, following an increase in 2017. The decline was driven by the absence of net rental income as discussed above and unfavorable returns from corporate-owned life insurance (COLI) investments. In 2017, the rise was mainly the result of favorable returns on COLI investments.

**Income Taxes**

The effective income tax rate was 23.1% in 2018, compared with negative 72.8% in 2017 and 35.4% in 2016. Income taxes in 2018 benefited from the effects of the enactment of tax reform in late 2017 that lowered the federal corporate income tax rate. Income taxes in 2017 included a benefit of $3,331 million related to the effects of the enactment of tax reform from the reduction in our net deferred tax liabilities driven by the change in the federal rate. All three years benefited from favorable tax benefits associated with stock-based compensation. Both 2018 and 2016 benefited from favorable reductions in deferred taxes for state tax law changes and certain business tax credits, while 2017 and 2016 benefited from higher returns from corporate-owned life insurance.

The statute of limitations on Internal Revenue Service (IRS) examinations has expired for all years prior to 2015. Our consolidated federal income tax return for 2015 is currently being audited by the IRS. We do not expect that the resolution of the examination will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

**FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY, AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Cash provided by operating activities, our principal source of liquidity, was $3.7 billion in 2018, $3.3 billion in 2017, and $3.0 billion in 2016. The increases in both 2018 and 2017 were primarily the result of improved
operating results. We had working capital deficits of $729 million and $396 million at December 31, 2018, and 2017, respectively. Cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash totaled $446 million and $690 million at December 31, 2018, and 2017, respectively. We expect cash on hand combined with cash provided by operating activities will be sufficient to meet our ongoing obligations.

**Contractual obligations** at December 31, 2018, were comprised of interest on fixed-rate long-term debt, long-term debt (Note 9), unconditional purchase obligations (Note 17), operating leases (Note 10), long-term advances from Conrail, agreements with Consolidated Rail Corporation (CRC) (Note 6), and unrecognized tax benefits (Note 4):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>($ in millions)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020 - 2021</th>
<th>2022 - 2023</th>
<th>2024 and Subsequent</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest on fixed-rate long-term debt</td>
<td>$13,742</td>
<td>$545</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$907</td>
<td>$11,289</td>
<td>$—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term debt principal</td>
<td>11,984</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>9,301</td>
<td>$—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unconditional purchase obligations</td>
<td>1,206</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating leases</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>$—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term advances from Conrail</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreements with CRC</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrecognized tax benefits*</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$28,134</td>
<td>$1,880</td>
<td>$2,566</td>
<td>$2,514</td>
<td>$21,153</td>
<td>$21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This amount is shown in the Other column because the year of settlement cannot be reasonably estimated.

**Off balance sheet arrangements** consist of obligations related to operating leases, which are included in the table of contractual obligations above and disclosed in Note 10.

**Cash used in investing activities** was $1.7 billion in 2018, compared with $1.5 billion in 2017, and $1.8 billion in 2016. In 2018, higher property additions drove the increase. The decline in 2017 was a reflection of lower cash outflows for property additions and a drop in corporate-owned life insurance investments.

Capital spending and track and equipment statistics can be found within the “Railway Property” section of Part I of this report on Form 10-K. For 2019, we expect capital spending to approximate 16% to 18% of revenues.

**Cash used in financing activities** was $2.3 billion in 2018, compared with $2.0 billion in 2017, and $1.3 billion in 2016. Both year-over-year comparisons reflect increased repurchases of common stock and higher debt repayments. In 2018, the increase was also impacted by higher dividend payments, but tempered by increased proceeds from borrowings. In 2017, lower proceeds from borrowings also contributed to the rise.

Share repurchases totaled $2.8 billion in 2018, $1.0 billion in 2017, and $803 million in 2016 for the purchase and retirement of 17.1 million (including 7.0 million shares repurchased for $1.2 billion under the ASR program, see Note 15), 8.2 million, and 9.2 million shares, respectively. As of December 31, 2018, 39.4 million shares remain authorized by our Board of Directors for repurchase. The timing and volume of future share repurchases will be guided by our assessment of market conditions and other pertinent factors. Any near-term purchases under the program are expected to be made with internally generated cash, cash on hand, or proceeds from borrowings.

In February of 2018, we issued $500 million of 4.15% senior notes due 2048. In August of 2018, we issued $300 million of 3.65% senior notes due 2025, $400 million of 3.80% senior notes due 2028, $200 million of 4.15% senior notes due 2048, and $600 million of 5.10% senior notes due 2118 (see Note 9).
We discuss our credit agreement and our accounts receivable securitization program in Note 9, and we have authority from our Board of Directors to issue an additional $1.2 billion of debt or equity securities through public or private sale, all of which provide for access to additional liquidity should the need arise. Our debt-to-total capitalization ratio was 42.0% at December 31, 2018, compared with 37.5% at December 31, 2017.

Upcoming annual debt maturities are disclosed in Note 9. Overall, our goal is to maintain a capital structure with appropriate leverage to support our business strategy and provide flexibility through business cycles.

APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. These estimates and assumptions may require judgment about matters that are inherently uncertain, and future events are likely to occur that may require us to make changes to these estimates and assumptions. Accordingly, we regularly review these estimates and assumptions based on historical experience, changes in the business environment, and other factors we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. The following critical accounting policies are a subset of our significant accounting policies described in Note 1.

Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits

Accounting for pensions and other postretirement benefit plans requires us to make several estimates and assumptions (Note 12). These include the expected rate of return from investment of the plans’ assets and the expected retirement age of employees as well as their projected earnings and mortality. In addition, the amounts recorded are affected by changes in the interest rate environment because the associated liabilities are discounted to their present value. We make these estimates based on our historical experience and other information that we deem pertinent under the circumstances (for example, expectations of future stock market performance). We utilize an independent actuarial consulting firm’s studies to assist us in selecting appropriate actuarial assumptions and valuing related liabilities.

In recording our net pension benefit, we assumed a long-term investment rate of return of 8.25%, which was supported by the long-term total rate of return on plan assets since inception, as well as our expectation of future returns. A one-percentage point change to this rate of return assumption would result in a $22 million change in pension expense. We review assumptions related to our defined benefit plans annually, and while changes are likely to occur in assumptions concerning retirement age, projected earnings, and mortality, they are not expected to have a material effect on our net pension expense or net pension liability in the future. The net pension liability is recorded at net present value using discount rates that are based on the current interest rate environment in light of the timing of expected benefit payments. We utilize analyses in which the projected annual cash flows from the pension and postretirement benefit plans are matched with yield curves based on an appropriate universe of high-quality corporate bonds. We use the results of the yield curve analyses to select the discount rates that match the payment streams of the benefits in these plans.

Properties and Depreciation

Most of our assets are long-lived railway properties (Note 7). As disclosed in Note 1, the primary depreciation method for our asset base is group life. See Note 1 for a more detailed discussion of the assumptions and estimates in this area.

Depreciation expense for 2018 totaled $1.1 billion. Our composite depreciation rates for 2018 are disclosed in Note 7; a one year increase (or decrease) in the estimated average useful lives of depreciable assets would have resulted in an approximate $40 million decrease (or increase) to depreciation expense.
Personal Injury

Casualties and other claims expense, included in “Materials and other” in the Consolidated Statements of Income, includes our accrual for personal injury liabilities.

To aid in valuing our personal injury liability and determining the amount to accrue with respect to such claims during the year, we utilize studies prepared by an independent consulting actuarial firm. The actuarial firm studies our historical patterns of reserving for claims and subsequent settlements, taking into account relevant outside influences. We adjust the liability quarterly based upon our assessment and the results of the study. Our estimate is subject to inherent limitation given the difficulty of predicting future events and as such the ultimate loss sustained may vary from the estimated liability recorded.

For a more detailed discussion of the assumptions and estimates in accounting for personal injury see Note 17.

Income Taxes

Our net deferred tax liability totaled $6.5 billion at December 31, 2018 (Note 4). This liability is estimated based on the expected future tax consequences of items recognized in the financial statements. After application of the federal statutory tax rate to book income, judgment is required with respect to the timing and deductibility of expenses in our income tax returns. For state income and other taxes, judgment is also required with respect to the apportionment among the various jurisdictions. A valuation allowance is recorded if we expect that it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets will not be realized. We have a $50 million valuation allowance on $425 million of deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2018, reflecting the expectation that almost all of these assets will be realized.

OTHER MATTERS

Labor Agreements

Approximately 80% of our railroad employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements with various labor unions. Pursuant to the Railway Labor Act, these agreements remain in effect until new agreements are reached, or until the bargaining procedures mandated by the Railway Labor Act are completed. We largely bargain nationally in concert with other major railroads, represented by the National Carriers Conference Committee. Moratorium provisions in the labor agreements govern when the railroads and unions may propose changes to the agreements.

The 2015 bargaining round is now complete with finalized agreements in place with all employees. All of the newly negotiated agreements have moratorium provisions that will reopen the agreements for negotiation beginning January 1, 2020.

Market Risks

At December 31, 2018, we had no outstanding debt subject to interest rate fluctuations. Market risk for fixed-rate debt is estimated as the potential increase in fair value resulting from a one percentage point decrease in interest rates as of December 31, 2018, and amounts to an increase of approximately $1.4 billion to the fair value of our debt at December 31, 2018. We consider it unlikely that interest rate fluctuations applicable to these instruments will result in a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

New Accounting Pronouncements

For a detailed discussion of new accounting pronouncements, see Note 1.
Inflation

In preparing financial statements, GAAP requires the use of historical cost that disregards the effects of inflation on the replacement cost of property. As a capital-intensive company, we have most of our capital invested in long-lived assets. The replacement cost of these assets, as well as the related depreciation expense, would be substantially greater than the amounts reported on the basis of historical cost.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements in Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations are “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the “safe harbor” provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance, or our achievements or those of our industry to be materially different from those expressed or implied by any forward-looking statements. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as “may,” “will,” “could,” “would,” “should,” “expect,” “plan,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “believe,” “estimate,” “project,” “consider,” “predict,” “potential,” “feel,” or other comparable terminology. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations, assumptions, estimates, beliefs, and projections. While we believe these expectations, assumptions, estimates, beliefs, and projections are reasonable, such forward-looking statements are only predictions and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties, many of which involve factors or circumstances that are beyond our control. These and other important factors, including those discussed in Item 1A “Risk Factors,” may cause actual results, performance, or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements herein are made only as of the date they were first issued, and unless otherwise required by applicable securities laws, we disclaim any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise. Copies of our press releases and additional information about us is available at www.norfolksouthern.com, or you can contact our Investor Relations Department by calling 757-629-2861.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The information required by this item is included in Item 7 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” under the heading “Market Risks.”
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February 8, 2019

To the Stockholders
Norfolk Southern Corporation

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. In order to ensure that Norfolk Southern Corporation’s internal control over financial reporting is effective, management regularly assesses such controls and did so most recently as of December 31, 2018. This assessment was based on criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management has concluded that the Corporation maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018.

KPMG LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the Corporation’s financial statements and issued an attestation report on the Corporation’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018.

/s/ James A. Squires   /s/ Cynthia C. Earhart   /s/ Jason A. Zampi
James A. Squires        Cynthia C. Earhart        Jason A. Zampi
Chairman, President and Executive Vice President Finance Vice President and
Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Controller
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders
Norfolk Southern Corporation:

Opinion on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We have audited Norfolk Southern Corporation and subsidiaries’ (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the consolidated balance sheets of the Company as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, cash flows, and changes in stockholders’ equity for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2018, and the related notes and financial statement schedule of valuation and qualifying accounts as listed in Item 15(A)2 (collectively, the consolidated financial statements), and our report dated February 8, 2019 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

Basis for Opinion

The Company’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Report of Management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.
Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ KPMG LLP
KPMG LLP
Norfolk, Virginia
February 8, 2019
To the Board of Directors and Stockholders
Norfolk Southern Corporation:

Opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Norfolk Southern Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, cash flows, and changes in stockholders’ equity for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2018, and the related notes and financial statement schedule of valuation and qualifying accounts as listed in Item 15(A)2 (collectively, the consolidated financial statements). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2018, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated February 8, 2019 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

Basis for Opinion

These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ KPMG LLP
KPMG LLP

We have served as the Company’s auditor since 1982.

Norfolk, Virginia
February 8, 2019
Norfolk Southern Corporation and Subsidiaries  
Consolidated Statements of Income  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Railway operating revenues</td>
<td>$11,458</td>
<td>$10,551</td>
<td>$9,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway operating expenses:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation and benefits</td>
<td>2,925</td>
<td>2,979</td>
<td>2,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased services and rents</td>
<td>1,730</td>
<td>1,414</td>
<td>1,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>1,087</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>1,102</td>
<td>1,055</td>
<td>1,026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials and other</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total railway operating expenses</td>
<td>7,499</td>
<td>7,029</td>
<td>6,879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from railway operations</td>
<td>3,959</td>
<td>3,522</td>
<td>3,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other income – net</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense on debt</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income before income taxes</td>
<td>3,469</td>
<td>3,128</td>
<td>2,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income taxes</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>(2,276)</td>
<td>914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$2,666</td>
<td>$5,404</td>
<td>$1,668</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Earnings per share:
- Basic: $9.58, $18.76, $5.66
- Diluted: 9.51, 18.61, 5.62

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
Norfolk Southern Corporation and Subsidiaries  
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years ended December 31,</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($)</td>
<td>($)</td>
<td>($)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>2,666</td>
<td>5,404</td>
<td>1,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension and other postretirement benefits</td>
<td>(148)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>(74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income (loss) of equity investees</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax</td>
<td>(157)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>(69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax benefit (expense) related to items of other comprehensive income (loss)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>(43)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax</td>
<td>(119)</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>(42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total comprehensive income</td>
<td>2,547</td>
<td>5,535</td>
<td>1,626</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
### Norfolk Southern Corporation and Subsidiaries
#### Consolidated Balance Sheets

* At December 31, 2018 | 2017

| ($ in millions) |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Assets**      |                 |
| **Current assets:** |                 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | $ 358 | $ 690 |
| Accounts receivable – net | 1,009 | 955 |
| Materials and supplies | 207 | 222 |
| Other current assets | 288 | 282 |
| **Total current assets** | 1,862 | 2,149 |
| Investments | 3,109 | 2,981 |
| Properties less accumulated depreciation of $12,374 and $11,909, respectively | 31,091 | 30,330 |
| Other assets | 177 | 251 |
| **Total assets** | $ 36,239 | $ 35,711 |

| **Liabilities and stockholders’ equity** |                 |
| **Current liabilities:** |                 |
| Accounts payable | $ 1,505 | $ 1,401 |
| Short-term debt | — | 100 |
| Income and other taxes | 255 | 211 |
| Other current liabilities | 246 | 233 |
| **Current maturities of long-term debt** | 585 | 600 |
| **Total current liabilities** | 2,591 | 2,545 |
| Long-term debt | 10,560 | 9,136 |
| Other liabilities | 1,266 | 1,347 |
| **Deferred income taxes** | 6,460 | 6,324 |
| **Total liabilities** | 20,877 | 19,352 |
| **Stockholders’ equity:** |                 |
| Common Stock $1.00 per share par value, 1,350,000,000 shares authorized; outstanding 268,098,472 and 284,157,187 shares, respectively, net of treasury shares | 269 | 285 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,216 | 2,254 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (563) | (356) |
| Retained income | 13,440 | 14,176 |
| **Total stockholders’ equity** | 15,362 | 16,359 |
| **Total liabilities and stockholders’ equity** | $ 36,239 | $ 35,711 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

K38
# Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Norfolk Southern Corporation and Subsidiaries  

## Years ended December 31,  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash flows from operating activities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$2,666</td>
<td>$5,404</td>
<td>$1,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>1,104</td>
<td>1,059</td>
<td>1,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income taxes</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>(2,859)</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gains and losses on properties</td>
<td>(171)</td>
<td>(92)</td>
<td>(46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in assets and liabilities affecting operations:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>(70)</td>
<td>(41)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials and supplies</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other current assets</td>
<td>(46)</td>
<td>(71)</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current liabilities other than debt</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other – net</td>
<td>(168)</td>
<td>(317)</td>
<td>(150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net cash provided by operating activities</strong></td>
<td>$3,726</td>
<td>$3,253</td>
<td>$3,034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash flows from investing activities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property additions</td>
<td>(1,951)</td>
<td>(1,723)</td>
<td>(1,887)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property sales and other transactions</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment purchases</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(123)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment sales and other transactions</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net cash used in investing activities</strong></td>
<td>(1,658)</td>
<td>(1,481)</td>
<td>(1,832)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash flows from financing activities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends</td>
<td>(844)</td>
<td>(703)</td>
<td>(695)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Stock transactions</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase and retirement of Common Stock</td>
<td>(2,781)</td>
<td>(1,012)</td>
<td>(803)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from borrowings – net of issuance costs</td>
<td>2,023</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt repayments</td>
<td>(750)</td>
<td>(702)</td>
<td>(600)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net cash used in financing activities</strong></td>
<td>(2,312)</td>
<td>(2,038)</td>
<td>(1,347)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net decrease in cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash</strong></td>
<td>(244)</td>
<td>(266)</td>
<td>(145)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At beginning of year</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>1,101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At end of year</td>
<td>$446</td>
<td>$690</td>
<td>$956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash paid during the year for:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest (net of amounts capitalized)</td>
<td>$496</td>
<td>$528</td>
<td>$543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income taxes (net of refunds)</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>593</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
## Norfolk Southern Corporation and Subsidiaries
### Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders’ Equity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Common Stock</th>
<th>Additional Paid-in Capital</th>
<th>Accum. Other Comprehensive Loss</th>
<th>Retained Income</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($ in millions, except per share amounts)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2015</td>
<td>$ 299</td>
<td>$ 2,143</td>
<td>$(445)</td>
<td>$ 10,191</td>
<td>$ 12,188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive income:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,668</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(42)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total comprehensive income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends on Common Stock, $2.36 per share</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(695)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(695)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share repurchases</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>(63)</td>
<td>(731)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(803)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at December 31, 2016</strong></td>
<td>292</td>
<td>2,179</td>
<td>(487)</td>
<td>10,425</td>
<td>12,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive income:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,404</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>131</td>
<td></td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total comprehensive income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends on Common Stock, $2.44 per share</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(703)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(703)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share repurchases</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(59)</td>
<td>(945)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1,012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at December 31, 2017</strong></td>
<td>285</td>
<td>2,254</td>
<td>(356)</td>
<td>14,176</td>
<td>16,359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comprehensive income:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,666</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(119)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total comprehensive income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends on Common Stock, $3.04 per share</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(844)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(844)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share repurchases</td>
<td>(17)</td>
<td>(125)</td>
<td>(2,639)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2,781)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclassification of stranded tax effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(88)</td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at December 31, 2018</strong></td>
<td>$ 269</td>
<td>$ 2,216</td>
<td>$ (563)</td>
<td>$ 13,440</td>
<td>$ 15,362</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.*
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Norfolk Southern Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following Notes are an integral part of the Consolidated Financial Statements.

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business

Norfolk Southern Corporation is a Virginia-based holding company engaged principally in the rail transportation business, operating approximately 19,500 route miles primarily in the East and Midwest. These consolidated financial statements include Norfolk Southern and its majority-owned and controlled subsidiaries (collectively, NS, we, us, and our). Norfolk Southern’s major subsidiary is NSR. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NSR and its railroad subsidiaries transport raw materials, intermediate products, and finished goods classified in the following commodity groups (percent of total railway operating revenues in 2018): intermodal (25%); coal (16%); chemicals (16%); agriculture, consumer products, and government (14%); metals and construction (13%); automotive (9%); and, paper, clay, and forest products (7%). Although most of our customers are domestic, ultimate points of origination or destination for some of the products transported (particularly coal bound for export and some intermodal containers) may be outside the U.S. Approximately 80% of our railroad employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements with various labor unions.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We periodically review our estimates, including those related to the recoverability and useful lives of assets, as well as liabilities for litigation, environmental remediation, casualty claims, income taxes and pension and other postretirement benefits. Changes in facts and circumstances may result in revised estimates.

Revenue Recognition

Transportation revenue is recognized proportionally as a shipment moves from origin to destination, and related expenses are recognized as incurred. Certain of our contract refunds (which are primarily volume-based incentives) are recorded as a reduction to revenues on the basis of management’s best estimate of projected liability, which is based on historical activity, current shipment counts and expectation of future activity. Switching, demurrage and other incidental service revenues are recognized at a point in time when the services are performed or as contractual obligations are met.

Cash Equivalents

“Cash equivalents” are highly liquid investments purchased three months or less from maturity.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Our allowance for doubtful accounts was $7 million at both December 31, 2018 and 2017. To determine our allowance for doubtful accounts, we evaluate historical loss experience (which has not been significant), the characteristics of current accounts, and general economic conditions and trends.
Materials and Supplies

“Materials and supplies,” consisting mainly of items for maintenance of property and equipment, are stated at the lower of average cost or net realizable value. The cost of materials and supplies expected to be used in property additions or improvements is included in “Properties.”

Investments

Investments in entities over which we have the ability to exercise significant influence but do not control the entity are accounted for using the equity method, whereby the investment is carried at the cost of the acquisition plus our equity in undistributed earnings or losses since acquisition.

Properties

“Properties” are stated principally at cost and are depreciated using the group method whereby assets with similar characteristics, use, and expected lives are grouped together in asset classes and depreciated using a composite depreciation rate. This methodology treats each asset class as a pool of resources, not as singular items. We use approximately 75 depreciable asset classes. “Depreciation” in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows includes both depreciation and depletion on operating and nonoperating properties.

Depreciation expense is based on our assumptions concerning expected service lives of our properties as well as the expected net salvage that will be received upon their retirement. In developing these assumptions, we utilize periodic depreciation studies that are performed by an independent outside firm of consulting engineers and approved by the STB. Our depreciation studies are conducted about every three years for equipment and every six years for track assets and other roadway property. The frequency of these studies is consistent with guidelines established by the STB. We adjust our rates based on the results of these studies and implement the changes prospectively. The studies may also indicate that the recorded amount of accumulated depreciation is deficient (or in excess) of the amount indicated by the study. Any such deficiency (or excess) is amortized as a component of depreciation expense over the remaining service lives of the affected class of property, as determined by the study. Key factors that are considered in developing average service life and salvage estimates include:

- statistical analysis of historical retirement data and surviving asset records;
- review of historical salvage received and current market rates;
- review of our operations including expected changes in technology, customer demand, maintenance practices and asset management strategies;
- review of accounting policies and assumptions; and
- industry review and analysis.

The composite depreciation rate for rail in high density corridors is derived based on consideration of annual gross tons as compared to the total or ultimate capacity of rail in these corridors. Our experience has shown that traffic density is a leading factor in the determination of the expected service life of rail in high density corridors. In developing the respective depreciation rate, consideration is also given to several rail characteristics including age, weight, condition (new or second-hand) and type (curved or straight).

We capitalize interest on major projects during the period of their construction. Expenditures, including those on leased assets, that extend an asset’s useful life or increase its utility are capitalized. Expenditures capitalized include those that are directly related to a capital project and may include materials, labor and equipment, in addition to an allocable portion of indirect costs that relate to a capital project. A significant portion of annual capital spending relates to the replacement of self-constructed assets. Removal activities occur in conjunction with replacement and are estimated based on the average percentage of time employees replacing assets spend on removal functions. Costs related to repairs and maintenance activities that do not extend an asset’s useful life or increase its utility are expensed when such repairs are performed.
When depreciable operating road and equipment assets are sold or retired in the ordinary course of business, the cost of the assets, net of sale proceeds or salvage, is charged to accumulated depreciation, and no gain or loss is recognized in earnings. Actual historical cost values are retired when available, such as with most equipment assets. The use of estimates in recording the retirement of certain roadway assets is necessary based on the impracticality of tracking individual asset costs. When retiring rail, ties and ballast, we use statistical curves that indicate the relative distribution of the age of the assets retired. The historical cost of other roadway assets is estimated using a combination of inflation indices specific to the rail industry and those published by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. The indices are applied to the replacement value based on the age of the retired assets. These indices are used because they closely correlate with the costs of roadway assets. Gains and losses on disposal of operating land are included in “Materials and other” expenses. Gains and losses on disposal of nonoperating land and nonrail assets are included in “Other income – net” since such income is not a product of our railroad operations.

A retirement is considered abnormal if it does not occur in the ordinary course of business, if it relates to disposition of a large segment of an asset class and if the retirement varies significantly from the retirement profile identified through our depreciation studies, which inherently consider the impact of normal retirements on expected service lives and depreciation rates. Gains or losses from abnormal retirements would be recognized in income from railway operations.

We review the carrying amount of properties whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that such carrying amount may not be recoverable based on future undiscounted cash flows. Assets that are deemed impaired as a result of such review are recorded at the lower of carrying amount or fair value.

**New Accounting Pronouncements**

The FASB issued ASU 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers,” and related amendments, which are jointly referred to as Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 606. This standard replaced most existing revenue recognition guidance in GAAP and requires entities to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. A performance obligation is defined as a promise in a contract to transfer a distinct good or service to the customer. A contract’s transaction price is allocated to each distinct performance obligation and recognized as revenue when, or as, the performance obligation is satisfied. We adopted the provisions of this standard on January 1, 2018, using the modified retrospective method. There was no cumulative effect of initially applying the standard, nor is there any material difference in revenue for the year ended December 31, 2018, as compared with GAAP that was in effect prior to January 1, 2018.

In March 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-07, “Improving the Presentation of Net Periodic Pension Cost and Net Periodic Postretirement Benefit Cost.” This update requires segregation of net benefit costs between operating and nonoperating expenses and requires retrospective application. We adopted the standard on January 1, 2018. Under the new standard, only the service cost component of defined benefit pension cost and postretirement benefit cost are reported within “Compensation and benefits” and all other components of net benefit cost are presented in “Other income – net” on the Consolidated Statements of Income, whereas under the previous standard all components were included in “Compensation and benefits.” The retrospective application resulted in an increase to “Compensation and benefits” expense and an offsetting increase to “Other income – net” on the Consolidated Statements of Income of $64 million and $65 million for the years ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, with no impact on “Net income.”

In February 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-02, “Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income.” This update is intended to reclassify the stranded tax effects resulting from tax reform from accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) to retained earnings. The amount of the reclassification is the difference between the amount initially charged or credited directly to other comprehensive income at the previously enacted U.S. federal corporate income tax rate that remains in AOCI and the amount that would have been charged or credited directly to other comprehensive income using the newly enacted U.S. federal corporate income tax rate. In the first quarter of 2018, we adopted the provisions of ASU 2018-02 resulting in an increase to
“Accumulated other comprehensive loss” of $88 million and a corresponding increase to “Retained income,” with no impact on “Total stockholders’ equity.”

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, “Leases (Topic 842),” and subsequent amendments, which replaced existing lease guidance in GAAP and requires lessees to recognize right-of-use (ROU) assets and lease liabilities on the balance sheet for leases greater than twelve months and disclose key information about leasing arrangements. We adopted the standard on January 1, 2019 using the modified retrospective method and used the effective date as our date of initial application. Financial information will not be updated and the disclosures required under the new standard will not be provided for dates and periods before January 1, 2019.

The new standard provides a number of optional practical expedients for transition. We elected the package of practical expedients under the transition guidance which permits us not to reassess under the new standards our prior conclusions for lease identification and lease classification on expired or existing contracts and whether initial direct costs previously capitalized would qualify for capitalization under ASC 842. We also elected the practical expedient related to land easements, allowing us to not reassess our current accounting treatment for existing agreements on land easements, which are not accounted for as leases. We did not elect the hindsight practical expedient to determine the reasonably certain lease term for existing leases.

The new standard also provides practical expedients and recognition exemptions for an entity’s ongoing accounting policy elections. We elected the short-term lease recognition exemption for all leases that qualify. This means, for those leases that qualify, we will not recognize ROU assets or lease liabilities. We also elected the practical expedient not to separate lease and non-lease components for all of our leases.

We expect that adoption of the standard will result in recognition of lease liabilities of approximately $600 million as of January 1, 2019, with corresponding ROU assets of the same amount based on the present value of the remaining minimum rental payments under current leasing standards for existing operating leases. There will be no adjustment to “Retained income” on adoption.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, “Credit Losses - Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments,” which replaces the current incurred loss impairment method with a method that reflects expected credit losses. The new standard is effective as of January 1, 2020, and early adoption is permitted as of January 1, 2019. Because credit losses associated from our trade receivables have historically been insignificant, we do not expect this standard to have a material effect on our financial statements. We will not adopt the standard early.

2. Railway Operating Revenues

The following table disaggregates our revenues by commodity group:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity Group</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merchandise:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals</td>
<td>$ 1,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, consumer products, and government</td>
<td>1,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals and construction</td>
<td>1,462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive</td>
<td>991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper, clay, and forest products</td>
<td>809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merchandise</td>
<td>6,744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermodal</td>
<td>2,893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>1,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 11,458</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A performance obligation is created when a customer under a transportation contract or public tariff submits a bill of lading to NS for the transport of goods. These performance obligations are satisfied as the shipments move from origin to destination. As such, transportation revenue is recognized proportionally as a shipment moves, and related expenses are recognized as incurred. These performance obligations are generally short-term in nature with transit days averaging approximately one week or less for each commodity group. The customer has an unconditional obligation to pay for the service once the service has been completed. Estimated revenue associated with in-process shipments at period-end is recorded based on the estimated percentage of service completed to total transit days. We had no material remaining performance obligations as of December 31, 2018.

Under the typical payment terms of our freight contracts, payment for services is due within fifteen days of billing the customer, thus there are no significant financing components. “Accounts receivable – net” on the Consolidated Balance Sheets includes both customer and non-customer receivables as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 31, 2018</th>
<th>December 31, 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customer</td>
<td>$740</td>
<td>$703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-customer</td>
<td>$269</td>
<td>$252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accounts receivable – net</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,009</strong></td>
<td><strong>$955</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-customer receivables include non-revenue-related amounts due from other railroads, governmental entities, and others. “Other assets” on the Consolidated Balance Sheets includes non-current customer receivables of $55 million and $39 million at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. We do not have any material contract assets or liabilities.

Certain of our contracts contain refunds (which are primarily volume-based incentives) that are recorded as a reduction to revenue. Refunds are recorded on the basis of management’s best estimate of projected liability, which is based on historical activity, current shipment counts and expectation of future activity.

Certain accessorial services may be provided to customers under their transportation contracts such as switching, demurrage and other incidental service revenues. These are distinct performance obligations that are recognized at a point in time when the services are performed or as contractual obligations are met. This revenue is included within each of the commodity groups and represents approximately 4% of total “Railway operating revenues” on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

3. Other Income – Net

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>($ in millions)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net pension and other postretirement benefit cost (Note 12)</td>
<td>$61</td>
<td>$64</td>
<td>$65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental income</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External advisor costs</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$67</td>
<td>$156</td>
<td>$136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Income Taxes

Tax reform, enacted in 2017, lowered the Federal corporate tax rate from 35% to 21% and made numerous other tax law changes. GAAP requires companies to recognize the effect of tax law changes in the period of enactment. As a result, in 2017, “Purchased services and rents” included a $151 million benefit for earnings generated from reductions to net deferred tax liabilities at certain equity investees and “Income taxes” included a $3,331 million benefit primarily due to the remeasurement of our net deferred tax liabilities to reflect the lower rate. In 2017, reasonable estimates were made based on our analysis of tax reform that could have required provisional amounts to be adjusted when additional information was obtained. No material adjustments to our provisional amounts were made in 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$ 499</td>
<td>$ 500</td>
<td>$ 612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current taxes</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>(2,924)</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deferred taxes</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>(2,859)</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income taxes</td>
<td>$ 803</td>
<td>$ (2,276)</td>
<td>$ 914</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reconciliation of Statutory Rate to Effective Rate

“Income taxes” on the Consolidated Statements of Income differs from the amounts computed by applying the statutory federal corporate tax rate as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal income tax at statutory rate</td>
<td>$ 728</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>$ 1,095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State income taxes, net of federal tax effect</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity in earnings related to tax reform</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax reform</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(3,331)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess tax benefits on stock-based compensation</td>
<td>(22)</td>
<td>(0.7)</td>
<td>(39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, net</td>
<td>(23)</td>
<td>(0.7)</td>
<td>(51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income taxes</td>
<td>$ 803</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>$ (2,276)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities

Certain items are reported in different periods for financial reporting and income tax purposes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded in recognition of these differences. The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 31, 2018 ($ in millions)</th>
<th>2017 ($ in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred tax assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation and benefits, including postretirement benefits</td>
<td>$284</td>
<td>$235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals, including casualty and other claims</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total gross deferred tax assets</strong></td>
<td>425</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less valuation allowance</td>
<td>(50)</td>
<td>(44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net deferred tax assets</strong></td>
<td>375</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Deferred tax liabilities:** |                      |
| Property | (6,422) | (6,212) |
| Other | (413) | (434) |
| **Total deferred tax liabilities** | (6,835) | (6,646) |

**Deferred income taxes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018 ($ in millions)</th>
<th>2017 ($ in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ (6,460)</td>
<td>$ (6,324)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Except for amounts for which a valuation allowance has been provided, we believe that it is more likely than not that the results of future operations will generate sufficient taxable income to realize the deferred tax assets. The valuation allowance at the end of each year primarily relates to subsidiary state income tax net operating losses and state investment tax credits that may not be utilized prior to their expiration. The total valuation allowance increased by $6 million in 2018, $5 million in 2017, and $4 million in 2016.

Uncertain Tax Positions

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 31, 2018 ($ in millions)</th>
<th>2017 ($ in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance at beginning of year</td>
<td>$17</td>
<td>$27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions based on tax positions related to the current year</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions for tax positions of prior years</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reductions for tax positions of prior years</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlements with taxing authorities</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lapse of statutes of limitations</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at end of year</strong></td>
<td>$21</td>
<td>$17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Included in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2018 are potential benefits of $17 million that would affect the effective tax rate if recognized. Unrecognized tax benefits are adjusted in the period in which new information about a tax position becomes available or the final outcome differs from the amount recorded.

The statute of limitations on IRS examinations has expired for all years prior to 2015. We have amended our 2012 income tax return to request a refund of $46 million, which is not included in the above balance of unrecognized tax benefits. State income tax returns generally are subject to examination for a period of three to four years after filing of the return. In addition, we are generally obligated to report changes in taxable income arising from federal income tax examinations to the states within a period of up to two years from the date the federal examination is final. We have various state income tax returns either under examination, administrative appeal, or litigation.

5. Fair Value Measurements

FASB ASC 820-10, “Fair Value Measurements,” established a framework for measuring fair value and a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels, as follows:

Level 1 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that we have the ability to access.

Level 2 Inputs to the valuation methodology include:
- quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
- quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets;
- inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability;
- inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, the Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

The asset’s or liability’s fair value measurement level within the hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments

The fair values of “Cash and cash equivalents,” “Accounts receivable – net,” “Accounts payable,” and “Short-term debt” approximate carrying values because of the short maturity of these financial instruments. The carrying value of corporate-owned life insurance is recorded at cash surrender value and, accordingly, approximates fair value. There are no other assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2018 or 2017. The carrying amounts and estimated fair values, based on Level 1 inputs, of long-term debt consisted of the following at December 31:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018 Carrying Amount ($ in millions)</th>
<th>2018 Fair Value</th>
<th>2017 Carrying Amount ($ in millions)</th>
<th>2017 Fair Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long-term debt, including current maturities</td>
<td>$ (11,145)</td>
<td>$ (12,203)</td>
<td>$ (9,736)</td>
<td>$ (11,771)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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6. Investments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Long-term investments:</th>
<th>December 31,</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equity method investments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conrail Inc.</td>
<td>$1,337</td>
<td>$1,293</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTX Company</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>629</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridian Speedway LLC</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>272</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan Am Southern LLC</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total equity method investments</td>
<td>2,532</td>
<td>2,425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate-owned life insurance at net cash surrender value</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>530</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other investments</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total long-term investments</td>
<td>$3,109</td>
<td>$2,981</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Investment in Conrail

Through a limited liability company, we and CSX jointly own Conrail, whose primary subsidiary is CRC. We have a 58% economic and 50% voting interest in the jointly owned entity, and CSX has the remainder of the economic and voting interests. We are amortizing the excess of the purchase price over Conrail’s net equity using the principles of purchase accounting, based primarily on the estimated useful lives of Conrail’s depreciable property and equipment, including the related deferred tax effect of the differences in book and tax accounting bases for such assets, as all of the purchase price at acquisition was allocable to Conrail’s tangible assets and liabilities.

At December 31, 2018, based on the funded status of Conrail’s pension plans, we decreased our proportional investment in Conrail by $11 million. This resulted in a loss of $10 million recorded to “Other comprehensive loss” and a combined federal and state deferred tax asset of $1 million.

At December 31, 2017, based on the funded status of Conrail’s pension plans, we increased our proportional investment in Conrail by $19 million. This resulted in income of $17 million recorded to “Other comprehensive income” and a combined federal and state deferred tax liability of $2 million.

At December 31, 2018, the difference between our investment in Conrail and our share of Conrail’s underlying net equity was $511 million. Our equity in the earnings of Conrail, net of amortization, included in “Purchased services and rents” was $55 million for 2018, $75 million for 2017 (including $33 million related to the enactment of tax reform – see Note 4), and $47 million for 2016. Equity in earnings are included in the “Other – net” line item within operating activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

CRC owns and operates certain properties (the Shared Assets Areas) for the joint and exclusive benefit of NSR and CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT). The costs of operating the Shared Assets Areas are borne by NSR and CSXT based on usage. In addition, NSR and CSXT pay CRC a fee for access to the Shared Assets Areas. “Purchased services and rents” and “Fuel” include expenses payable to CRC for operation of the Shared Assets Areas totaling $150 million in 2018, $141 million in 2017, and $151 million in 2016. Future payments for access fees due to CRC under the Shared Assets Areas agreements are as follows: $38 million in each of 2019 through 2023 and $16 million thereafter. We provide certain general and administrative support functions to Conrail, the fees for which are billed in accordance with several service-provider arrangements and approximate $7 million annually.
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“Accounts payable” includes $202 million at December 31, 2018, and $146 million at December 31, 2017, due to Conrail for the operation of the Shared Assets Areas. “Other liabilities” includes $280 million at both December 31, 2018 and 2017 for long-term advances from Conrail, maturing in 2044, that bear interest at an average rate of 2.9%.

**Investment in TTX**

NS and eight other North American railroads jointly own TTX Company (TTX). NS has a 19.65% ownership interest in TTX, a railcar pooling company that provides its owner-railroads with standardized fleets of intermodal, automotive, and general use railcars at stated rates.

Amounts paid to TTX for use of equipment are included in “Purchased services and rents.” This amounted to $262 million, $237 million, and $229 million of expense, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016. Our equity in the earnings of TTX, also included in “Purchased services and rents,” totaled $61 million for 2018, $158 million (including $115 million related to the enactment of tax reform – see Note 4) for 2017, and $26 million for 2016.

**7. Properties**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 31, 2018</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Accumulated Depreciation</th>
<th>Net Book Value</th>
<th>Depreciation Rate (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>$2,337</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$2,337</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail and other track material</td>
<td>6,888</td>
<td>(1,951)</td>
<td>4,937</td>
<td>2.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ties</td>
<td>5,346</td>
<td>(1,448)</td>
<td>3,898</td>
<td>3.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballast</td>
<td>2,759</td>
<td>(676)</td>
<td>2,083</td>
<td>2.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction in process</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other roadway</td>
<td>14,072</td>
<td>(3,737)</td>
<td>10,335</td>
<td>2.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total roadway</td>
<td>29,507</td>
<td>(7,812)</td>
<td>21,695</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locomotives</td>
<td>5,870</td>
<td>(2,262)</td>
<td>3,608</td>
<td>3.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight cars</td>
<td>3,183</td>
<td>(1,288)</td>
<td>1,895</td>
<td>2.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computers and software</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>(365)</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>10.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction in process</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other equipment</td>
<td>1,071</td>
<td>(380)</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>4.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total equipment</td>
<td>11,184</td>
<td>(4,295)</td>
<td>6,889</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other property</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>(267)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total properties</td>
<td>$43,465</td>
<td>($12,374)</td>
<td>$31,091</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2017</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Accumulated Depreciation $( in millions)</td>
<td>Net Book Value</td>
<td>Depreciation Rate $^{(1)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>$2,342</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$2,342</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail and other track material</td>
<td>6,730</td>
<td>(1,961)</td>
<td>4,769</td>
<td>2.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ties</td>
<td>5,181</td>
<td>(1,374)</td>
<td>3,807</td>
<td>3.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballast</td>
<td>2,654</td>
<td>(624)</td>
<td>2,030</td>
<td>2.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction in process</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other roadway</td>
<td>13,636</td>
<td>(3,523)</td>
<td>10,113</td>
<td>2.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total roadway</td>
<td>28,648</td>
<td>(7,482)</td>
<td>21,166</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locomotives</td>
<td>5,658</td>
<td>(2,158)</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>3.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight cars</td>
<td>3,256</td>
<td>(1,286)</td>
<td>1,970</td>
<td>2.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computers and software</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>(334)</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>10.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction in process</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other equipment</td>
<td>1,004</td>
<td>(366)</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>5.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total equipment</td>
<td>10,775</td>
<td>(4,144)</td>
<td>6,631</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other property</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>(283)</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total properties</td>
<td>$42,239</td>
<td>$(11,909)</td>
<td>$30,330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{(1)}$ Composite annual depreciation rate for the underlying assets, excluding the effects of the amortization of any deficiency (or excess) that resulted from our depreciation studies.

Other property includes the costs of obtaining rights to natural resources of $336 million at both December 31, 2018 and 2017, with accumulated depletion of $200 million at both dates.

**Capitalized Interest**

Total interest cost incurred on debt was $574 million in 2018, $570 million in 2017, and $583 million in 2016, of which $17 million in 2018 and $20 million in both 2017 and 2016 was capitalized.
8. Current Liabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounts payable:</th>
<th>December 31, 2018</th>
<th>December 31, 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts and wages payable</td>
<td>$ 828</td>
<td>$ 822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casualty and other claims (Note 17)</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to Conrail (Note 6)</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacation liability</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,505</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,401</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other current liabilities:</th>
<th>December 31, 2018</th>
<th>December 31, 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest payable</td>
<td>$ 139</td>
<td>$ 115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension benefit obligations (Note 12)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 246</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 233</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Debt

Debt with weighted average interest rates and maturities is presented below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 31, 2018</th>
<th>December 31, 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Notes and debentures:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.68% maturing to 2023</td>
<td>$ 2,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.57% maturing 2024 to 2031</td>
<td>3,065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.49% maturing 2037 to 2052</td>
<td>5,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.90% maturing 2097 to 2118</td>
<td>1,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securitization borrowings and capital leases</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discounts, premiums, and debt issuance costs</td>
<td>(839)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total debt</strong></td>
<td><strong>11,145</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less current maturities and short-term debt</td>
<td>(585)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-term debt excluding current maturities and short-term debt</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 10,560</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Long-term debt maturities subsequent to 2019 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024 and subsequent years</td>
<td>8,462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$10,560</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In February of 2018, we issued $500 million of 4.15% senior notes due 2048.

In August of 2018, we issued $300 million of 3.65% senior notes due 2025, $400 million of 3.80% senior notes due 2028, $200 million of 4.15% senior notes due 2048, and $600 million of 5.10% senior notes due 2118.

In June of 2018, we renewed our accounts receivable securitization program for a 364-day term expiring in May 2019. We also increased the program’s capacity from $350 million to $400 million. Under this facility NSR sells substantially all of its eligible third-party receivables to a subsidiary, which in turn may transfer beneficial interests in the receivables to various commercial paper vehicles. Amounts received under the facility are accounted for as borrowings. Under this facility, we received $50 million in 2018, and paid $150 million and $100 million during 2018 and 2017, respectively. We had no amounts outstanding under this program at December 31, 2018 and $100 million (at an average variable interest rate of 3.21%) at December 31, 2017, which is included within “Short-term debt.” At December 31, 2018 and 2017, the receivables included in “Accounts receivable – net” serving as collateral for these borrowings totaled $793 million and $751 million, respectively. Borrowings under this program are supported by our $750 million credit agreement.

The “Cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash” line item in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows includes restricted cash of $88 million at December 31, 2018 which reflects deposits held by a third-party bond agent as collateral for certain debt obligations maturing in 2019. The restricted cash balance is included as part of “Other current assets” on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

**Credit Agreement and Debt Covenants**

We have in place and available a $750 million, five-year credit agreement which expires in May 2021 and provides for borrowings at prevailing rates and includes covenants. We had no amounts outstanding under this facility at December 31, 2018 and 2017, and we are in compliance with all of its covenants.
10. Lease Commitments

We are committed under long-term lease agreements for equipment, lines of road and other property. Future minimum lease payments and operating lease expense are as follows:

Future Minimum Lease Payments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Future Minimum Lease Payments ($ in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024 and subsequent years</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$695</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Operating Lease Expense

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Minimum rents ($ in millions)</th>
<th>Contingent rents ($ in millions)</th>
<th>Total ($ in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$102</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>$204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contingent rents are primarily comprised of usage-based payments for equipment under service contracts.

11. Other Liabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>December 31,</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net other postretirement benefit obligations (Note 12)</td>
<td>$308</td>
<td>$309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term advances from Conrail (Note 6)</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net pension benefit obligations (Note 12)</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casualty and other claims (Note 17)</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred compensation</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,266</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,347</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12. Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits

We have both funded and unfunded defined benefit pension plans covering principally salaried employees. We also provide specified health care and life insurance benefits to eligible retired employees; these plans can be amended or terminated at our option. Under our self-insured retiree health care plan, for those participants who are not Medicare-eligible, a defined percentage of health care expenses is covered for retired employees and their dependents, reduced by any deductibles, coinsurance, and, in some cases, coverage provided under other group insurance policies. Those participants who are Medicare-eligible are not covered under the self-insured retiree health care plan, but instead are provided with an employer-funded health reimbursement account which can be used for reimbursement of health insurance premiums or eligible out-of-pocket medical expenses.

### Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Obligations and Plan Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pension Benefits</th>
<th>Other Postretirement Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in benefit obligations:</td>
<td>($) in millions</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit obligation at beginning of year</td>
<td>$2,541</td>
<td>$2,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service cost</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest cost</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial losses (gains)</td>
<td>(149)</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits paid</td>
<td>(143)</td>
<td>(140)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Change in plan assets: |                           |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | $2,373 | $2,073 | $201 | $182 |
| Actual return on plan assets | (143) | 423 | (19) | 40 |
| Employer contribution | 18 | 17 | 18 | 25 |
| Benefits paid | (143) | (140) | (42) | (46) |
|                  |                  |                               | Fair value of plan assets at end of year | $2,105 | $2,373 | $158 | $201 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funded status at end of year</th>
<th>($) in millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets:

|                  |                |
| Noncurrent assets | $30 | $145 |
| Current liabilities | (18) | (17) |
| Noncurrent liabilities | (278) | (296) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net amount recognized</th>
<th>($) in millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive loss (before tax):

|                  | ($) in millions |
| Net loss         | 895 | 781 | 21 | 11 |
| Prior service cost (benefit) | 2 | 2 | (259) | (283) |
Our accumulated benefit obligation for our defined benefit pension plans is $2.2 billion and $2.3 billion at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. Our unfunded pension plans, included above, which in all cases have no assets, had projected benefit obligations of $296 million and $313 million at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively, and had accumulated benefit obligations of $263 million and $267 million at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Cost Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018 ($ in millions)</th>
<th>2017 ($ in millions)</th>
<th>2016 ($ in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pension benefits:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service cost</td>
<td>$39</td>
<td>$38</td>
<td>$36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest cost</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected return on plan assets</td>
<td>(177)</td>
<td>(172)</td>
<td>(173)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of net losses</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of prior service cost</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net cost (benefit)</strong></td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>$(2)</td>
<td>$(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other postretirement benefits:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service cost</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>$7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest cost</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected return on plan assets</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of prior service benefit</td>
<td>(24)</td>
<td>(24)</td>
<td>(24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net benefit</strong></td>
<td>$(17)</td>
<td>$(17)</td>
<td>$(18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Changes in Plan Assets and Benefit Obligations Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018 ($ in millions)</th>
<th>Other Postretirement Benefits ($ in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net loss arising during the year</td>
<td>$171</td>
<td>$10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of net losses</td>
<td>(57)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of prior service benefit</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total recognized in other comprehensive income</strong></td>
<td>$114</td>
<td>$34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total recognized in net periodic cost and other comprehensive income</td>
<td>$116</td>
<td>$17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net actuarial losses arising during the year for pension and other postretirement benefits were due primarily to lower actual returns on plan assets, partially offset by an increase in discount rates.
The estimated net losses for the pension plans that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic cost over the next year are $44 million. The estimated prior service benefit for the other postretirement benefit plans that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic benefit over the next year is $24 million.

**Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits Assumptions**

Costs for pension and other postretirement benefits are determined based on actuarial valuations that reflect appropriate assumptions as of the measurement date, ordinarily the beginning of each year. The funded status of the plans is determined using appropriate assumptions as of each year end. A summary of the major assumptions follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pension funded status:</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discount rate</td>
<td>4.33%</td>
<td>3.74%</td>
<td>4.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future salary increases</td>
<td>4.21%</td>
<td>4.21%</td>
<td>4.21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other postretirement benefits funded status:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discount rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pension cost:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discount rate - service cost</td>
<td>4.01%</td>
<td>4.31%</td>
<td>4.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount rate - interest cost</td>
<td>3.33%</td>
<td>3.43%</td>
<td>3.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on assets in plans</td>
<td>8.25%</td>
<td>8.25%</td>
<td>8.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future salary increases</td>
<td>4.21%</td>
<td>4.21%</td>
<td>4.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other postretirement benefits cost:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discount rate - service cost</td>
<td>3.83%</td>
<td>4.17%</td>
<td>4.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount rate - interest cost</td>
<td>3.13%</td>
<td>3.14%</td>
<td>3.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on assets in plans</td>
<td>8.00%</td>
<td>8.00%</td>
<td>8.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care trend rate</td>
<td>6.30%</td>
<td>6.56%</td>
<td>6.30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To determine the discount rates used to measure our benefit obligations, we utilize analyses in which the projected annual cash flows from the pension and other postretirement benefit plans were matched with yield curves based on an appropriate universe of high-quality corporate bonds. We use the results of the yield curve analyses to select the discount rates that match the payment streams of the benefits in these plans.

We use a spot rate approach to estimate the service cost and interest cost components of net periodic benefit cost for our pension and other postretirement benefit plans.

**Health Care Cost Trend Assumptions**

For measurement purposes at December 31, 2018, increases in the per capita cost of pre-Medicare covered health care benefits were assumed to be 6.5% for 2019. It is assumed the rate will decrease gradually to an ultimate rate of 5.0% for 2025 and remain at that level thereafter.
Assumed health care cost trend rates affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements. To illustrate, a one-percentage point change in the assumed health care cost trend would have the following effects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One-percentage point</th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in:</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total service and interest cost components</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$ (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postretirement benefit obligation</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Asset Management**

Eleven investment firms manage our defined benefit pension plans’ assets under investment guidelines approved by our Benefits Investment Committee that is comprised of members of our management. Investments are restricted to domestic and international equity securities, domestic and international fixed income securities, and unleveraged exchange-traded options and financial futures. Limitations restrict investment concentration and use of certain derivative investments. The target asset allocation for equity is 75% of the pension plans’ assets. Fixed income investments must consist predominantly of securities rated investment grade or higher. Equity investments must be in liquid securities listed on national exchanges. No investment is permitted in our securities (except through commingled pension trust funds).

Our pension plans’ weighted average asset allocations, by asset category, were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of plan assets at December 31,</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Domestic equity securities</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International equity securities</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt securities</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The other postretirement benefit plan assets consist primarily of trust-owned variable life insurance policies with an asset allocation at December 31, 2018 of 64% in equity securities and 36% in debt securities compared with 67% in equity securities and 33% in debt securities at December 31, 2017. The target asset allocation for equity is between 50% and 75% of the plan’s assets.

The plans’ assumed future returns are based principally on the asset allocations and historical returns for the plans’ asset classes determined from both actual plan returns and, over longer time periods, expected market returns for those asset classes. For 2019, we assume an 8.25% return on pension plan assets.
Fair Value of Plan Assets

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for pension plan assets measured at fair value.

Common stock: Shares held by the plan at year end are valued at the official closing price as defined by the exchange or at the most recent trade price of a security at the close of the active market.

Common collective trusts: The readily determinable fair value is based on the published fair value per unit of the trusts. The common collective trusts hold equity securities, fixed income securities and cash and cash equivalents.

Fixed income securities: Valued based on quotes received from independent pricing services or at an estimated price at which a dealer would pay for a security at year end using observable market-based inputs.

Commingled funds: The readily determinable fair value is based on the published fair value per unit of the funds. The commingled funds hold equity securities.

Cash and cash equivalents: Short-term bills or notes are valued at an estimated price at which a dealer would pay for the security at year end using observable market-based inputs; money market funds are valued at the closing price reported on the active market on which the funds are traded.

The following table sets forth the pension plans’ assets by valuation technique level, within the fair value hierarchy (there were no level 3 valued assets).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common stock</td>
<td>$1,106</td>
<td>$—</td>
<td>$1,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common collective trusts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International equity securities</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt securities</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed income securities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate bonds</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government and agencies securities</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage and other asset-backed securities</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commingled funds</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total investments</td>
<td>$1,178</td>
<td>$927</td>
<td>$2,105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Level 1 ($ in millions)</th>
<th>Level 2 ($ in millions)</th>
<th>Total ($ in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common stock</td>
<td>$1,154</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$1,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common collective trusts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International equity securities</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt securities</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed income securities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government and agencies securities</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commingled funds</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total investments</td>
<td>$1,177</td>
<td>$1,196</td>
<td>$2,373</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for other postretirement benefit plan assets measured at fair value.

Trust-owned life insurance: Valued at our share of the net assets of trust-owned life insurance issued by a major insurance company. The underlying investments of that trust consist of a U.S. stock account and a U.S. bond account but may retain cash at times as well. The U.S. stock account and U.S. bond account are valued based on readily determinable fair values.

The other postretirement benefit plan assets consisted of trust-owned life insurance with fair values of $158 million and $201 million at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively, and are valued under level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. There were no level 1 or level 3 valued assets.

**Contributions and Estimated Future Benefit Payments**

In 2019, we expect to contribute approximately $18 million to our unfunded pension plans for payments to pensioners and approximately $41 million to our other postretirement benefit plans for retiree health and death benefits. We do not expect to contribute to our funded pension plan in 2019.

Benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Pension Benefits ($ in millions)</th>
<th>Other Postretirement Benefits ($ in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$142</td>
<td>$41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years 2024 – 2028</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other Postretirement Coverage

Under collective bargaining agreements, Norfolk Southern and certain subsidiaries participate in a multi-employer benefit plan, which provides certain postretirement health care and life insurance benefits to eligible union employees. Premiums under this plan are expensed as incurred and totaled $35 million in 2018, $44 million in 2017, and $37 million in 2016.

Section 401(k) Plans

Norfolk Southern and certain subsidiaries provide Section 401(k) savings plans for employees. Under the plans, we match a portion of employee contributions, subject to applicable limitations. Our matching contributions, recorded as an expense, under these plans were $23 million in both 2018 and 2017 and $21 million in 2016.

13. Stock-Based Compensation

Under the stockholder-approved Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP), the Compensation Committee (Committee), which is made up of nonemployee members of the Board of Directors, or the Chief Executive Officer (when delegated authority by such Committee), may grant stock options, stock appreciation rights (SARs), restricted stock units (RSUs), restricted shares, performance share units (PSUs), and performance shares, up to a maximum of 104,125,000 shares of our Common Stock, of which 8,644,108 remain available for future grants as of December 31, 2018.

The number of shares remaining for issuance under the LTIP is reduced (i) by 1 for each award granted as a stock option or stock-settled SAR, or (ii) by 1.61 for an award made in the form other than a stock option or stock-settled SAR. Under the Board-approved Thoroughbred Stock Option Plan (TSOP), the Committee may grant stock options up to a maximum of 6,000,000 shares of Common Stock. We use newly issued shares to satisfy any exercises and awards under the LTIP and the TSOP.

The LTIP also permits the payment, on a current or a deferred basis and in cash or in stock, of dividend equivalents on shares of Common Stock covered by stock options, RSUs, or PSUs in an amount commensurate with regular quarterly dividends paid on Common Stock. With respect to stock options, if employment of the participant is terminated for any reason, including retirement, disability, or death, we have no further obligation to make any dividend equivalent payments. Regarding RSUs, we have no further obligation to make any dividend equivalent payments unless employment of the participant is terminated as a result of qualifying retirement or disability. Should an employee terminate employment, they are not required to forfeit dividend equivalent payments already received. Outstanding PSUs do not receive dividend equivalent payments.

The Committee granted stock options, RSUs and PSUs pursuant to the LTIP and granted stock options pursuant to the TSOP for the last three years as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value</td>
<td>Granted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock options:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTIP</td>
<td>40,960</td>
<td>$ 41.70</td>
<td>341,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSOP</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>144,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40,960</td>
<td>485,560</td>
<td>996,610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSUs</td>
<td>217,290</td>
<td>148.37</td>
<td>83,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSUs</td>
<td>92,314</td>
<td>91.60</td>
<td>300,334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Beginning in 2018, recipients of certain RSUs and PSUs pursuant to the LTIP who retire prior to October 1st will forfeit awards received in the current year. Receipt of certain LTIP awards is contingent on the recipient having executed a non-compete agreement with the company.

We account for our grants of stock options, RSUs, PSUs, and dividend equivalent payments in accordance with FASB ASC 718, “Compensation - Stock Compensation.” Accordingly, all awards result in charges to net income while dividend equivalent payments, which are all related to equity classified awards, are charged to retained income. Compensation cost for the awards is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award. Related compensation costs and tax benefits during the year were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018 ($ in millions)</th>
<th>2017 ($ in millions)</th>
<th>2016 ($ in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stock-based compensation expense</td>
<td>$47</td>
<td>$45</td>
<td>$42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total tax benefit</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stock Options**

Option exercise prices will be at least the higher of (i) the average of the high and low prices at which Common Stock is traded on the grant date, or (ii) the closing price of Common Stock on the grant date. All options are subject to a vesting period of at least one year, and the term of the option will not exceed ten years. Holders of the options granted under the LTIP who remain actively employed receive cash dividend equivalent payments for four years in an amount equal to the regular quarterly dividends paid on Common Stock. Dividend equivalent payments are not made on the TSOP options.

For all years, options granted under the LTIP and the TSOP may not be exercised prior to the fourth and third anniversaries of the date of grant, respectively, or if the optionee retires or dies before that anniversary date, may not be exercised before the later of one year after the grant date or the date of the optionee’s retirement or death.

The fair value of each option awarded in 2018 was measured on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The fair value of each option awarded in 2017 and 2016 was measured on the date of grant using a binomial lattice-based option valuation model. Expected volatility is based on implied volatility from traded options on, and historical volatility of, Common Stock. Historical data is used to estimate option exercises and employee terminations within the valuation model. For the 2018 grant year, historical exercise data is used to estimate the average expected option term. For the 2017 and 2016 grant years, the average expected option term is derived from the output of the valuation model and represents the period of time that all options granted are expected to be outstanding, including the branches of the model that result in options expiring unexercised. The average risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. A dividend yield of zero was used for the LTIP options during the vesting period. For 2018, 2017, and 2016, a dividend yield of 1.94%, 2.04%, and 3.37%, respectively, was used for all vested LTIP options and all TSOP options.
The assumptions for the LTIP and TSOP grants for the last three years are shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average expected volatility</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average risk-free interest rate</td>
<td>2.55%</td>
<td>2.51%</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average expected option term LTIP</td>
<td>7.2 years</td>
<td>8.6 years</td>
<td>8.9 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average expected option term TSOP</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>8.3 years</td>
<td>8.6 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A summary of changes in stock options is presented below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock Options</th>
<th>Weighted Avg. Exercise Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding at December 31, 2017</td>
<td>4,234,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>40,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercised</td>
<td>(840,175)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forfeited</td>
<td>(15,208)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding at December 31, 2018</td>
<td>3,419,644</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding at December 31, 2018 was $215 million with a weighted average remaining contractual term of 5.7 years. Of these options outstanding, 1,908,864 were exercisable and had an aggregate intrinsic value of $175 million with a weighted average exercise price of $57.81 and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 3.0 years.

The following table provides information related to options exercised for the last three years:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018 ($ in millions)</th>
<th>2017 ($ in millions)</th>
<th>2016 ($ in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Options exercised</td>
<td>840,175</td>
<td>1,789,939</td>
<td>1,466,721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total intrinsic value</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash received upon exercise</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related tax benefits realized</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At December 31, 2018, total unrecognized compensation related to options granted under the LTIP and the TSOP was $5 million, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.6 years.
Restricted Stock Units

RSUs granted in 2018 primarily have a four-year ratable restriction period and will be settled through the issuance of shares of Common Stock. RSUs granted in 2017 and 2016 have a five-year restriction period and will also be settled through the issuance of shares of Common Stock. Certain RSU grants include cash dividend equivalent payments during the restriction period in an amount equal to regular quarterly dividends paid on Common Stock.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RSUs vested</td>
<td>160,200</td>
<td>137,200</td>
<td>175,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Stock issued net</td>
<td>99,968</td>
<td>81,318</td>
<td>103,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of tax withholding</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related tax benefit</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>realized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A summary of changes in RSUs is presented below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RSUs</th>
<th>Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonvested at December 31, 2017</td>
<td>588,405</td>
<td>$87.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>217,290</td>
<td>148.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vested</td>
<td>(160,200)</td>
<td>69.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forfeited</td>
<td>(8,460)</td>
<td>143.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonvested at December 31, 2018</td>
<td>637,035</td>
<td>111.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At December 31, 2018, total unrecognized compensation related to RSUs was $17 million, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 2.9 years.

Performance Share Units

PSUs provide for awards based on the achievement of certain predetermined corporate performance goals at the end of a three-year cycle and are settled through the issuance of shares of Common Stock. All PSUs will earn out based on the achievement of performance conditions and some will also earn out based on a market condition. The market condition fair value was measured on the date of grant using a Monte Carlo simulation model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSUs earned</td>
<td>154,189</td>
<td>171,080</td>
<td>406,038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Stock issued net</td>
<td>94,399</td>
<td>99,805</td>
<td>241,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of tax withholding</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related tax benefit</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>realized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A summary of changes in PSUs is presented below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PSUs</th>
<th>Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance at December 31, 2017</td>
<td>1,748,752</td>
<td>$63.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>92,314</td>
<td>91.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earned</td>
<td>(154,189)</td>
<td>46.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unearned</td>
<td>(256,981)</td>
<td>87.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forfeited</td>
<td>(3,070)</td>
<td>77.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance at December 31, 2018</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,426,826</strong></td>
<td><strong>62.77</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At December 31, 2018, total unrecognized compensation related to PSUs granted under the LTIP was $5 million, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.5 years.

**Shares Available and Issued**

Shares of Common Stock available for future grants and issued in connection with all features of the LTIP and the TSOP at December 31, were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Available for future grants:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTIP</td>
<td>8,644,108</td>
<td>8,774,768</td>
<td>9,385,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSOP</td>
<td>422,973</td>
<td>410,895</td>
<td>544,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issued:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTIP</td>
<td>820,746</td>
<td>1,679,547</td>
<td>1,511,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSOP</td>
<td>213,796</td>
<td>291,515</td>
<td>300,769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**14. Stockholders’ Equity**

**Common Stock**

Common Stock is reported net of shares held by our consolidated subsidiaries (Treasury Shares). Treasury Shares at December 31, 2018 and 2017 amounted to 20,320,777, with a cost of $19 million at both dates.
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The components of “Other comprehensive income (loss)” reported in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income and changes in the cumulative balances of “Accumulated other comprehensive loss” reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets consisted of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Balance at Beginning of Year</th>
<th>Net Income (Loss)</th>
<th>Reclassification of Stranded Tax Effects</th>
<th>Reclassification Adjustments</th>
<th>Balance at End of Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year ended December 31, 2018</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensions and other postretirement liabilities</td>
<td>$ (300)</td>
<td>$ (136)</td>
<td>$ (86)</td>
<td>$ 25</td>
<td>$ (497)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive loss of equity investees</td>
<td>(56)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated other comprehensive loss</td>
<td>$ (356)</td>
<td>$ (144)</td>
<td>$ (88)</td>
<td>$ 25</td>
<td>$ (563)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Year ended December 31, 2017** |                              |                   |                                          |                            |                        |
| Pensions and other postretirement liabilities | $ (414) | $ 95 | $ — | $ 19 | $ (300) |
| Other comprehensive income of equity investees | (73) | 17 | — | — | (56) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | $ (487) | $ 112 | $ — | $ 19 | $ (356) |

The adoption of FASB ASU 2018-02 (see Note 1) resulted in an increase to “Accumulated other comprehensive loss” of $88 million and a corresponding increase to “Retained income,” with no impact on “Total stockholders’ equity.”
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

“Other comprehensive income (loss)” reported in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income consisted of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretax Amount</th>
<th>Tax (Expense) Benefit</th>
<th>Net-of-Tax Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Year ended December 31, 2018**

Net gain (loss) arising during the year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Pretax Amount</th>
<th>Tax (Expense) Benefit</th>
<th>Net-of-Tax Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pensions and other postretirement benefits</td>
<td>$ (181)</td>
<td>$ 45</td>
<td>$ (136)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclassification adjustments for costs included in net income</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>(148)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>(111)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other comprehensive loss of equity investees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretax Amount</th>
<th>Tax (Expense) Benefit</th>
<th>Net-of-Tax Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive loss</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Other Comprehensive Income**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretax Amount</th>
<th>Tax (Expense) Benefit</th>
<th>Net-of-Tax Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income</td>
<td>$ (157)</td>
<td>$ 38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Year ended December 31, 2017**

Net gain arising during the year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Pretax Amount</th>
<th>Tax (Expense) Benefit</th>
<th>Net-of-Tax Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pensions and other postretirement benefits</td>
<td>$ 127</td>
<td>$ (32)</td>
<td>$ 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclassification adjustments for costs included in net income</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>155</td>
<td>(41)</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other comprehensive income of equity investees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretax Amount</th>
<th>Tax (Expense) Benefit</th>
<th>Net-of-Tax Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Other Comprehensive Income**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretax Amount</th>
<th>Tax (Expense) Benefit</th>
<th>Net-of-Tax Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income</td>
<td>$ 174</td>
<td>$ (43)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Year ended December 31, 2016**

Net gain (loss) arising during the year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Pretax Amount</th>
<th>Tax (Expense) Benefit</th>
<th>Net-of-Tax Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pensions and other postretirement benefits</td>
<td>$ (101)</td>
<td>$ 37</td>
<td>$ (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclassification adjustments for costs included in net income</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>(74)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>(47)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other comprehensive income of equity investees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretax Amount</th>
<th>Tax (Expense) Benefit</th>
<th>Net-of-Tax Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Other Comprehensive Loss**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretax Amount</th>
<th>Tax (Expense) Benefit</th>
<th>Net-of-Tax Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
<td>($ in millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive loss</td>
<td>$ (69)</td>
<td>$ 27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
15. Stock Repurchase Programs

We repurchased and retired 17.1 million (7.0 million shares under the ASR and 10.1 million shares under our ongoing open-market program), 8.2 million, and 9.2 million shares of Common Stock under our stock repurchase programs in 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively, at a cost of $2.8 billion, $1.0 billion, and $803 million, respectively. We entered into an ASR on August 2, 2018 with two financial institutions to repurchase Common Stock, at which time we made a payment of $1.2 billion to the financial institutions and received an initial delivery of 5.7 million shares valued at $960 million. In December 2018, the remaining balance was settled through the receipt of 1.3 million additional shares.

On September 26, 2017, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to an additional 50 million shares of Common Stock through December 31, 2022. As of December 31, 2018, 39.4 million shares remain authorized for repurchase. Since the beginning of 2006, we have repurchased and retired 185.6 million shares at a total cost of $14.1 billion.

16. Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Basic</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Diluted</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($ in millions except per share amounts, shares in millions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$ 2,666</td>
<td>$ 5,404</td>
<td>$ 1,668</td>
<td>$ 2,666</td>
<td>$ 5,404</td>
<td>$ 1,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend equivalent payments</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income available to common stockholders</td>
<td>$ 2,660</td>
<td>$ 5,400</td>
<td>$ 1,663</td>
<td>$ 2,665</td>
<td>$ 5,402</td>
<td>$ 1,664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted-average shares outstanding</td>
<td>277.7</td>
<td>287.9</td>
<td>293.9</td>
<td>277.7</td>
<td>287.9</td>
<td>293.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilutive effect of outstanding options and share-settled awards</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted weighted-average shares outstanding</td>
<td>280.2</td>
<td>290.3</td>
<td>296.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings per share</td>
<td>$ 9.58</td>
<td>$ 18.76</td>
<td>$ 5.66</td>
<td>$ 9.51</td>
<td>$ 18.61</td>
<td>$ 5.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In each year, dividend equivalent payments were made to holders of stock options and RSUs. For purposes of computing basic earnings per share, dividend equivalent payments made to holders of stock options and RSUs were deducted from net income to determine income available to common stockholders. For purposes of computing diluted earnings per share, we evaluate on a grant-by-grant basis those stock options and RSUs receiving dividend equivalent payments under the two-class and treasury stock methods to determine which method is more dilutive for each grant. For those grants for which the two-class method was more dilutive, net income was reduced by dividend equivalent payments to determine income available to common stockholders. The dilution calculations exclude options having exercise prices exceeding the average market price of Common Stock of zero, 0.2 million, and 1.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.
17. Commitments and Contingencies

Lawsuits

We and/or certain subsidiaries are defendants in numerous lawsuits and other claims relating principally to railroad operations. When we conclude that it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated, it is accrued through a charge to earnings. While the ultimate amount of liability incurred in any of these lawsuits and claims is dependent on future developments, in our opinion, the recorded liability is adequate to cover the future payment of such liability and claims. However, the final outcome of any of these lawsuits and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, and unfavorable or unexpected outcomes could result in additional accruals that could be significant to results of operations in a particular year or quarter. Any adjustments to the recorded liability will be reflected in earnings in the periods in which such adjustments become known.

In 2007, various antitrust class actions filed against us and other Class I railroads in various Federal district courts regarding fuel surcharges were consolidated in the District of Columbia by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation. In 2012, the court certified the case as a class action. The defendant railroads appealed this certification, and the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia vacated the District Court’s decision and remanded the case for further consideration. On October 10, 2017, the District Court denied class certification; the findings are subject to appeal. We believe the allegations in the complaints are without merit and intend to vigorously defend the cases. We do not believe the outcome of these proceedings will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

Casualty Claims

Casualty claims include employee personal injury and occupational claims as well as third-party claims, all exclusive of legal costs. To aid in valuing our personal injury liability and determining the amount to accrue with respect to such claims during the year, we utilize studies prepared by an independent consulting actuarial firm. Job-related personal injury and occupational claims are subject to FELA, which is applicable only to railroads. FELA’s fault-based tort system produces results that are unpredictable and inconsistent as compared with a no-fault workers’ compensation system. The variability inherent in this system could result in actual costs being different from the liability recorded. While the ultimate amount of claims incurred is dependent on future developments, in our opinion, the recorded liability is adequate to cover the future payments of claims and is supported by the most recent actuarial study. In all cases, we record a liability when the expected loss for the claim is both probable and reasonably estimable.

Employee personal injury claims – The largest component of casualties and other claims expense is employee personal injury costs. The independent actuarial firm engaged by us provides quarterly studies to aid in valuing our employee personal injury liability and estimating personal injury expense. The actuarial firm studies our historical patterns of reserving for claims and subsequent settlements, taking into account relevant outside influences. The actuarial firm uses the results of these analyses to estimate the ultimate amount of liability. We adjust the liability quarterly based upon our assessment and the results of the study. Our estimate of the liability is subject to inherent limitation given the difficulty of predicting future events such as jury decisions, court interpretations, or legislative changes. As a result, actual claim settlements may vary from the estimated liability recorded.

Occupational claims – Occupational claims include injuries and illnesses alleged to be caused by exposures which occur over time as opposed to injuries or illnesses caused by a specific accident or event. Types of occupational claims commonly seen allege exposure to asbestos and other claimed toxic substances resulting in respiratory diseases or cancer, exposure to repetitive motion resulting in various musculoskeletal disorders, and exposure to excessive noise resulting in hearing loss. Many such claims are being asserted by former or retired employees, some of whom have not been employed in the rail industry for decades. The independent actuarial firm provides an estimate of the occupational claims liability based upon our history of claim filings, severity, payments, and other pertinent facts. The liability is dependent upon judgments we make as to the specific case reserves as well as
judgments of the actuarial firm in the quarterly studies. The actuarial firm’s estimate of ultimate loss includes a provision for those claims that have been incurred but not reported. This provision is derived by analyzing industry data and projecting our experience. We adjust the liability quarterly based upon our assessment and the results of the study. However, it is possible that the recorded liability may not be adequate to cover the future payment of claims. Adjustments to the recorded liability are reflected in operating expenses in the periods in which such adjustments become known.

**Third-party claims** – We record a liability for third-party claims including those for highway crossing accidents, trespasser and other injuries, automobile liability, property damage, and lading damage. The actuarial firm assists us with the calculation of potential liability for third-party claims, except lading damage, based upon our experience including the number and timing of incidents, amount of payments, settlement rates, number of open claims, and legal defenses. We adjust the liability quarterly based upon our assessment and the results of the study. Given the inherent uncertainty in regard to the ultimate outcome of third-party claims, it is possible that the actual loss may differ from the estimated liability recorded.

**Environmental Matters**

We are subject to various jurisdictions’ environmental laws and regulations. We record a liability where such liability or loss is probable and reasonably estimable. Environmental specialists regularly participate in ongoing evaluations of all known sites and in determining any necessary adjustments to liability estimates.

Our Consolidated Balance Sheets include liabilities for environmental exposures of $55 million at December 31, 2018, and $58 million at December 31, 2017, of which $15 million is classified as a current liability at the end of both 2018 and 2017. At December 31, 2018, the liability represents our estimates of the probable cleanup, investigation, and remediation costs based on available information at 114 known locations and projects compared with 127 locations and projects at December 31, 2017. At December 31, 2018, fifteen sites accounted for $37 million of the liability, and no individual site was considered to be material. We anticipate that much of this liability will be paid out over five years; however, some costs will be paid out over a longer period.

At eleven locations, one or more of our subsidiaries in conjunction with a number of other parties have been identified as potentially responsible parties under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 or comparable state statutes that impose joint and several liability for cleanup costs. We calculate our estimated liability for these sites based on facts and legal defenses applicable to each site and not solely on the basis of the potential for joint liability.

With respect to known environmental sites (whether identified by us or by the Environmental Protection Agency or comparable state authorities), estimates of our ultimate potential financial exposure for a given site or in the aggregate for all such sites can change over time because of the widely varying costs of currently available cleanup techniques, unpredictable contaminant recovery and reduction rates associated with available cleanup technologies, the likely development of new cleanup technologies, the difficulty of determining in advance the nature and full extent of contamination and each potential participant’s share of any estimated loss (and that participant’s ability to bear it), and evolving statutory and regulatory standards governing liability.

The risk of incurring environmental liability for acts and omissions, past, present, and future, is inherent in the railroad business. Some of the commodities we transport, particularly those classified as hazardous materials, pose special risks that we work diligently to reduce. In addition, several of our subsidiaries own, or have owned, land used as operating property, or which is leased and operated by others, or held for sale. Because environmental problems that are latent or undisclosed may exist on these properties, there can be no assurance that we will not incur environmental liabilities or costs with respect to one or more of them, the amount and materiality of which cannot be estimated reliably at this time. Moreover, lawsuits and claims involving these and potentially other unidentified environmental sites and matters are likely to arise from time to time. The resulting liabilities could have a significant effect on financial position, results of operations, or liquidity in a particular year or quarter.
Based on our assessment of the facts and circumstances now known, we believe we have recorded the probable and reasonably estimable costs for dealing with those environmental matters of which we are aware. Further, we believe that it is unlikely that any known matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

**Insurance**

We obtain on behalf of ourself and our subsidiaries insurance for potential losses for third-party liability and first-party property damages. We are currently self-insured up to $50 million and above $1.1 billion ($1.5 billion for specific perils) per occurrence and/or policy year for bodily injury and property damage to third parties and up to $25 million and above $200 million per occurrence and/or policy year for property owned by us or in our care, custody, or control.

**Purchase Commitments**

At December 31, 2018, we had outstanding purchase commitments totaling approximately $1.2 billion for locomotives, track material, long-term service contracts, track and yard expansion projects in connection with our capital programs as well as freight cars and containers through 2023.

**Change-In-Control Arrangements**

We have compensation agreements with certain officers and key employees that become operative only upon a change in control of Norfolk Southern, as defined in those agreements. The agreements provide generally for payments based on compensation at the time of a covered individual’s involuntary or other specified termination and for certain other benefits.

**Indemnifications**

In a number of instances, we have agreed to indemnify lenders for additional costs they may bear as a result of certain changes in laws or regulations applicable to their loans. Such changes may include impositions or modifications with respect to taxes, duties, reserves, liquidity, capital adequacy, special deposits, and similar requirements relating to extensions of credit by, deposits with, or the assets or liabilities of such lenders. The nature and timing of changes in laws or regulations applicable to our financings are inherently unpredictable, and therefore our exposure in connection with the foregoing indemnifications cannot be quantified. No liability has been recorded related to these indemnifications.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>March 31</th>
<th>June 30</th>
<th>September 30</th>
<th>December 31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2018</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway operating revenues</td>
<td>$2,717</td>
<td>$2,898</td>
<td>$2,947</td>
<td>$2,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from railway operations</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>1,026</td>
<td>1,020</td>
<td>1,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings per share:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2017</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railway operating revenues</td>
<td>$2,575</td>
<td>$2,637</td>
<td>$2,670</td>
<td>$2,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from railway operations</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>3,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings per share:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>13.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>13.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note 1:** In the fourth quarter of 2017, as a result of the enactment of tax reform, “Income from railway operations” included a $151 million benefit and income taxes included a $3,331 million benefit, which added $3,482 million to “Net income,” $12.21 to “Earnings per share – basic,” and $12.10 to “Earnings per share – diluted.”

**Note 2:** The retrospective application of FASB ASU 2017-07 resulted in an increase to “Compensation and benefits” expense within “Railway operating expenses” and an offsetting increase to “Other income – net” of $16 million in each of the quarters of 2017. This resulted in a decrease to “Income from railway operations” as presented for each of the quarters of 2017.
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, with the assistance of management, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act)) at December 31, 2018. Based on such evaluation, our officers have concluded that, at December 31, 2018, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, within the time period specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that pertain to our ability to record, process, summarize, and report reliable financial data. We recognize that there are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of internal control. Accordingly, even effective internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation. Further, because of changes in conditions, the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting may vary over time.

Our Board of Directors, acting through its Audit Committee, is responsible for the oversight of our accounting policies, financial reporting, and internal control. The Audit Committee of our Board of Directors is comprised of outside directors who are independent of management. The independent registered public accounting firm and our internal auditors have full and unlimited access to the Audit Committee, with or without management, to discuss the adequacy of internal control over financial reporting, and any other matters which they believe should be brought to the attention of the Audit Committee.

We have issued a report of our assessment of internal control over financial reporting, and our independent registered public accounting firm has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting at December 31, 2018. These reports appear in Item 8 of this report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the fourth quarter of 2018, we have not identified any changes in internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially effect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

In accordance with General Instruction G(3), information called for by Part III, Item 10, is incorporated herein by reference from the information appearing under the caption “Election of Directors,” under the caption “Section 16 (a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance,” under the caption “Corporate Governance and the Board,” under the caption “Committees of the Board,” under the caption “Shareholder Recommendations and Nominations,” and under the caption “The Thoroughbred Code of Ethics” in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which definitive Proxy Statement will be filed electronically with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A. The information regarding executive officers called for by Item 401 of Regulation S-K is included in Part I hereof beginning under “Executive Officers of the Registrant.”

Item 11. Executive Compensation

In accordance with General Instruction G(3), information called for by Part III, Item 11, is incorporated herein by reference from the information:

- under the caption “Corporate Governance and the Board”, including “Compensation of Directors” and “Non-Employee Director Compensation;”
- appearing under the caption “Executive Compensation” for executives, including the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis,” the information appearing in the “Summary Compensation Table” and the “2018 Grants of Plan-Based Awards” table, including the narrative to such tables, the “Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2018” and “Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2018” tables, and the tabular and narrative information appearing under the subcaptions “Retirement Benefits,” “Deferred Compensation,” and “Potential Payments Upon a Change in Control or Other Termination of Employment;” and
- appearing under the captions “Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation,” “Compensation Policy Risk Assessment,” and “Compensation Committee Report,”

in each case included in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which definitive Proxy Statement will be filed electronically with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A.

In accordance with General Instruction G(3), information on security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management called for by Part III, Item 12, is incorporated herein by reference from the information appearing under the caption “Beneficial Ownership of Stock” in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which definitive Proxy Statement will be filed electronically with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Equity Compensation Plan Information (at December 31, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Category</th>
<th>Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights</th>
<th>Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights</th>
<th>Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equity compensation plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>approved by securities holders(2)</td>
<td>5,048,649</td>
<td>$ 85.10</td>
<td>8,644,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity compensation plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not approved by securities holders</td>
<td>733,502</td>
<td>84.23</td>
<td>422,973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5,782,151</td>
<td>84.23</td>
<td>9,067,081</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Excludes securities reflected in column (a).

(2) LTIP.

(3) TSOP and the Directors’ Restricted Stock Plan.

(4) Includes options, RSUs and PSUs granted under LTIP that will be settled in shares of stock.

(5) Calculated without regard to 2,362,507 outstanding RSUs and PSUs at December 31, 2018.

(6) Reflects shares remaining available for grant under TSOP.

Norfolk Southern Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP)

Established on June 28, 1983, and approved by our stockholders at their Annual Meeting held on May 10, 1984, LTIP was adopted to promote the success of our company by providing an opportunity for non-employee Directors, officers, and other key employees to acquire a proprietary interest in the Corporation. The Board of Directors amended LTIP on January 23, 2015, which amendment was approved by shareholders on May 14, 2015, to include the reservation for issuance of an additional 8,000,000 shares of authorized but unissued Common Stock.

The amended LTIP adopted a fungible share reserve ratio so that, for awards granted after May 13, 2010, the number of shares remaining for issuance under the amended LTIP will be reduced (i) by 1 for each award granted as an option or stock-settled stock appreciation right, or (ii) by 1.61 for an award made in the form other than an option or stock-settled stock appreciation right. Any shares of Common Stock subject to options, PSUs, restricted shares, or RSUs which are not issued as Common Stock will again be available for award under LTIP after the expiration or forfeiture of an award.

Non-employee Directors, officers, and other key employees residing in the United States of America or Canada are eligible for selection to receive LTIP awards. Under LTIP, the Committee, or the Corporation’s chief executive
officer to the extent the Committee delegates award-making authority pursuant to LTIP, may grant incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, RSUs, restricted shares, PSUs, and performance shares. In addition, dividend equivalent payments may be awarded for options, RSUs, and PSUs. Awards under LTIP may be made subject to forfeiture under certain circumstances and the Committee may establish such other terms and conditions for the awards as provided in LTIP.

For options granted after May 13, 2010, the option price will be at least the higher of (i) the average of the high and low prices at which Common Stock is traded on the date of grant, or (ii) the closing price of Common Stock on the date of the grant. All options are subject to a vesting period of at least one year, and the term of the option will not exceed ten years. LTIP specifically prohibits option repricing without stockholder approval, except that adjustments may be made in the event of changes in our capital structure or Common Stock.

PSUs entitle a recipient to receive performance-based compensation at the end of a three-year cycle based on our performance during that period. For the 2018 PSU awards, corporate performance will be based directly on return on average capital invested, with total return to stockholders serving as a modifier, and will be settled in shares of Common Stock. In 2016, the Committee also granted an “accelerated turnaround incentive” award in the form of a PSU with a three-year performance that was based on equally weighted standards established by the Committee for operating ratio and earnings per share. We did not meet the performance criteria for operating ratio and therefore no payout for the accelerated turnaround incentive award was achieved.

RSUs are payable in cash or in shares of Common Stock at the end of a restriction period. During the restriction period, the holder of the RSUs has no beneficial ownership interest in the Common Stock represented by the RSUs and has no right to vote the shares represented by the units or to receive dividends (except for dividend equivalent payment rights that may be awarded with respect to the RSUs). The Committee at its discretion may waive the restriction period, but settlement of any RSUs will occur on the same settlement date as would have applied absent a waiver of restrictions, if no performance goals were imposed. For the 2018 RSU awards, RSUs will be settled in shares of Common Stock.

Norfolk Southern Corporation Thoroughbred Stock Option Plan (TSOP)

Our Board of Directors adopted TSOP on January 26, 1999, to promote the success of our company by providing an opportunity for nonagreement employees to acquire a proprietary interest in our company and thereby to provide an additional incentive to nonagreement employees to devote their maximum efforts and skills to the advancement, betterment, and prosperity of our company and our stockholders. Under TSOP there were 6,000,000 shares of authorized but unissued Common Stock reserved for issuance. TSOP has not been and is not required to have been approved by our stockholders.

Active full-time nonagreement employees residing in the United States of America or Canada are eligible for selection to receive TSOP awards. Under TSOP, the Committee, or the Corporation’s chief executive officer to the extent the Committee delegates award-making authority pursuant to TSOP, may grant nonqualified stock options subject to such terms and conditions as provided in TSOP.

The option price may not be less than the average of the high and low prices at which Common Stock is traded on the date of the grant. All options are subject to a vesting period of at least one year, and the term of the option will not exceed ten years. TSOP specifically prohibits repricing without stockholder approval, except for capital adjustments.
The Plan was adopted on January 1, 1994, and was designed to increase ownership of Common Stock by our non-employee Directors so as to further align their ownership interest in our company with that of our stockholders. The Plan has not been and is not required to have been approved by our stockholders.

Effective January 23, 2015, the Board amended the Plan to provide that no additional awards will be made under the Plan. Prior to that amendment, only non-employee Directors who are not and never have been employees of our company were eligible to participate in the Plan. Upon becoming a Director, each eligible Director received a one-time grant of 3,000 restricted shares of Common Stock. No additional shares may be granted under the Plan. No individual member of the Board exercised discretion concerning the eligibility of any Director or the number of shares granted.

The restriction period applicable to restricted shares granted under the Plan begins on the date of the grant and ends on the earlier of the recipient’s death or the day after the recipient ceases to be a Director by reason of disability or retirement. During the restriction period, shares may not be sold, pledged, or otherwise encumbered. Directors forfeit the restricted shares if they cease to serve as a Director of our company for reasons other than their disability, retirement, or death.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

In accordance with General Instruction G(3), information called for by Part III, Item 13, is incorporated herein by reference from the information appearing under the caption “Related Persons Transactions” and under the caption “Director Independence” in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which definitive Proxy Statement will be filed electronically with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

In accordance with General Instruction G(3), information called for by Part III, Item 14, is incorporated herein by reference from the information appearing under the caption “Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm” in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which definitive Proxy Statement will be filed electronically with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A.
PART IV

NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(A) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

1. Index to Financial Statements

   - Report of Management
   - Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
   - Consolidated Statements of Income, Years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016
   - Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2018 and 2017
   - Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2. Financial Statement Schedule:

   The following consolidated financial statement schedule should be read in connection with the consolidated financial statements:

   Index to Consolidated Financial Statement Schedule

   Schedule II – Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

   Schedules other than the one listed above are omitted either because they are not required or are inapplicable, or because the information is included in the consolidated financial statements or related notes.

3. Exhibits

   Exhibit Number | Description
   ---------------|--------------------------------------------------
Instruments Defining the Rights of Security Holders, Including Indentures:

(a) Indenture, dated as of January 15, 1991, from Norfolk Southern Corporation to First Trust of New York, National Association, as Trustee, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Registration Statement on Form S-3 (SEC File No. 33-38595).

(b) First Supplemental Indenture, dated May 19, 1997, between Norfolk Southern Corporation and First Trust of New York, National Association, as Trustee, related to the issuance of notes in the principal amount of $4.3 billion, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1(d) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on May 21, 1997. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

(c) Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 6, 2001, between Norfolk Southern Corporation and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, related to the issuance of notes in the principal amount of $1 billion, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on February 7, 2001. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

(d) Indenture, dated August 27, 2004, among PRR Newco, Inc., as Issuer, and Norfolk Southern Railway Company, as Guarantor, and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(1) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on October 28, 2004. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

(e) First Supplemental Indenture, dated August 27, 2004, among PRR Newco, Inc., as Issuer, and Norfolk Southern Railway Company, as Guarantor, and The Bank of New York, as Trustee, related to the issuance of notes in the principal amount of approximately $451.8 million, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(m) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on October 28, 2004. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

(f) Ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 11, 2005, between Norfolk Southern Corporation and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, related to the issuance of notes in the principal amount of $300 million, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on March 15, 2005. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

(g) Tenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 17, 2005, between Norfolk Southern Corporation and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, related to the issuance of notes in the principal amount of $366.6 million, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2005. (SEC File No. 001-08339)
Eleventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 17, 2005, between Norfolk Southern Corporation and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, related to the issuance of notes in the principal amount of $350 million, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2005. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Twelfth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 26, 2010, between Norfolk Southern Corporation and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, related to the issuance of notes in the principal amount of $250 million, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on August 26, 2010. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Indenture, dated as of June 1, 2009, between Norfolk Southern Corporation and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on June 1, 2009. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 1, 2009, between Norfolk Southern Corporation and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, related to the issuance of notes in the principal amount of $500 million, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on June 1, 2009. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 23, 2011, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, related to the issuance of notes in the principal amount of $400 million, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on May 23, 2011. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Indenture, dated as of September 14, 2011, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, related to the issuance of notes in the principal amount of $595,504,000, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on September 15, 2011. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 14, 2011, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, related to the issuance of notes in the principal amount of $4,492,000, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on September 15, 2011. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 17, 2011, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, related to the issuance of two series of notes, one in the principal amount of $500 million and one in the principal amount of $100 million, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on November 17, 2011. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Indenture, dated as of March 15, 2012, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on March 15, 2012. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 15, 2012, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on March 15, 2012. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Indenture, dated as of August 20, 2012, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant’s Form 8-K filed on August 21, 2012. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 7, 2012, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on September 7, 2012. (SEC File No. 001-08339)
Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 13, 2013, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, related to the issuance of notes in the principal amount of $500,000,000, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on August 13, 2013. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 21, 2013, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank Trust National Association, as Trustee, related to the issuance of notes in the principal amount of $400,000,000, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on November 21, 2013. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Indenture, dated as of June 2, 2015, between Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on June 2, 2015. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 2, 2015, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on June 2, 2015. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 3, 2015, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on November 3, 2015. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 3, 2016, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on June 3, 2016. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 31, 2017, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Corporation’s Form 8-K filed May 31, 2017. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Indenture, dated as of August 15, 2017, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, is incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed August 15, 2017. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Indenture, dated as of November 16, 2017, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, is incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed November 16, 2017. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Indenture, dated as of February 28, 2018 between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee. The Indenture is incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed February 28, 2018. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 28, 2018, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee. The Indenture is incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 4.2 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed February 28, 2018. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 2, 2018, between the Registrant and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee. The Indenture is incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 4.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed August 2, 2018. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

In accordance with Item 601(b)(4)(iii) of Regulation S-K, copies of other instruments of Norfolk Southern Corporation and its subsidiaries with respect to the rights of holders of long-term debt are not filed herewith, or incorporated by reference, but will be furnished to the Commission upon request.
The Transaction Agreement, dated as of June 10, 1997, by and among CSX and CSX Transportation, Inc., Registrant, Norfolk Southern Railway Company, Conrail Inc., Consolidated Rail Corporation, and CRR Holdings LLC, with certain schedules thereto, previously filed, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on February 24, 2003. (SEC File No. 001-08339)


Amendment No. 2 dated as of June 1, 1999, to the Transaction Agreement, dated June 10, 1997, by and among CSX Corporation, CSX Transportation, Inc., Norfolk Southern Corporation, Norfolk Southern Railway Company, Conrail, Inc., Consolidated Rail Corporation, and CRR Holdings LLC, is incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.2 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on August 11, 1999. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 3 dated as of June 1, 1999, and executed in April 2004, to the Transaction Agreement, dated June 10, 1997, by and among CSX Corporation, CSX Transportation, Inc., Norfolk Southern Corporation, Norfolk Southern Railway Company, Conrail, Inc., Consolidated Rail Corporation, and CRR Holdings LLC, is incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10(dd) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2004. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 5 to the Transaction Agreement, dated as of August 27, 2004, by and among CSX Corporation, CSX Transportation, Inc., Norfolk Southern Corporation, Norfolk Southern Railway Company, Conrail, Inc., Consolidated Rail Corporation, and CRR Holdings LLC, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on September 2, 2004. (SEC File No. 001-08339)


Shared Assets Area Operating Agreement for North Jersey, dated as of June 1, 1999, by and among Consolidated Rail Corporation, CSX Transportation, Inc., and Norfolk Southern Railway Company, with exhibit thereto, is incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.4 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on August 11, 1999. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Shared Assets Area Operating Agreement for Detroit, dated as of June 1, 1999, by and among Consolidated Rail Corporation, CSX Transportation, Inc., and Norfolk Southern Railway Company, with exhibit thereto, is incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.6 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on August 11, 1999. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Shared Assets Area Operating Agreement for South Jersey/Philadelphia, dated as of June 1, 1999, by and among Consolidated Rail Corporation, CSX Transportation, Inc., and Norfolk Southern Railway Company, with exhibit thereto, is incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.5 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on August 11, 1999. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 1, dated as of June 1, 2000, to the Shared Assets Area Operating Agreements for North Jersey, South Jersey/Philadelphia, and Detroit, dated as of June 1, 1999, by and among Consolidated Rail Corporation, CSX Transportation, Inc., and Norfolk Southern Railway Company, with exhibits thereto, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(h) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on March 5, 2001. (SEC File No. 001-08339)
Amendment No. 2, dated as of January 1, 2001, to the Shared Assets Area Operating Agreements for North Jersey, South Jersey/Philadelphia, and Detroit, dated as of June 1, 1999, by and among Consolidated Rail Corporation, CSX Transportation, Inc., and Norfolk Southern Railway Company, with exhibits thereto, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(j) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on February 21, 2002. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 3, dated as of June 1, 2001, and executed in May of 2002, to the Shared Assets Area Operating Agreements for North Jersey, South Jersey/Philadelphia, and Detroit, dated as of June 1, 1999, by and among Consolidated Rail Corporation, CSX Transportation, Inc., and Norfolk Southern Railway Company, with exhibits thereto, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(k) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on February 24, 2003. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 4, dated as of June 1, 2005, and executed in late June 2005, to the Shared Assets Area Operating Agreements for North Jersey, South Jersey/Philadelphia, and Detroit, dated as of June 1, 1999, by and among Consolidated Rail Corporation, CSX Transportation, Inc., and Norfolk Southern Railway Company, with exhibits thereto, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on July 1, 2005. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Monongahela Usage Agreement, dated as of June 1, 1999, by and among CSX Transportation, Inc., Norfolk Southern Railway Company, Pennsylvania Lines LLC, and New York Central Lines LLC, with exhibit thereto, is incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.7 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on August 11, 1999. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

The Agreement, entered into as of July 27, 1999, between North Carolina Railroad Company and Norfolk Southern Railway Company, is incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10(i) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on March 6, 2000. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Second Amendment, dated December 28, 2009, to the Master Agreement dated July 27, 1999, by and between North Carolina Railroad Company and Norfolk Southern Railway Company, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(q) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on February 17, 2010 (Exhibits, annexes and schedules omitted. The Registrant will furnish supplementary copies of such materials to the SEC upon request). (SEC File No. 001-08339)

The Supplementary Agreement, entered into as of January 1, 1987, between the Trustees of the Cincinnati Southern Railway and The Cincinnati, New Orleans and Texas Pacific Railway Company (the latter a wholly owned subsidiary of Norfolk Southern Railway Company) — extending and amending a Lease, dated as of October 11, 1881 — is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(k) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on March 5, 2001. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Norfolk Southern Corporation Executive Management Incentive Plan, as approved by shareholders May 14, 2015, and as amended effective March 27, 2018, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on April 25, 2018. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

The Norfolk Southern Corporation Officers’ Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended effective September 26, 2000, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(n) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on March 5, 2001. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

The Norfolk Southern Corporation Directors’ Restricted Stock Plan, adopted January 1, 1994, and amended and restated effective as of January 23, 2015, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on October 25, 2017. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Supplemental Benefit Plan of Norfolk Southern Corporation and Participating Subsidiary Companies, adopted June 1, 1982, as amended and restated effective as of June 26, 2015, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on October 25, 2017. (SEC File No. 001-08339)
Retirement Plan of Norfolk Southern Corporation and Participating Subsidiary Companies effective June 1, 1982, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2016, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(hh) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on February 6, 2017. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

The Norfolk Southern Corporation Directors’ Charitable Award Program, as amended effective July 2007, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on July 27, 2007. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

The Norfolk Southern Corporation Thoroughbred Stock Option Plan, as amended effective July 22, 2013, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on July 24, 2013. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

The Norfolk Southern Corporation Executive Life Insurance Plan, as amended and restated effective December 1, 2018.

The description of Norfolk Southern Corporation’s executive physical reimbursement for non-employee directors and certain executives is incorporated by reference to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on July 28, 2005; but no reimbursements will be made for physical examinations performed for non-employee directors after July 30, 2016. (SEC File No. 001-08339)


The Transaction Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2005, by and among Norfolk Southern Corporation, The Alabama Great Southern Railroad Company, Kansas City Southern, and The Kansas City Southern Railway Company, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(II) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on February 23, 2006 (Exhibits, annexes, and schedules omitted. The Registrant will furnish supplementary copies of such materials to the SEC upon request). (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 1, dated as of January 17, 2006, by and among Norfolk Southern Corporation, The Alabama Great Southern Railroad Company, Kansas City Southern, and The Kansas City Southern Railroad, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(mm) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on February 23, 2006. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 2, dated as of May 1, 2006, to the Transaction Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2005, by and among Norfolk Southern Corporation, The Alabama Great Southern Railroad Company, Kansas City Southern, and The Kansas City Southern Railway Company is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on May 4, 2006. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Limited Liability Agreement of Meridian Speedway, LLC, dated as of May 1, 2006, by and among the Alabama Great Southern Railroad Company and Kansas City Southern, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on May 4, 2006. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Transfer and Administration Agreement dated as of November 8, 2007, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on November 14, 2007. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 1 to Transfer and Administration Agreement dated as of November 8, 2007, and effective as of October 22, 2008, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on October 23, 2008. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 2, dated as of May 19, 2009, to Transfer and Administration Agreement dated as of November 8, 2007, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on July 31, 2009. (SEC File No. 001-08339)
Amendment No. 3, dated as of August 21, 2009, to Transfer and Administration Agreement dated as of November 8, 2007, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation's Form 10-Q filed on October 30, 2009. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 4, dated as of October 22, 2009, to Transfer and Administration Agreement dated as of November 8, 2007, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99 to Norfolk Southern Corporation's Form 8-K filed on October 22, 2009. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 5, dated as of January 5, 2010, to Transfer and Administration Agreement dated as of November 8, 2007, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(xx) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on February 17, 2010. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 6, dated as of August 30, 2010, to Transfer and Administration Agreement dated as of November 8, 2007, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on October 29, 2010. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 7, dated as of October 21, 2010, to Transfer and Administration Agreement dated as of November 8, 2007, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on October 22, 2010. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 8, dated as of October 20, 2011, to Transfer and Administration Agreement dated as of November 8, 2007, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on October 22, 2012. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 9, dated as of October 18, 2012, to Transfer and Administration Agreement dated as of November 8, 2007, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on October 22, 2012. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 10, dated as of October 17, 2013, to Transfer and Administration Agreement dated as of November 8, 2007, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on October 18, 2013. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 11 to Transfer and Administration Agreement dated as of October 16, 2014, is hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on October 17, 2014. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Amendment No. 12 to Transfer and Administration Agreement dated as of June 3, 2016 (Schedules III and IV omitted. The Registrant will furnish supplementary copies of such materials to the SEC upon request), is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on June 6, 2016. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Omnibus Amendment, dated as of March 18, 2008, to the Transfer and Administration Agreement dated as of November 8, 2007, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on April 23, 2008. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Transaction Agreement (Pan Am Transaction Agreement), dated May 15, 2008, by and among Norfolk Southern Railway Company, Pan Am Railways, Inc., Boston and Maine Corporation, and Springfield Terminal Railway Company, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on July 24, 2008 (Exhibits, annexes and schedules omitted. The Registrant will furnish supplementary copies of such materials to the SEC upon request). (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Directors’ Deferred Fee Plan of Norfolk Southern Corporation, adopted June 1, 1982 and as amended and restated effective October 3, 2014, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on October 22, 2014. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Norfolk Southern Corporation Executives’ Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2019.

Amendment to Norfolk Southern Corporation Officers’ Deferred Compensation Plan, effective January 1, 2008, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.03 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on July 24, 2008. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Stock Unit Plan of Norfolk Southern Corporation dated as of July 24, 2001, as amended on August 21, 2008, with an effective date of January 1, 2009, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on October 24, 2008. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Form of Amended and Restated Change in Control Agreement between Norfolk Southern Corporation and certain executive officers (including “named executive officers” identified in the Corporation’s Proxy Statement for the 2019 annual Meeting of Stockholders who entered into change in control agreements before 2016), is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(aaaa) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on February 18, 2009. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Limited Liability Company Agreement of Pan Am Southern LLC, dated as of April 9, 2009, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on April 9, 2009 (exhibits, annexes, and schedules omitted – the Registrant will furnish supplementary copies of such materials to the SEC upon request). (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Form of Norfolk Southern Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan, Award Agreement for Outside Directors as approved by the Compensation Committee on November 28, 2016, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(ggg) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on February 6, 2017. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Form of Norfolk Southern Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan, Award Agreement for performance share units approved by the Compensation Committee on November 27, 2017, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(ddd) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on February 5, 2018. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Form of Norfolk Southern Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan, Award Agreement for non-qualified stock options approved by the Compensation Committee on November 27, 2017, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(eee) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on February 5, 2018. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Form of Norfolk Southern Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan, Award Agreement for restricted stock units approved by the Compensation Committee on November 27, 2017, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(fff) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on February 5, 2018. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Form of Norfolk Southern Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan, Non-Compete Agreement Associated with Award Agreement, approved by the Compensation Committee on November 28, 2016, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(kkk) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s 10-K filed on February 6, 2017. (SEC File No. 001-08339)
Performance Criteria for bonuses payable in 2020 for the 2019 incentive year. On November 26, 2018, the Compensation Committee of the Norfolk Southern Corporation Board of Directors adopted the following performance criteria for determining bonuses payable in 2020 for the 2019 incentive year under the Norfolk Southern Corporation Executive Management Incentive Plan: 60% based on operating income, and 40% based on operating ratio.

Omnibus Amendment, dated as of January 17, 2011, to Pan Am Transaction Agreement dated as of May 15, 2008, and Limited Liability Company Agreement of Pan Am Southern LLC dated as of April 9, 2009, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-Q filed on April 27, 2012. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Form of Amendment to Amended and Restated Change in Control Agreements between Norfolk Southern Corporation and the Corporation’s Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, and each of the Corporation’s Executive Vice Presidents, to eliminate the excise tax gross-up provision in the Agreements, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on January 23, 2013. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Form of Change in Control Agreement between Norfolk Southern Corporation and executive officers who did not enter into a change in control agreement before 2016, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(ooo) to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 10-K filed on February 8, 2016. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Credit Agreement dated as of May 26, 2016, establishing a 5-year, $750 million, unsecured revolving credit facility of the Registrant, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Form 8-K filed on May 27, 2016. (SEC File No. 001-08339)

Subsidiaries of the Registrant.

Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-014(a) CEO Certification.

Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-014(a) CFO Certification.

Section 1350 Certifications.

Annual CEO Certification pursuant to NYSE Rule 303A.12(a).

The following financial information from Norfolk Southern Corporation’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL) includes: (i) the Consolidated Statements of Income of each of the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016; (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for each of the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016; (iii) the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2018 and 2017; (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016; (v) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders’ Equity for each of the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016; and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

* Management contract or compensatory arrangement.

** Filed herewith.
(B) Exhibits.

The Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K as listed in Item 15(A)3 are filed herewith or incorporated by reference.

(C) Financial Statement Schedules.

Financial statement schedules and separate financial statements specified by this Item are included in Item 15(A)2 or are otherwise not required or are not applicable.

Exhibits 23, 31, 32, and 99 are included in copies assembled for public dissemination. All exhibits are included in the 2018 Form 10-K posted on our website at www.norfolksouthern.com under “Invest in NS” and “SEC Filings” or you may request copies by writing to:

Office of Corporate Secretary
Norfolk Southern Corporation
Three Commercial Place
Norfolk, Virginia 23510-9219

Item 16. Form 10-K Summary

Not applicable.
POWER OF ATTORNEY

Each person whose signature appears on the next page under SIGNATURES hereby authorizes John M. Scheib and Cynthia C. Earhart, or any one of them, to execute in the name of each such person, and to file, any amendments to this report, and hereby appoints John M. Scheib and Cynthia C. Earhart, or any one of them, as attorneys-in-fact to sign on his or her behalf, individually and in each capacity stated below, and to file, any and all amendments to this report.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Norfolk Southern Corporation has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on this 8th day of February, 2019.

/s/ James A. Squires
By: James A. Squires
(Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer)
Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below on this 8th day of February, 2019, by the following persons on behalf of Norfolk Southern Corporation and in the capacities indicated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/s/ James A. Squires (James A. Squires)</td>
<td>Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s/ Cynthia C. Earhart (Cynthia C. Earhart)</td>
<td>Executive Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s/ Jason A. Zampi (Jason A. Zampi)</td>
<td>Vice President and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s/ Thomas D. Bell, Jr. (Thomas D. Bell, Jr.)</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s/ Daniel A. Carp (Daniel A. Carp)</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s/ Mitchell E. Daniels, Jr. (Mitchell E. Daniels, Jr.)</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s/ Marcela E. Donadio (Marcela E. Donadio)</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s/ Thomas C. Kelleher (Thomas C. Kelleher)</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s/ Steven F. Leer (Steven F. Leer)</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s/ Michael D. Lockhart (Michael D. Lockhart)</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s/ Amy E. Miles (Amy E. Miles)</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s/ Martin H. Nesbitt (Martin H. Nesbitt)</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s/ Jennifer F. Scanlon (Jennifer F. Scanlon)</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/s/ John R. Thompson (John R. Thompson)</td>
<td>Director</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Norfolk Southern Corporation and Subsidiaries  
Valuation and Qualifying Accounts  
Years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016  
($ in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additions charged to:</th>
<th>Beginning Balance</th>
<th>Expenses</th>
<th>Other Accounts</th>
<th>Deductions</th>
<th>Ending Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year ended December 31, 2018</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current portion of casualty and other claims included in accounts payable</td>
<td>$ 187</td>
<td>$ 32</td>
<td>$ 145 (2)</td>
<td>$ 151 (4)</td>
<td>$ 213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casualty and other claims included in other liabilities</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>85 (1)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>106 (3)</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year ended December 31, 2017</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current portion of casualty and other claims included in accounts payable</td>
<td>$ 192</td>
<td>$ 17</td>
<td>$ 124 (2)</td>
<td>$ 146 (4)</td>
<td>$ 187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casualty and other claims included in other liabilities</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>83 (1)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>82 (3)</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year ended December 31, 2016</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current portion of casualty and other claims included in accounts payable</td>
<td>$ 174</td>
<td>$ 25</td>
<td>$ 101 (2)</td>
<td>$ 108 (4)</td>
<td>$ 192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casualty and other claims included in other liabilities</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>68 (1)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>81 (3)</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Includes adjustments for changes in estimates for prior years’ claims.  
(2) Includes revenue refunds and overcharges provided through deductions from operating revenues and transfers from other accounts.  
(3) Payments and reclassifications to/from accounts payable.  
(4) Payments and reclassifications to/from other liabilities.
Intentionally Left Blank
SHAREHOLDER INFORMATION

COMMON STOCK
Ticker symbol: NSC
Our common stock is listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange.

DIVIDENDS
At its January 2019 meeting, our board of directors declared a quarterly dividend of 86 cents per share on the company's common stock, payable on March 11, 2019, to shareholders of record on Feb. 4, 2019.
We usually pay quarterly dividends on our common stock on or about March 10, June 10, Sept. 10, and Dec. 10, when and if declared by our board of directors to shareholders of record. We have paid 146 consecutive quarterly dividends since our inception in 1982.

ACCOUNT ASSISTANCE
For assistance with lost stock certificates, transfer requirements, the INVESTORS CHOICE Plan, address changes, dividend checks, and direct deposit of dividends, contact:

American Stock Transfer & Trust Company LLC
6201 15th Avenue
Brooklyn, N.Y. 11219
877.864.4750

INVESTORS CHOICE
We and our transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company LLC (AST), offer the INVESTORS CHOICE Plan for investors wishing to purchase or sell Norfolk Southern Corporation common stock. This plan is available to both present shareholders of record and individual investors wishing to make an initial purchase of Norfolk Southern Corporation common stock. Once enrolled in the plan, you can invest cash dividends when paid and make optional cash investments simply and conveniently.
To take advantage of the INVESTORS CHOICE Plan, contact AST at 877.864.4750 or visit http://astfinancial.mobular.net/amstock/NSC/ to access information about the INVESTORS CHOICE Plan.

PUBLICATIONS
The following reports and publications are available on our website at www.norfolksouthern.com and, upon written request, will be furnished in printed form to shareholders free of charge:
- Annual Reports on Form 10-K
- Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q
- Corporate Governance Guidelines
- Board Committee Charters
- Thoroughbred Code of Ethics
- Code of Ethical Conduct for Senior Financial Officers
- Categorical Independence Standards for Directors
- Norfolk Southern Corporation Bylaws

Shareholders desiring a printed copy of one or more of these reports and publications should send their request to the corporate secretary:

Denise W. Hutson
Corporate Secretary
Norfolk Southern Corporation
Three Commercial Place
Norfolk, Va. 23510
757.823.5567

A notice and proxy statement for the annual meeting of shareholders are furnished to shareholders in advance of the meeting.
Amendments to or waivers of the Thoroughbred Code of Ethics and/or the Code of Ethical Conduct for Senior Financial Officers that are required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 5.05 of the current report on Form 8-K will be disclosed on our website.

ETHICS & COMPLIANCE HOTLINE
High ethical standards always have been key to our success. Anyone who may be aware of a violation of our corporation's Thoroughbred Code of Ethics is encouraged to contact our Ethics & Compliance Hotline at 800.732.9279.

Financial Inquiries
Cynthia C. Earhart
Executive Vice President
Finance and Chief Financial Officer
Norfolk Southern Corp.
Three Commercial Place
Norfolk, Va. 23510
757.629.2734

Investor Inquiries
Claiborne L. Moore
Director Investor Relations
Norfolk Southern Corp.
Three Commercial Place
Norfolk, Va. 23510
757.629.2861

Corporate Office
Executive Offices
Norfolk Southern Corp.
Three Commercial Place
Norfolk, Va. 23510
757.629.2600

Regional Office
Norfolk Southern Corp.
1200 Peachtree St. N.E.
Atlanta, Ga. 30309

Annual Report
Norfolk Southern Corp.
Requests & Information
800.531.6757

INVESTORS CHOICE
We and our transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company LLC (AST), offer the INVESTORS CHOICE Plan for investors wishing to purchase or sell Norfolk Southern Corporation common stock. This plan is available to both present shareholders of record and individual investors wishing to make an initial purchase of Norfolk Southern Corporation common stock. Once enrolled in the plan, you can invest cash dividends when paid and make optional cash investments simply and conveniently.
To take advantage of the INVESTORS CHOICE Plan, contact AST at 877.864.4750 or visit http://astfinancial.mobular.net/amstock/NSC/ to access information about the INVESTORS CHOICE Plan.