Norfolk Southern Corporation (NYSE: NSC) is one of the nation's premier transportation companies. Its Norfolk Southern Railway Company subsidiary operates approximately 19,500 route miles in 22 states and the District of Columbia, serves every major container port in the eastern United States and provides efficient connections to other rail carriers. Norfolk Southern is a major transporter of industrial products, including chemicals, agriculture, forest and consumer goods, and metals and construction materials. In addition, the railroad operates the most extensive intermodal network in the East and is a principal carrier of coal, automobiles, and automotive parts.

Norfolk Southern's social responsibility includes an intense focus on the environment. The three-year strategic plan, “Reimagine ‘21,” is transforming the way NS does business to operate more efficiently and better serve customers, while reducing the railroad’s overall environmental footprint. NS’ multi-pronged strategic approach includes evaluating new technologies and implementing industry best practices to help us set goals. Freight trains are better for the environment than trucks, and customers who use freight rail reduce their carbon footprint. Studies show that trains on average are three to four times more fuel-efficient and produce 75 percent fewer greenhouse gas emissions than trucks.

Norfolk Southern's fundamental business is the efficient, reliable, and safe movement of large volumes of freight from origins to destinations across long distances. The value of this service is provided not only by the freight transportation service itself, but also through the measurable positive impact to the environment arising from the inherent efficiencies in moving freight by rail versus other modes of transportation.

Norfolk Southern’s operations are subject to federal and state environmental laws and regulations concerning, among other things, emissions to the air; discharges to waterways or ground water supplies; handling, storage, transportation, and disposal of water and other materials; and the clean-up of hazardous material or petroleum releases. Compliance with such environmental laws is a principal objective of Norfolk Southern.

Norfolk Southern also supports and encourages voluntary efforts to conduct its business in accordance with sustainability practices that will help promote corporate success and the health of the environment. The 2019 Corporate Social Responsibility report includes data from calendar year 2018 and is available to the public at [http://www.nscorp.com/content/nscorp/en/about-ns/environment.html](http://www.nscorp.com/content/nscorp/en/about-ns/environment.html).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting year</th>
<th>Start date</th>
<th>End date</th>
<th>Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years</th>
<th>Select the number of past reporting years you will be providing emissions data for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 1 2019</td>
<td>December 31 2019</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C0.3) Select the countries/areas for which you will be supplying data.

United States of America

(C0.4) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

USD

(C0.5) Select the option that describes the reporting boundary for which climate-related impacts on your business are being reported. Note that this option should align with your chosen approach for consolidating your GHG inventory.

Operational control
C1. Governance

C1.1

(C1.1) Is there board-level oversight of climate-related issues within your organization?

Yes

C1.1a

(C1.1a) Identify the position(s) (do not include any names) of the individual(s) on the board with responsibility for climate-related issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position of individual(s)</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board Chair</td>
<td>The Norfolk Southern Board of Directors is comprised of the President and CEO, who serves as Chairman, and 12 independent directors. The Board of Directors includes the following five committees, each with a chairperson and a written charter specifying the committee's duties: Executive Committee, Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Governance and Nominating Committee, and Finance and Risk Management Committee. The Chairman of the Board, in consultation with the Lead Director and the chairperson of the respective committee, establish the agenda for committee meetings. All directors are encouraged to provide input on Board and committee meeting agendas. An example of a climate-related decision made by the Board of Directors occurred in 2019. The decision was the election of three company officers with two being new executive positions with responsibilities for climate-related issues. The positions, effective as of April 2019, are: Executive Vice President and Chief Strategy Officer. This position includes overseeing a new Service Optimization and Customer Engagement Division for strategic planning and optimizing operations which, among other things, will contribute to efficiencies resulting in fuel reductions to reduce costs and reduce NS' impact to the climate. Executive Vice President and Chief Transformation Officer (CTO). This position oversees a new Transformation Division which includes NS sustainability issues and initiatives to reduce impacts to the environment. Additionally, a Chief Sustainability Officer reports to the CTO position. Senior Vice President Government Relations and Chief Legal Officer. This existing position oversees government relations and legal matters including issues relative to the environment and climate change. The new board appointed positions are part of Norfolk Southern’s three-year strategic plan – “Reimagine ‘21” – to transform the company for next-generation railroading, which includes forward-thinking sustainability strategies to improve fuel economy and reduce emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board-level committees</td>
<td>The Governance and Nominating Committee's broad responsibilities impact a wide range of NS decisions including those related to governance, internal and external relationships, legislative developments, sustainability and overall performance. The committee is in a critical position to guide decisions and, therefore, the committee charter includes the following elements: reviewing NS policy related to sustainability issues, which includes climate and, emerging issues; establishing annual and long-term goals and initiatives; and overseeing the sustainability report. As an example of the committee’s climate-related decisions is as follows. The Board formally revised the G&amp;N committee’s charter document to include the oversight of the sustainability program at NS. The charter states the committee shall review and oversee the corporation’s policy relating to sustainability issues, emerging sustainability issues, annual and long-term goals for the corporation’s sustainability initiatives, and the corporation’s annual sustainability report. The committee receives periodic briefings from management on pending legislative and regulatory changes likely to materially affect the corporation and sustainability practices at NS. Committee briefings include written reports on NS, the railroad industry, or the business community’s efforts to affect pending or proposed changes. This committee ensures that the sustainability initiatives appropriately reflect the expectations of Norfolk Southern’s shareholders, and reviews the annual Corporate Social Report to ensure an appropriate level of detail and clarity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board-level committees</td>
<td>The Finance and Risk Management Committee is a standing committee. The committee provides oversight of NS' Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) process. In consultation with management, the committee: Recommends to the Board of Directors procedures and processes for the corporation’s ERM process, and ensures management and oversight responsibilities for specific identified areas of risk for the corporation. Oversees the corporation’s ERM process, which addresses sustainability and climate change risks relating to volatility in energy prices, business interruptions from severe weather, and legislative and regulatory efforts to limit greenhouse gas emissions, as well as financial, legal, and other risk types. Receives regular presentations and updates on risk management efforts and approves the work flowing through the ERM process. As an example of a climate-related decision made by the Finance and Risk Management Committee, in 2019, the committee approved the ranking of risks identified by ERM. ERM established “service resiliency” as the highest risk identified. “Service resiliency” is identified by an ability to effectively execute NS rail service plan and appropriately adjust and respond to unexpected service challenges (e.g., extreme weather, demand spikes, rail breaks, equipment malfunctions). The committee records and reports with a written record its deliberations and decisions, and regularly reports to the Board of Directors the committee’s activities and conclusions with respect to the principal matters it considered.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C1.1b
### C1.2a

#### (C1.2) Provide the highest management-level position(s) or committee(s) with responsibility for climate-related issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)</th>
<th>Reporting line</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Coverage of responsibility</th>
<th>Frequency of reporting to the board on climate-related issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chief Operating Officer (COO)</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>Both assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other C-Suite Officer, please specify (Chief Transformation Officer)</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>Both assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>Half-annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>Both assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other C-Suite Officer, please specify (Environmental Policy Council)</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>Assessing climate-related risks and opportunities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chief Operating Officer (COO)**

The Chief Operating Officer (COO) leads the operations and builds on the successful implementation of precision scheduled railroading (PSR). For NS, PSR involves lowering operating costs, which includes the climate-related responsibilities of optimizing locomotive efficiency and reducing energy consumption.

**Other C-Suite Officer, please specify (Chief Transformation Officer)**

The Chief Transformation Officer (CTO) oversees a new Transformation Division identified as part of NS' three-year strategic plan. The plan to transform NS for next-generation railroading is built around PSR and NS' five core principles: serve customers, manage assets, control costs, work safely and develop people.

**Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)**

The Chief Sustainability Officer reports to the CTO position. The Chief Sustainability Officer is responsible for advancing the company's strategy to integrate sustainability practices into daily operations to achieve efficiencies, control costs, generate revenue, and reduce environmental impacts. This position is critical to NS's commitment to environmental, social, and governance practices that positively impact the environment, while simultaneously supporting the growth and evolution of the company.

**Other C-Suite Officer, please specify (Environmental Policy Council)**

The Environmental Policy Council (EPC) is charged with the oversight and monitoring of corporate environmental policy. EPC consists of senior executives from across the corporation and is chaired by the SVP Government Relations and Chief Legal Officer, or their designee. EPC conducts at least one formal meeting annually and is accessible on an ad-hoc basis. The following items within the statement of policy directly impact climate-related issues: • Ensure that every employee is trained in the environmental requirements of his/her job. Note that this has particular benefit to the positive impact of changes made in locomotive technology to reduce energy usage in 2019. • Property manage all wastes including minimizing waste through inventory management, recycling, reduced consumption of energy, use of environmentally preferred materials, and use of non-polluting technologies and work practices.
(C1.2a) Describe where in the organizational structure these positions and/or committees lie, what their associated responsibilities are, and how climate-related issues are monitored (do not include the names of individuals).

The Environmental Policy Council (EPC) is committed to protecting the quality of the environment; therefore, climate-related issues which impact the environment are within the purview of the committee's responsibilities. Additionally, the EPC is comprised of senior management, department heads, and the Corporate Sustainability Officer who operate as leaders of various operational areas and can work together to impact change. The EPC statement of policy includes the following responsibilities:

- Ensuring that environmental training for each job is conducted. Note that this has particular benefit to the positive impact of changes made in locomotive technology to reduce energy usage in 2019.
- Managing all wastes including minimizing waste through inventory management, recycling, reduced consumption of energy, greater use of environmentally preferred materials, and use of non-polluting technologies, procedures, and work practices. Internal auditing is conducted.
- Protecting environmental quality of NS' real estate through sound management of land, water, and other property resources.
- Ensuring continuing improvement, measuring performance, and reporting environmental information.
- Overseeing and monitoring environmental policies and practices that are deemed necessary for NS to facilitate compliance with all applicable environmental laws and regulations, giving due regard to both existing and prospective legal requirements, as well as overseeing NS' corporate sustainability program.

(C1.3a) Provide further details on the incentives provided for the management of climate-related issues (do not include the names of individuals).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entitled to</th>
<th>Type of incentive</th>
<th>Activity identified</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corporate executive team</td>
<td>Monetary reward</td>
<td>Efficiency target</td>
<td>NS' annual monetary incentive is designed to compensate executives based on achievement of annual corporate performance metrics: operating income, weighted at 60 percent, and operating ratio, weighted at 40 percent. Both operating income and operating ratio are calculated using operating expenses. Fuel expenses are the second largest expense at NS so improvements in fuel efficiency can result in significant improvements to its operating income and operating ratio. Since locomotive fuel drives over 90 percent of NS' Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions, NS' annual cash incentive encourages the C-Suite to focus on fuel and operating efficiencies that ultimately result in emissions reduction per ton/mile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management group</td>
<td>Monetary reward</td>
<td>Efficiency target</td>
<td>NS' annual monetary incentive is designed to compensate management based on achievement of annual corporate performance metrics: operating income, weighted at 60 percent, and operating ratio, weighted at 40 percent. Both operating income and operating ratio are calculated using operating expenses. Fuel expenses are the second largest expense at NS so improvements in fuel efficiency can result in significant improvements to its operating income and operating ratio. Since locomotive fuel drives over 90 percent of NS' Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions, NS' annual cash incentive encourages the C-Suite to focus on fuel and operating efficiencies that ultimately result in emissions reduction per ton/mile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All employees</td>
<td>Monetary reward</td>
<td>Efficiency project</td>
<td>NS also has a &quot;Spot Award Program.&quot; The Spot Award is designed to meaningfully and promptly provide a financial reward to members of the NS team who – through agile, collaborative, and inclusive ways of working – make important contributions to our company. When considering an individual for a Spot Award, the following are considered: • The award is reserved for superior performance outside an employee's normal job responsibilities. • The award should recognizes a one-time achievement, e.g., successful completion of a high-impact project or development and implementation of an innovative business solution. • An award value of $1,000 – 0 - $5,000 is suitable for most awards. • For accomplishments that may not meet the requirement for a Special Contribution Award of $25,000 or greater, but deserve an award greater than $5,000, an enhanced $10,000 Spot Award can be made with CEO approval. One of the recipients that received a Spot Award in 2019 was recognized for storing non-necessary locomotives and supplying energy management locomotives to every train.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All employees</td>
<td>Non-monetary reward</td>
<td>Efficiency project</td>
<td>The Spirit Award recognizes the exceptional accomplishments of NS employees. Managers are encouraged to use the award as a means to express appreciation to employees for contributions and exemplary talents that drive the five principles behind the NS Way to: • Serve customers • Manage assets • Control costs • Work safely, and • Develop people. Demonstrating the NS Way values is essential in maintaining forward momentum as NS strives to not only meet, but exceed, every industry standard including those tied to environmental and climate-change goals. The Spirit Award recognizes employees who exceed expectations by acknowledging the importance of the company values and how they play a key role in achieving NS' mission. When considering an individual for a Spirit Award, the following are considered: • The award recognizes individuals or teams for superior performance outside normal job responsibilities, outstanding performance of job responsibilities, or successful completion of a high-impact project. • The award recognizes individuals or teams for making a significant contribution in the creation, development, or diffusion of innovative solutions to business challenges. • The award recognizes individuals or teams for collaborative and inclusive ways of working. One of the recipients that received a Spirit Award in 2019 was recognized for quickly identifying and correcting a lubricant leak which prevented possible environmental contamination to a nearby river.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and responding to climate-related risks and opportunities? Yes
C2.1a How does your organization define short-, medium- and long-term time horizons?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From (years)</th>
<th>To (years)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-term</td>
<td>0 - 6</td>
<td>For NS, the short-term planning horizon encompasses the period in which tactical and operational decisions are made based on the assets already in place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium-term</td>
<td>7 - 50</td>
<td>NS is a capital-intensive company. Our planning horizons are, in large part, determined by the acquisition and disposition cycles of our key assets. Most operational assets have a lifecycle that ranges from six years (electronic components) to 50 years (statutory limit of railcars in interline service). NS’ medium-term planning horizon encompasses those years in which the majority of its operational assets, including locomotives, rail, railcars, radios, and operational electronics, will be retired and replaced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term</td>
<td>51 - 100</td>
<td>While most NS assets are procured and retired within a 7-to-50 year, medium-term horizon, many decisions span a significantly longer period. For instance, in 2016 NS completed the retirement and replacement of a railroad bridge in Letchworth State Park in Portageville, NY. The original bridge was 147 years old, and is replaced by a bridge that NS hopes will provide productive service for another 150 years. Numerous other operating properties have been in service for NS and its predecessors for 100 years or more. Hence, NS’ long-term planning horizon extends 100 years or more.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C2.1b How does your organization define substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

The NS’ Enterprise Risk Council utilizes the Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) process to identify and define substantive financial or strategic impacts to operations. Part of the ERM process is categorizing risks as either Tier 1 Risks or Tier 2 Risks.

Risks are evaluated based on both the quantitative and qualitative factors of 1) impact & likelihood; and 2) management preparedness. Tier 1 Risks are those that NS determines carry “high risk exposures accompanied with management identifying improvement opportunities” or need for additional preparedness. The risks identified in this category, if unaddressed, can lead to a substantive financial or strategic impact on NS’ business.

NS’ Tier 1 substantive risks include.

1. **Regulatory Compliance**, which can impact long-term operating costs, revenues and profitability, could be impacted by expansion of economic or operational regulations imposed on NS and its customers.

2. **Catastrophic Incidents** could greatly and immediately impact operating costs, particularly in the event of catastrophic loss resulting from a major derailment or other catastrophic event. Extreme weather events and changes in climate patterns can impact the condition of rail infrastructure over time, leading to increased frequency or duration of service interruptions on the NS network.
Value chain stage(s) covered
Direct operations
Upstream
Downstream

Risk management process
Integrated into multi-disciplinary company-wide risk management process

Frequency of assessment
More than once a year

Time horizon(s) covered
Short-term
Medium-term
Long-term

Description of process
The Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) process uses a workshop approach to assess risks. Workshops are held for risk identification and prioritization. Risks are categorized as either Tier 1 Risks or Tier 2 Risks. Risks are evaluated using a heat map based on both the quantitative and qualitative factors of 1) impact and likelihood, and 2) management preparedness. Tier 1 Risks are those that NS determines carry a “high risk exposures accompanied with management identifying improvement opportunities” or need for additional preparedness. The risks identified in this category, if unaddressed, can lead to a substantive financial or strategic impact on NS’ business. NS’ Tier 1 substantive risks are as follows. 1. Regulatory Compliance which can impact long-term operating costs; revenues and profitability could be impacted by expansion of economic or operational regulations imposed on NS and its customers. 2. Catastrophic Incidents could greatly and immediately impact operating costs, particularly in the event of catastrophic loss resulting from a major derailment or other catastrophic event. Extreme weather events and changes in climate patterns can impact the condition of rail infrastructure over time leading to increased frequency or duration of service interruptions on the NS network. An example of the ERM process effectively incorporating climate-related risks into the analysis occurred in 2019. “Service resiliency” was the highest related risk identified by the ERM in 2019. The ERM defined the “service resiliency” risk as: “Growth objectives and financial measures, including long-term revenues, earnings per share, and operating ratio could be impacted by an inability to effectively execute our rail service plan and appropriately adjust and respond to unexpected service challenges (e.g., extreme weather, demand spikes, rail breaks, equipment malfunctions). A second order risk is experiencing a reduction in network velocity that creates new or exacerbates existing service issues by straining assets, resources, and the current cost structure.” Specific 2019 service interruption risks due to climate were related to historic flooding of the Missouri and Grand Rivers in early June, resulting in submerged or washed out train track which impacts NS train service, product delivery, scheduling, finances, and customer service. Because “service resiliency” is a significant risk to NS and is often caused by climate and environmental change, NS initiated and executed multiple projects to reduce greenhouse gases through energy efficiency and environmental stewardship. These projects include and are discussed in more detail in the report: • A computer-driven Energy Management System, also referred to as Trip Optimizer and LEADER which reduces energy usage due to breaking and accelerating the train by “reading the topography and rail conditions” to most efficiently move the train. • Precision scheduled railroading (PSR), a key initiative in 2019 to reorganize NS and optimize train routes and schedules, and incorporate customer needs in a manner that optimizes train usage, thereby reducing energy use, while still meeting the customer demands. • “Sleeper cars” – a new technology that reduces the amount of energy used during a cold weather layover. Previously, the locomotive needed to stay running through the night in order to operate properly during the next day’s use. The “Sleeper” technology reduces the amount of energy to meet the engine requirement. • Demonstrated and documented leadership in environmental stewardship leading and supporting forest and wetland restoration efforts across multiple states and in partnership and with many non-profit organizations.
(C2.2a) Which risk types are considered in your organization’s climate-related risk assessments?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevance &amp; Inclusion</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current regulation</td>
<td>Relevant, always included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging regulation</td>
<td>Relevant, always included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>Relevant, always included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal</td>
<td>Relevant, always included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market</td>
<td>Relevant, always included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reputation</td>
<td>Relevant, always included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute physical</td>
<td>Relevant, sometimes included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic physical</td>
<td>Relevant, sometimes included</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C2.3) Have you identified any inherent climate-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?  Yes

(C2.3a) Provide details of risks identified with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business.

## Identifier

### Risk 1

**Where in the value chain does the risk driver occur?**

**Direct operations**

**Risk type & Primary climate-related risk driver**

- **Acute physical**: Increased severity and frequency of extreme weather events such as cyclones and floods

**Primary potential financial impact**

Increased capital expenditures

**Climate risk type mapped to traditional financial services industry risk classification**

<Not Applicable>

**Company-specific description**

Climate-related extreme weather events may impact NS’ “service resiliency” by rendering some of NS’ train tracks unusable. Acute extreme weather events such as super storms, hurricanes, tornadoes, and floods may negatively impact the continuous availability of NS’ 19,500 route miles of rail infrastructure which provide transportation...
services to our customers. Flooding caused by unusually high precipitation may render that rail infrastructure inaccessible (i.e. underwater). Customer traffic requiring that infrastructure cannot be readily processed. This may occur anywhere in the country but is most frequently in the Midwest near the Missouri and Grand Rivers. This climate-related risk may result in lost revenue if, 1) customers find another way to ship their freight, or 2) increased costs incurred by NS to move traffic over a longer route, lease rail equipment for longer periods of time, or move excess equipment accumulating in yards waiting for delivery. An example of this effect is the recent rising floodwaters of the Missouri River which severed our line for a month. Some traffic to and from our Kansas City terminal and interchange with Western carriers was halted. Some traffic was diverted to alternate routes, albeit at extra cost. Even upon reopening, congestion at origin and destination – especially at our intermodal terminals – delayed certain traffic for several additional days. In total, flood waters washed out about 3,000 feet of track across more than a dozen locations, scouring holes as deep as 20 to 30 feet. The worst washout undermined a 1,500-foot-long section. Another climate-related situation that threatens NS' rail is extreme temperatures. The threat is breakage of rail due to extreme cold. Extreme heat can also cause rail alignment issues. Weather-related patrols are conducted to inspect rails and replace any weakened rail to prevent business interruptions. These patrols are also inspecting track for signs of undermining due to erosion or increasingly higher water levels in the adjacent areas. In order to proactively address the slow, chronic impact of weather pattern changes, the inspections identify necessary projects to strengthen the rail bed in order to avoid future rail failure and derailment.

**Time horizon**
- Short-term

**Likelihood**
- Virtually certain

**Magnitude of impact**
- Medium

**Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?**
- Yes, an estimated range

**Potential financial impact figure (currency)**
- <Not Applicable>

**Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)**
- 10000

**Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)**
- 2500000

**Explanation of financial impact figure**
One example of an acute, severe flooding event was experienced in the Missouri River watershed impacting NS track between mileposts S 175 – S 250 on the Illinois Division from late May to early June 2019. The cost of response figure below is specific to the 2019 Missouri River event and is included in NS records maintained by NS as “2019 Cost of Restoring Damaged Roadway Assets Where Damage Was Influenced by Weather Events:” The potential financial impact minimum and maximum figures provided above are estimates based on actual expenses associated with actual extreme weather events in recent years. NS maintains financial records for weather-related events by the use of both capital expenditure and expense codes. This enables NS to review costs incurred over the past years, to identify trends, and to make projections for future planning. The financial tracking is broken down into the following sections: • Major Damages that Required Capitalization – A summary report that includes the Authorization for Expenditure (AFE) project number, short title of the event, dollar amount of the AFE, and a brief description of the impact of the weather event (i.e. historic high river levels) • Other Events Where Damage Was Not Significant Enough to Require Capitalization – The reports include accounting identifier number, title, dollar amount of cost of damage restoration, and description of cost • Monthly System Wide Capture Codes for Various Weather-Related Costs – The report includes accounting code, month, dollar amount incurred, and description (i.e. snow removal)

**Cost of response to risk**
- 18867000

**Description of response and explanation of cost calculation**
The actions taken to respond to a climate-related severe weather event may change depending on the location and type of severe weather. The actions taken in response to the situation caused by floods at the Missouri and Grand Rivers is as follows: • When the waters dropped, NS returned to reinstall the equipment. NS hauled in spiker machines, other track equipment, and people from across the Illinois Division and beyond to assist in the effort, including rebuilding track panels. In the interest of NS' assets, NS tried to rehab the track that could be saved. More than 70 NS maintenance of way employees were involved at some point in the department's preventive and recovery efforts. • To respond to the damage of over two miles of rail line, NS used: o 20 off-road dump trucks o 125 dump trucks hauling rock o 10 NS rotary dump trucks o 20 excavators o Four NS grading gangs o Two NS "work trains" shuffling side-dump ballast cars o 75-100 NS employees working around the clock on 13-hour shifts for 25 straight days o 130,000 tons of shot rock and ballast used to restore, with 100,000 tons of the shot rock used in preparation for the event to armor the mainline Costs incurred for restoration of the Missouri and Grand River event are broken down as follows: Phase 1 – Basic clean-up and stabilization to restore train service – $14.8 million Phase 2 – Continued work through another month to repair and stabilize for future events – $3.6 million Phase 3 – Costs to restore track speed – $412,000 For the situation of extreme weather due to hot and cold temperatures, NS works to prevent the threat of unexpected rail damage by inspecting rail. NS invests to minimize the damage of future events triggered by extreme variations in weather. Steps taken include regular inspections to identify the need for: 1) Replacement of stressed rail 2) Armoring roadbeds 3) Raising track levels 4) Installing culverts 5) Reinforcing bridges The costs to inspect the rail are captured in the NS financial tracking report section titled “Emerging regulation” Mandates on and regulation of existing products and services

**Comment**
In 2019 there were 11 events such as bridge washout and replacement, bridge repairs, rail yard damage, and derailments caused directly or indirectly caused by high water and/or hurricanes. An additional 42 separate events caused by heavy rains and or high water resulted in more minimal repairs such as rainfall stabilization, and repairs due to landslides and slope failures. Costs for restoring damaged roadway assets where damage was influenced by weather events are tracked by NS .

**Identifier**
- Risk 2

**Where in the value chain does the risk driver occur?**
- Direct operations

**Risk type & Primary climate-related risk driver**
- Emerging regulation

**Primary potential financial impact**
- Increased capital expenditures

**Climate potential financial impact**
- Increased capital expenditures
Company-specific description

The situation for NS is that emerging regulations on carbon emissions, whether voluntary or required, exist and the threat is that NS needs and wants to work at lowering emissions to maintain and grow their market by providing the low carbon transportation solution. Regulation to manage carbon emissions could impose increased operating costs on NS as a result of a carbon tax, the purchase of carbon credits, investing in new technologies, or retiring otherwise-productive assets in order to comply with the regulation. As reported in the NS annual report, NS is “driving improvement with our brand of precision scheduled railroading” and “transforming our business with technology.” Propelling these improvements was NS’ adoption of our brand of precision scheduled railroading, or PSR. Thoughtful planning, customer collaboration, and diligent execution are the brand’s hallmarks. NS introduced PSR to the market with a “Clean Sheeting” initiative to streamline terminal operations and speed the flow of rail cars from yards to customers. In mid-year 2019, we launched TOP21, a new operating plan that overhauls the way NS run trains across the network. This initiative includes running fewer, heavier trains, reducing circuity and train miles, reducing car handlings, and increasing network velocity. PSR results in a more efficient railroad with lower emissions.

Time horizon
Medium-term

Likelihood
More likely than not

Magnitude of impact
Medium

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
Yes, a single figure estimate

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
20000000

Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact figure

The financial impact of regulation would be highly dependent on the specifics of the regulation. The bipartisan proposal of the Climate Leadership Council proposes a $40 per metric ton carbon tax with a 5 percent increase per year. NS currently has around 5 million metric tonnes of emissions per year, so if this legislation were passed, it would impose a $20 million carbon tax on NS per year and increase each year.

Cost of response to risk
61300000

Description of response and explanation of cost calculation

NS understands the current regulations and stays current on emerging climate-related regulations and trends. The risk of future regulations as well as investors and clients requiring NS to reduce its air emissions exists. As a result, NS has taken action to research and make changes to its locomotive technology in order to continue to reduce its carbon footprint. The result of the technology study was the identification of three LEADER and Trip Optimizer technologies with the following results: 1) Locomotive conversion from DC to AC which increases tonnage capabilities (ability to pull) thereby reducing fuel use. 2) Installation of Energy Management Systems on locomotives which interacts with data on the weather conditions, conditions of the rails, and upcoming hills and turns – and in doing so, limits fuel usage as a result of abrupt braking and acceleration. 3) “Sleeper” stations installed at cold weather terminals. These stations keep the locomotive engine warm when not running which is critical during cold weather. Keeping the engine warm without keeping the locomotive idling is a fuel reduction measure. The cost for the LEADER and Trip Optimizer technologies is $61,300,000. The LEADER Technology costs for 2019 were $28,700,000 The Trip Optimizer Technology costs for 2019 were $32,600,000.

Comment
Technology and locomotive enhancements and operating efficiencies are core to the bottom line of the business and are always being worked on to improve.

Identifier
Risk 3

Where in the value chain does the risk driver occur?
Direct operations

Risk type & Primary climate-related risk driver

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emerging regulation</th>
<th>Mandates on and regulation of existing products and services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Primary potential financial impact
Decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products and services

Climate risk type mapped to traditional financial services industry risk classification
<Not Applicable>

Company-specific description

Legislation to manage carbon emissions could influence NS’ energy customers such as coal, crude, and fracking industries and, thereby, the railroad may see a decrease in shipments of such materials and products. At least 15% of revenue in 2019 was from these customer groups.

Time horizon
Medium-term

Likelihood
About as likely as not

Magnitude of impact
Medium-High

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
Yes, an estimated range
Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)
85000000

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)
340000000

Explanation of financial impact figure
In 2019 NS derived nearly 15 percent ($1.7B) of corporate revenue from the transport of coal, plus additional amounts from the transport of crude oil. The percentage of these revenues affected by legislation is highly dependent on the specifics of that legislation. The calculated range is based on a 5 percent and 20 percent lower revenue from possible reduced shipments.

Cost of response to risk
0

Description of response and explanation of cost calculation
NS’ action to address this risk includes, 1) the ongoing monitoring of the status of the legislation impacting greenhouse gas emissions, 2) being mindful that this client sector is at risk to being lost due to possible regulatory impacts on the coal, crude, and fracking industries, and 3) continuing to grow NS’ business to compensate for the potential of losing the revenue associated with the business sector. The cost to NS of monitoring pending legislation are de minimus.

Comment

C2.4

(C2.4) Have you identified any climate-related opportunities with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?
Yes

C2.4a

(C2.4a) Provide details of opportunities identified with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business.

Identifier
Opp1

Where in the value chain does the opportunity occur?
Downstream

Opportunity type
Products and services

Primary climate-related opportunity driver
Shift in consumer preferences

Primary potential financial impact
Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

Company-specific description
Rail transport emits on average 75 percent less greenhouse gas emissions per ton/mile than truck transport. NS communicates to its customers the climate change and transportation efficiency benefits associated with the movement of goods via train versus truck. NS rail services provide an opportunity for its customers to reduce their climate impact by influencing customer preferences toward less carbon intensive modes of transport. This shift toward low carbon economy can result in increased revenue opportunities for Norfolk Southern.

Time horizon
Medium-term

Likelihood
More likely than not

Magnitude of impact
Medium-High

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)
226000000

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)
1130000000

Explanation of financial impact figure
The increase in revenue resulting from a change in NS’ customers’ preferences is dependent on the customer’s liking and NS’ influence. At present, NS understands that customer’s increasingly seek to reduce emissions. A recent shipper survey indicates that 15 percent of shippers may convert some business from truck to rail because of the increased stakeholder focus on ESG. The calculated range of figures is based on a 2 percent and 10 percent increase in total revenue from increased shipments.

Cost to realize opportunity
0
Strategy to realize opportunity and explanation of cost calculation

Monitoring legislation and communicating with customers about their preferences is a regular part of NS' marketing efforts. As such, the incremental costs of monitoring the opportunities are de minimis. NS monitors legislation that could affect our customers’ preferences. Additionally, NS marketing teams communicate with customers on a regular basis to learn of the factors that drive their consumption of rail transport and adjusts products and services to secure extra business where possible. NS also offers a carbon footprint analyzer referred to as “The Green Machine.” This tool is located on NS' website and offers potential new customers (possibly moving from truck carriers to NS rail system) the opportunity to enter the total tons of freight shipped with the average truck weight as well as the length of haul. “The Green Machine” tool then shows the results of customer footprint emission reductions if the potential customer switches to rail transport. The results of the use of “The Green Machine” calculations are not collected by NS but provide important data regarding the future client’s emissions reductions.

Comment
There are many factors that influence NS bringing in new business. As existing and new customers re-examine their supply chains to lower their contracted transportation emissions this could benefit rail which is the lower carbon option for surface transportation.

Identifier
Opp2

Where in the value chain does the opportunity occur?
Downstream

Opportunity type
Energy source

Primary climate-related opportunity driver
Participation in carbon market

Primary potential financial impact
Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

Company-specific description
NS has been involved in developing carbon projects since 2010. We have two forestry projects ongoing with the total acreage just over 20,000 acres. Together these projects have generated over 600,000 carbon credits for NS and are annually sequestering another 80,000 metric tons per year of CO2 from the atmosphere which generate additional credits. The monetary value of these credits should continue to increase if both the compliance and voluntary carbon markets continue to develop. Demand for credits has been increasing and should continue as more companies are setting aggressive emission reduction goals. Emerging regulation could also drive a demand for credits. At NS we may have the potential to generate offsets from other projects on the NS system such as with further reductions in locomotive emissions, upgrading energy systems, or developing renewable energy projects.

Time horizon
Medium-term

Likelihood
Very likely

Magnitude of impact
Medium-low

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)
960000

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)
2400000

Explanation of financial impact figure
NS currently has 240,000 carbon credits in the voluntary market. The price of such credits has been trending upward and could increase by $4 each in the very near term. On the high end of the range these credits could increase by $10 each especially if CORSIA, the voluntary agreement among the international airlines to offset emissions, is upheld. This financial range is based on existing credits increasing at $4 each versus $10 each. Future credits from annual sequestration are not included in these calculations as they are not guaranteed.

Cost to realize opportunity
30000

Strategy to realize opportunity and explanation of cost calculation
There is currently mounting pressure on companies to reduce their emissions and in some cases even voluntarily committing to going carbon neutral which would be zero net emissions. NS works with two carbon development companies to verify and register offsets from our existing projects. The majority of the annual project costs is the responsibility of the carbon developer. Our annual responsibility for the verification and registration of credits averages around $30,000 per year. Carbon credits generated from current and future projects at NS provides us several opportunities. We can use them to offset our own emissions. We can also use them to offset the emissions of our customer's shipments which could provide incentive for them to increase shipments on NS. And lastly, we can sell the credits to generate revenue for NS.

Comment

Identifier
Opp3

Where in the value chain does the opportunity occur?
Downstream

Opportunity type
Resilience

Primary climate-related opportunity driver
Other, please specify (Climate related erosion control)

Primary potential financial impact
Reduced indirect (operating) costs

**Company-specific description**
NS was experiencing a high rate of shoreline erosion endangering a main access road at the Lambert's Point marine and rail terminal in Norfolk, VA. Sea-level rise and storm frequency resulted in increased erosion rates from 1 foot to 1.5 feet annually. NS chose to use a low carbon and environmentally friendly repair alternative by constructing a 900-foot of vegetated living shoreline (a combined marsh, oyster habitat, and sand and rock breakwater structure) in lieu of a steel sheet-pile bulkhead. NS engineers prepared a basis of design report to evaluate a steel bulkhead versus a natural living shoreline for their resilience to climate change, and forecasted performance, lifecycle costs, and longevity of the two alternatives. System parameters included the erosion history of the site, tidal range, storm surge, sea level rise, and contributions to GHG emissions. The alternative shoreline stabilization solution was 900 LF of steel sheet pile bulkhead that would have also required regrading the shoreline back towards an access road and rail line. The estimated cost of that alternative was $3M, and the steel production would have added to NS's Scope 3 emissions. Accordingly NS selected the living shoreline alternative as it met fiscal, environmental, and social responsibility goals and was considered a sustainable and more resilient long-term infrastructure solution.

**Time horizon**
Short-term

**Likelihood**
Virtually certain

**Magnitude of impact**
Medium-low

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
Yes, a single figure estimate

**Potential financial impact figure (currency)**
1500000

**Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)**
<Not Applicable>

**Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)**
<Not Applicable>

**Explanation of financial impact figure**
No estimate of future financial impact if the work was not done.

**Cost to realize opportunity**
1064000

**Strategy to realize opportunity and explanation of cost calculation**
NS engineers prepared a basis of design report to evaluate design alternatives and environmental system scenarios to help forecast performance, lifecycle costs, and longevity of the infrastructure. System parameters included the erosion history of the site, tidal range, storm surge, sea level rise, contributions to GHG emissions. In 2019, NS asked engineers to design an erosion control structure at its Lambert's Point Terminal in Norfolk to address the 1.0 to 1.5 feet of erosion rate along an unprotected stretch of shoreline. If left unimproved the erosion would begin to undermine an access road and railyard on the west end of terminal. NS had evaluated several structural alternatives in the past to address erosion at the site, including a steel sheet-pile bulkhead, a stone revetment, and a landscaped living shoreline. Each alternative was evaluated in terms of construction costs, lifecycle cost, resilience to sea level rise and storm surge, environmental impact, and contribution to Scope 3 emissions from construction. A living shoreline was selected for its resilience to future sea level rise, storm surge, low carbon construction footprint, coastal habitat creation and cost effectiveness in addressing erosion at the terminal. The total cost to realize this opportunity was $1,064,000. Costs: Planning and Design $207,000 Construction $780,000 TOTAL COST $1,064,000

**Comment**

C3. Business Strategy

C3.1

(C3.1) Have climate-related risks and opportunities influenced your organization’s strategy and/or financial planning?
Yes

C3.1a

(C3.1a) Does your organization use climate-related scenario analysis to inform its strategy?
Yes, qualitative and quantitative

C3.1b
(C.3.1b) Provide details of your organization’s use of climate-related scenario analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate-related scenarios and models applied</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other, please specify (System parameters including NS contributions to GHG emissions.)</td>
<td>In 2019, NS launched a strategic plan which entailed “reimagining possible” in all aspects of its business to be the most efficient railroad with the best customer service and growth in the industry while delivering superior shareholder value. The three-year strategic plan is driving transformational changes at the company. The plan is based on an operating model known as precision scheduled railroading (PSR), and is built on five principles: serving customers, managing assets, controlling costs, working safely, and developing people. The efforts of PSR provide reductions in energy use that help control costs and ultimately benefit customers and the environment. A key element of this transformation involves bringing our teams together. In 2019, we made the decision to consolidate our office workforce in a new headquarters in Atlanta, GA. We selected a site convenient to mass transit and are constructing a building that will create a healthy and inclusive environment for our employees. The building makes excellent use of outdoor spaces, particularly for an urban environment, and will be LEED certified once completed in 2021.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C.3.1d) Describe where and how climate-related risks and opportunities have influenced your strategy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Have climate-related risks and opportunities influenced your strategy in this area?</th>
<th>Description of influence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Products and services Yes</td>
<td>The most substantial strategic decision to date (2018-2019) is the introduction of PSR to the market with a “Clean Sheeting” initiative to streamline terminal operations and speed the flow of rail cars from yards to customers. NS is running fewer, heavier trains, reducing circuity and train miles, reducing car handlings, and increasing network velocity. In 2019, NS operated with roughly 20 percent fewer locomotives and 23 1/4 fewer rail cars than the preceding year. This lowers fuel consumption and air emissions. NS new Network Planning and Optimization team developed TOP21 using modeling and simulation tools to analyze operating data and train flows. Weeks before the TOP21 rollout, the marketing teams met with hundreds of customers to communicate expectations for the transition. Through these efforts 60,000 carloads of new business was generated by assisting 90 industries build or expand the NS network, representing customer investment of more than $1.5 billion and more than 2,970 customer jobs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply chain and/or value chain Yes</td>
<td>As part of the “Reimagine ’21” transformation plan, in 2019, NS launched TOP21, a new operating plan that overhauls the way the railroad runs trains across the network. With TOP21, NS runs fewer, heavier trains, reducing circuity and train miles, reducing car handlings, and increasing network velocity – all which contribute to lower carbon emission per tonmiles. In 2019, NS operated with roughly 20 percent fewer locomotives and 21 percent fewer rail cars than the preceding year. NS network planning and optimization team developed TOP21 using modelling and simulation tools to run scenarios and analyze operating data and train flows. Weeks before the TOP21 rollout, the marketing teams met with hundreds of customers to communicate expectations for the transition and explain the supply chain and environmental benefits of the program. Through these efforts, 60,000 carloads of new business were generated by assisting 90 industries build or expand their use of the NS network, removing carbon emitting heavy trucks from the highway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in R&amp;D Yes</td>
<td>Investment in research and development (R&amp;D) is driven by safety, innovation, operating efficiency, and the opportunity to reduce the industry’s carbon contributions through the development of new technologies that can reduce GHG emissions from locomotive operations. The most substantial strategic decision in this area to date (2005-2019) is the installation of Energy Management (EM) System technologies. NS began R&amp;D of energy management/fuel conservation systems in 2005. Since that time, NS continues to drive technology development in this area. In 2016, NS began installing the latest EM technologies implementing throttle control on road locomotives. The throttle control installations continue to drive fuel conservation on the NS road fleet with 432 new installations in 2019 and new installations underway in 2020. The goal is to have all NS road locomotives in use equipped with an auto-throttle capable EM system. Another R&amp;D project involved the assembly of a Tier 4 switcher locomotive to be tested in conjunction with Progress Rail. This test will check the feasibility of exhaust after treatment utilizing diesel exhaust fluid (DEF) solution to reduce exhaust emissions in the rail industry. The continuing initiatives to improve locomotive fuel efficiency have resulted in conserving more than 47.3 million gallons of diesel and avoiding more than 481,000 metric tonnes of CO2. NS lowered emissions intensity per revenue ton miles (RTM) by 5 percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations Yes</td>
<td>The most substantial strategic decision in the operations area between 2010 and 2019 is the continuation, contribution, and continual monitoring of NS’ locomotive idle reduction policies. To further reduce the carbon footprint from railroad operations through reductions in fuel consumption and carbon emissions, NS developed idle-reduction policies and programs to eliminate unnecessary engine idling. For operational reasons, locomotives must sometimes be kept idling to prevent the engine from freezing in cold weather or to maintain proper pressure in air brake lines. To offset that, NS road locomotives are outfitted with automatic engine start/stop technology that saves fuel by automatically shutting down an idling engine when conditions allow. In cold weather, the locomotive will shut down automatically when certain engine temperature thresholds are met and then restart as needed to prevent freezing. These practices are governed by Equipment Operation &amp; Handling Rule L-238. NS monitors compliance with L-238 via auditing. For 2019, out of 22,223 locomotives audited, 98.6 percent were in compliance with L-238. In addition, NS has expanded the use of our customized plug-in heater systems, known as the “Sleeper,” that are installed in rail yards to eliminate engine idling. Locomotives can be shut down and plugged into the “Sleeper,” which heats the engine and keeps the battery system charged. Through innovative public-private partnerships aimed at reducing transportation-related emissions in urban environments, NS has installed “Sleeper” units at rail yards in Atlanta, Chicago, Kansas City, Missouri, and across Ohio. More installations are planned for 2020.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C.3.1e) Describe where and how climate-related risks and opportunities have influenced your financial planning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial planning elements that have been influenced</th>
<th>Description of influence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rower Assets Yes</td>
<td>NS invested $5.6M in GreenTrees in 2011 which reforested 10,000 acres of hardwoods that and currently sequestering over 50,000 metric tonnes CO2 per year. These generated carbon offsets are verified through the American Carbon Registry. For the vintage years 2018 and 2019 verification is in process. It is anticipated that 51,785 tons of carbon offsets will be approved by ACR for each of the 2018 and 2019 years based on a June 24, 2020, verification report. Such offsets can be retired against NS emissions, used to provide incentive to customers to convert more shipments to rail, or sold to other companies needing to lower their emissions. We currently have around 240,000 offsets which represents about 9% of our total emissions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C.3.1f
(C3.1f) Provide any additional information on how climate-related risks and opportunities have influenced your strategy and financial planning (optional).

NS works to achieve balance between business operations and the environment. A commitment to sustainable business practices makes a positive difference in business today and is based on the current risks associated with climate changes. All of the following strategies are identified in NS’ Social Responsibility Report.

• NS adopted a carbon-mitigation strategy, Trees and Trains, that turns the company's carbon footprint into a corporate opportunity. In our largest project, the company invested $5.6 million over five years to reforest 10,000 acres in the Mississippi River Alluvial Valley. Between 2016 and 2030, the trees will generate an estimated 1.12 million carbon credits that NS can use to offset its carbon emissions or sell to others wishing to offset their environmental impacts.

• Through its progressive locomotive rebuilding program, NS has developed a new class of low-emission Eco locomotives for rail yard service now used in Chicago, Pittsburgh, Atlanta, and Macon, Ga. These public-private partnerships tap funds available to reduce transportation-related diesel emissions. NS is helping these areas improve air quality and meet their commitments under the federal Clean Air Act.

• To reduce unnecessary locomotive idling in rail yards, NS developed the “Sleeper,” an innovative plug-in system that charges batteries and heats engines, allowing locomotives to be turned off in freezing weather. This idle-reduction technology, another public-private venture, helps NS achieve sustainability’s triple bottom line of social, environmental, and economic benefits. The Sleeper enhances air quality in neighborhoods around rail yards, reduces emissions linked to climate change, and lowers fuel use and costs for NS.

• As part of the railroad’s strategic plan, NS adopted an aggressive goal to improve locomotive fuel-efficiency by nearly 9 percent from 2015 to 2020. The effort aims to reduce both fuel costs and locomotive fleet emissions, the company’s largest source of greenhouse gas emissions.

• Since introducing a prototype battery-operated switcher locomotive in 2009, NS continues studying the use of battery power to reduce carbon emissions. We exploring development of a “micro-hybrid” solution to reduce locomotive engine idling. The idea is to equip locomotives with a small auxiliary battery pack to operate critical engine systems while the engine is shut off. Today's sophisticated locomotive engine systems can quickly drain power from the main battery if the locomotive is shut off for too long.

• NS is improving the energy efficiency of its office, yard, shop, and field facilities by replacing older lighting and HVAC equipment with the most cost- and energy-efficient technologies available. For example, a $53 million energy-conversion project at the company’s Juniata locomotive shop in Altoona, PA is reducing the shop's carbon emissions by nearly 60 percent, improving energy efficiency by half, and saving $4 million annually in operating costs.

C4. Targets and performance

C4.1

(C4.1) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?
Intensity target

C4.1b
(C4.1b) Provide details of your emissions intensity target(s) and progress made against those target(s).

Target reference number
Int 1

Year target was set
2016

Target coverage
Company-wide

Scope(s) (or Scope 3 category)
Scope 1

Intensity metric
Other, please specify (grams CO2e per Revenue Ton Mile (RTM))

Base year
2015

Intensity figure in base year (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)
0.000026341

% of total base year emissions in selected Scope(s) (or Scope 3 category) covered by this intensity figure
100

Target year
2020

Targeted reduction from base year (%)
8.6

Intensity figure in target year (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) [auto-calculated]
0.000024075674

% change anticipated in absolute Scope 1+2 emissions
-7.11

% change anticipated in absolute Scope 3 emissions
0

Intensity figure in reporting year (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)
0.0000246625

% of target achieved [auto-calculated]
74.0952957764137

Target status in reporting year
Underway

Is this a science-based target?
No, but we anticipate setting one in the next 2 years

Please explain (including target coverage)
Norfolk Southern's intensity target is an 8.6 percent reduction in grams CO2e per revenue ton mile, using 2015 as a reference year with a target completion year of 2020. Norfolk Southern has met approximately 74 percent of this target as of the end of 2019.

C4.2

(C4.2) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?
No other climate-related targets

C4.3

(C4.3) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.
Yes

C4.3a

(C4.3a) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage of Development</th>
<th>Number of Initiatives</th>
<th>Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under investigation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To be implemented*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation commenced*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1200000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implemented*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>909300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not to be implemented</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(C4.3b) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative category &amp; Initiative type</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Other, please specify (Energy efficiency: Processes (Training on Locomotive Handling))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)**

454650

**Scope(s)**

Scope 1

**Voluntary/Mandatory**

Voluntary

**Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)**

43768280

**Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)**

0

**Payback period**

11-15 years

**Estimated lifetime of the initiative**

6-10 years

**Comment**

Norfolk Southern is improving techniques and training associated with locomotive assignment and handling. It is a core component of our emissions intensity reduction target, currently set to reduce consumption/emissions by 8.6% in the period from 2016 through 2020. Using the estimated annual CO2e savings and converting this to gallons of diesel conserved at approximately $1.96 per gallon (U.S. Energy Information Administration), and assuming that half of our reduction comes through this training and technique, this would represent a $43.7 million savings per year.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative category &amp; Initiative type</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Other, please specify (Energy efficiency: Processes (LEADER and Trip Optimizer))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)**

454650

**Scope(s)**

Scope 1

**Voluntary/Mandatory**

Voluntary

**Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)**

43768280

**Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)**

0

**Payback period**

11-15 years

**Estimated lifetime of the initiative**

6-10 years

**Comment**

Norfolk Southern is installing two vendors' version of train energy management hardware and software on our locomotives. This software coaches locomotive engineers as to how to handle a train more efficiently and complements our standard training and assignment improvements, mentioned above. Energy Management is a core component of our emissions intensity reduction target, currently set reduce consumption/emissions by 8.6% in the period from 2016 through 2020. Using the estimated annual CO2e savings and converting this to gallons of diesel conserved at approximately $1.96 per gallon (U.S. Energy Information Administration), and assuming that half of our reduction comes through this energy management technology, this would represent a $43.7 million savings per year.

---

(C4.3c)
(C4.3c) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards</td>
<td>Norfolk Southern’s locomotive emissions, which comprise approximately 91 percent of total Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions, are governed by EPA “Tier” regulations that limit greenhouse gas, particulate, and other emissions based on locomotive manufacture date. Norfolk Southern complies with all such EPA regulations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial optimization calculations</td>
<td>When investments in sustainability can provide a sufficient financial return even without a material price on GHG emissions, Norfolk Southern will pursue that investment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnering with governments on technology development</td>
<td>Norfolk Southern partners with local governments to invest in lower emission technologies when the local entity is willing to contribute capital to compensate for an unfavorable financial investment result. A prime example of this is NS’ pursuit of lower emission locomotives through locally-sponsored, federally-funded CMAQ grants.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C4.5) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products or do they enable a third party to avoid GHG emissions?

Yes

(C4.5a) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products or that enable a third party to avoid GHG emissions.

- **Level of aggregation**: Company-wide
- **Description of product/Group of products**: Norfolk Southern is a provider of transportation services, almost entirely by rail. As a rail carrier, our primary competition is freight truck. Rail transport on average is three to four times more fuel efficient than truck transport. As a result, rail is often able to help customers avoid carbon emissions through this advantageous emission profile. It is estimated that our customers avoided almost 15M metric tons of emissions in 2019 by choosing rail instead of truck.

Are these low-carbon product(s) or do they enable avoided emissions?

Avoided emissions

- **Taxonomy, project or methodology used to classify product(s) as low-carbon or to calculate avoided emissions**: Other, please specify (Calculations by the Association of American Railroads)
- **% revenue from low carbon product(s) in the reporting year**: 100
- **% of total portfolio value**: <Not Applicable>
- **Asset classes/ product types**: <Not Applicable>

**Comment**: Rail transport is three to four times more fuel efficient than trucks and three to four times more efficient in terms of greenhouse gas emissions. So, if 10 percent of freight moved by trucks switched to rails, total fuel savings would exceed 1.6 billion gallons annually, and GHG emissions would fall by 17 million tons (AAR). For further illustration of the advantageous emissions profile of rail and intermodal freight transport over truck, refer to Norfolk Southern’s “Green Machine” calculator, available at http://www.nscorp.com/nscorphtml/future/carbon%20footprint0407-2.html and calculations provided by the Association of American Railroads at https://www.aar.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/AAR-Positive-Environmental-Effects-of-Freight-Rail-White-Paper-62020.pdf.

(C5. Emissions methodology)

(C5.1)
(C5.1) Provide your base year and base year emissions (Scopes 1 and 2).

**Scope 1**

**Base year start**
January 1 2015

**Base year end**
December 31 2015

**Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**
5268211

**Comment**
NS 2015 base year Scope 1 emissions were 5,268,211 metric tons CO2e.

**Scope 2 (location-based)**

**Base year start**
January 1 2015

**Base year end**
December 31 2015

**Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**
250526

**Comment**
NS 2015 base year Scope 2 emissions were 250,526 metric tons CO2e.

**Scope 2 (market-based)**

**Base year start**
January 1 2015

**Base year end**
December 31 2015

**Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**
0

**Comment**
NS reported a Scope 2 location-based figure in 2015.

(C5.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Indirect Emissions From Purchased Electricity
US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Emissions from Stationary Combustion Sources
US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Emissions from Mobile Combustion Sources

C6. Emissions data

C6.1

(C6.1) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

**Reporting year**

**Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**
4784047.47

**Comment**
NS 2019 Scope 1 emissions were 4,784,047.47 metric tonnes CO2e.
(C6.2) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

Row 1

Scope 2, location-based
We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

Scope 2, market-based
We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

Comment
NS calculated Scope 2 emissions using the U.S. EPA's eGrid. Due to the lack of information on the residual mix factors in the United States, there is no difference in Scope 2 emissions using the Location and Market-based methods.

C6.3

(C6.3) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

Scope 2, location-based
2014: 74.47

Scope 2, market-based (if applicable)
2014: 74.47

Start date
<Not Applicable>

End date
<Not Applicable>

Comment
NS 2019 Scope 2 emissions were 201,474.47 metric tonnes CO2e.

C6.4

(C6.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

No

C6.5

(C6.5) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Metric tonnes CO2e
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
Emissions for this category such as purchased diesel fuel have been included in our Scope 1 emissions calculations.
Capital goods

Evaluation status
Relevant, calculated

Metric tonnes CO2e
6331782

Emissions calculation methodology
Emissions were calculated based on the hybrid method as outlined in the GHG Protocol’s "Technical Guidance for Calculating Scope 3 Emissions". Locomotives are the primary capital goods acquired by Norfolk Southern Railway. Accordingly, GHG emissions and other data was requested from Wabtec, our principal locomotive supplier. The data received from the suppliers was used to calculate Norfolk Southern’s GHG emissions from capital goods. For data that was not provided by suppliers, an average numerical value was used in estimating GHG emissions. Emissions for capital goods were calculated using volumes of key purchased goods by type of material applied against applicable emission factors from the IPCC.

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
82

Please explain
This year, we obtained data on locomotives supplied to Norfolk Southern from Wabtec. Wabtec is Norfolk Southern’s primary locomotive supplier. We also obtained data from our suppliers of rails, ballasts, and ties.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Metric tonnes CO2e
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
The fuel-and energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2) for Norfolk Southern are generated by the company’s upstream leased assets. As such, this data has been included in the upstream leased assets category.

Upstream transportation and distribution

Evaluation status
Relevant, calculated

Metric tonnes CO2e
22998

Emissions calculation methodology
Emissions were calculated based on the fuel-based method as outlined in the GHG Protocol’s "Technical Guidance for Calculating Scope 3 Emissions". Norfolk Southern requested our highest volume suppliers to report data related to transportation of their goods. This data was used to calculate emissions from upstream transportation and distribution by determining the amount of fuel consumed and applying the appropriate emission factor for that fuel.

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
82

Please explain
Norfolk Southern calculated and reported emissions from the transportation and distribution of products purchased, including rails, ties, ballasts, and locomotives, in the reporting year between the company’s tier 1 suppliers and its own operations in vehicles not owned or operated by Norfolk Southern.

Waste generated in operations

Evaluation status
Relevant, calculated

Metric tonnes CO2e
80530

Emissions calculation methodology
Activity data sources for waste generated in operations were the annual total mass of waste (short tons) and the proportion of waste being sent to the landfill, recycled, and incinerated. Emission factors were obtained from the EPA Waste Reduction Model (WARM) Version 15 (Management Practices and Background Documents, May 2019). Only end-of-life process emission factors were used from the WARM documentation. For waste sent to the landfill, the emission factor associated with mixed municipal solid waste (MSW) material was used. For recycled waste, emissions from material recovery in preparation for recycling were assumed to have been allocated to the recycled material; therefore, the emission factor used for recycled waste was zero metric tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (MTCO2e)/short ton. For incinerated waste, the emission factor associated with dimensional lumber was used since only crossties were burned for energy. NS wastes were assumed to be composed of mixed MSW and mixed recyclables because it was difficult to determine all the types of waste generated in operations.

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
75

Please explain
Norfolk Southern has collected data related to GHG emissions from waste generated in its operations.
Business travel

Evaluation status
Relevant, calculated

Metric tonnes CO2e
8309

Emissions calculation methodology
Emissions were calculated based on the distance-based method as outlined in the GHG Protocol's "Technical Guidance for Calculating Scope 3 Emissions". Air travel miles were obtained from our travel service providers. Rental car miles were obtained from our main rental agency. NS also included employee reimbursed mileage.

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
85

Please explain
An insignificant amount of business travel is not arranged through our corporate travel provider; accordingly this activity and related emissions are considered inconsequential and not included in the calculation.

Employee commuting

Evaluation status
Relevant, calculated

Metric tonnes CO2e
112236

Emissions calculation methodology
The average commute time per state and average fuel required for round-trip commute per state are gathered from 2016 Census Bureau data. It was assumed that one minute of commute is equivalent to one mile traveled and the overall fuel source is gasoline. The total number of NS employees per state was multiplied by the fuel required for a round-trip commute daily to calculate the gallons of gasoline used per day. The totals were then multiplied by 261 days to account for work days within 2019. Using the emissions factors identified by EPA, the total emissions for CO2e was calculated. Please note that this is a high-end estimate as the figures used for the total number of NS employees per state are W-2 figures that include any employees that received wages or salary during the 2019 year.

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
0

Please explain
This is the second year that NS has calculated our emissions from employee commuting.

Upstream leased assets

Evaluation status
Relevant, calculated

Metric tonnes CO2e
1514

Emissions calculation methodology
NS leased facilities were identified per state. The electricity consumption of the facilities in each state was estimated by using a factor of 15.9 kWh for each facility's square footage. Using the emissions factors for GHG pollutants obtained from EPA's eGRID 2018, the total emissions for CO2e was calculated. A leased facility located in Quebec, Canada was not included in the data since it is out of scope for the eGRID database.

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
100

Please explain
Norfolk Southern calculated GHG emissions from upstream leased assets are that were not reported in Norfolk Southern's Scope 1 and 2 inventories. The scope of these assets is office space. All office space lease rates include utilities. Accordingly, no data is available for electricity consumption for the specific leased spaces. The assets in the calculation do include emissions from natural gas for heating the buildings where this data was available. The energy and electrical utility emissions at facilities leased by Norfolk Southern is included in the lease agreements and is therefore not reported separately.

Downstream transportation and distribution

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Metric tonnes CO2e
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
This category includes emissions that occurred in the reporting year from transportation and distribution of sold products in vehicles not owned or controlled by the reporting company. Norfolk Southern does not distribute sold products. As such, the emissions generated by downstream transportation and distribution are not relevant to Norfolk Southern.
Processing of sold products

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Metric tonnes CO2e
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
Norfolk Southern is primarily a provider of freight transportation services, not a manufacturer or vendor of products for sale. As such, the emissions generated by processing of sold products are not relevant to Norfolk Southern.

Use of sold products

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Metric tonnes CO2e
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
This category includes emissions from the use of goods and services sold by the reporting company in the reporting year. Norfolk Southern is primarily a provider of freight transportation services, not a manufacturer or vendor of products for sale. As such, the emissions generated by use of sold products are not relevant to Norfolk Southern.

End of life treatment of sold products

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Metric tonnes CO2e
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
This category includes emissions from the waste disposal and treatment of products sold by the reporting company at the end of their life. Norfolk Southern does not sell products and therefore, does not produce emissions from the waste disposal of products. As such, this category of emissions is not relevant to Norfolk Southern's operations as a rail transportation company.

Downstream leased assets

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Metric tonnes CO2e
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
This category includes emissions from the operation of assets that are owned by the reporting company (acting as lessor) and leased to other entities in the reporting year that are not already included in Scope 1 or Scope 2. Norfolk Southern does not act as a lessor. Therefore, emissions from downstream leased assets are not relevant to Norfolk Southern.

Franchises

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Metric tonnes CO2e
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
Norfolk Southern does not currently own franchises. As such, the emissions generated by franchises are not relevant to Norfolk Southern.
Investments

Evaluation status
Not relevant, explanation provided

Metric tonnes CO2e
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
Based on the definition of “investment” provided in the GHG Protocol’s “Technical Guidance for Calculating Scope 3 Emissions,” this category is not relevant to Norfolk Southern’s operations. This category includes Scope 3 emissions associated with NS’ investments in the reporting year not already included in Scope 1 or Scope 2. This category is applicable to investors and companies that provide financial services. Norfolk Southern does not provide financial services. As such, the emissions generated by investments are not relevant to Norfolk Southern.

Other (upstream)

Evaluation status
Not evaluated

Metric tonnes CO2e
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
NS did not evaluate any other upstream data.

Other (downstream)

Evaluation status
Not evaluated

Metric tonnes CO2e
<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology
<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners
<Not Applicable>

Please explain
NS did not evaluate any other downstream data.

C6.7

(C6.7) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?
Yes

C6.7a

(C6.7a) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CO2 emissions from biogenic carbon (metric tons CO2)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95,255.03</td>
<td>NS emissions from biofuels were 95,255.03 metric tons CO2e in 2019.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C6.10
Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Intensity figure
0.00044135

Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)
4985522

Metric denominator
unit total revenue

Metric denominator: Unit total
11296065000

Scope 2 figure used
Market-based

% change from previous year
1.35

Direction of change
Decreased

Reason for change
Norfolk Southern’s emissions intensity decreased from 2018 to 2019 due to our emissions reduction activities. NS is focused on increasing the efficiency of our operations. NS uses energy management systems. Our entire locomotive road fleet is set to be equipped with the energy management systems by the end of 2020. Through its progressive locomotive rebuilding program, NS developed a new class of low-emission Eco locomotives for rail yard service now used in Chicago, Pittsburgh, Atlanta, and Macon, GA. These public-private partnerships tap funds available to reduce transportation-related diesel emissions. NS is also implementing emissions reduction activities related to reducing locomotive idling. To reduce unnecessary locomotive idling in rail yards, NS developed the “Sleeper,” an innovative plug-in system that charges batteries and heats engines, allowing locomotives to be turned off in freezing weather. This idle-reduction technology enhances air quality in neighborhoods around rail yards and reduces emissions linked to climate change.

C-TS6.15

What are your primary intensity (activity-based) metrics that are appropriate to your emissions from transport activities in Scope 1, 2, and 3?

Rail

Scopes used for calculation of intensities
Report just Scope 1

Intensity figure
0.000025

Metric numerator: emissions in metric tons CO2e
4784047

Metric denominator: unit
t.mile

Metric denominator: unit total
194044925000

% change from previous year
3.9

Please explain any exclusions in your coverage of transport emissions in selected category, and reasons for change in emissions intensity.

This metric includes Scope 1 emissions from transportation and excludes Scope 2 emissions. This is the most appropriate indicator of emissions related to NS transport activities.

ALL

Scopes used for calculation of intensities
Report just Scope 1

Intensity figure
0.000025

Metric numerator: emissions in metric tons CO2e
4784047

Metric denominator: unit
t.mile

Metric denominator: unit total
194044925000

% change from previous year
4

Please explain any exclusions in your coverage of transport emissions in selected category, and reasons for change in emissions intensity.

This metric includes Scope 1 emissions from transportation and excludes Scope 2 emissions. This is the most appropriate indicator of emissions related to NS transport activities.
C7. Emissions breakdowns

C7.1

(C7.1) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Yes

C7.1a

(C7.1a) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used greenhouse warming potential (GWP).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Greenhouse gas</th>
<th>Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)</th>
<th>GWP Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>4742672</td>
<td>IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4</td>
<td>10132</td>
<td>IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2O</td>
<td>31244</td>
<td>IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C7.2

(C7.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by country/region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/Region</th>
<th>Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>4784047.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C7.3

(C7.3) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

By activity

C7.3c

(C7.3c) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transport services activities</td>
<td>4784047.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C-CE7.4/C-CH7.4/C-CO7.4/C-EU7.4/C-MM7.4/C-OG7.4/C-ST7.4/C-TO7.4/C-TS7.4

(C-CE7.4/C-CH7.4/C-CO7.4/C-EU7.4/C-MM7.4/C-OG7.4/C-ST7.4/C-TO7.4/C-TS7.4) Break down your organization’s total gross global Scope 1 emissions by sector production activity in metric tons CO2e.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Gross Scope 1 emissions, metric tons CO2e</th>
<th>Net Scope 1 emissions , metric tons CO2e</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cement production activities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals production activities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal production activities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric utility activities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals and mining production activities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and gas production activities (upstream)</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and gas production activities (midstream)</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and gas production activities (downstream)</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel production activities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport OEM activities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport services activities</td>
<td>4784047.47</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>All NS Scope 1 emissions can be attributed to transport services activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C7.5

(C7.5) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by country/region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/Region</th>
<th>Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)</th>
<th>Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)</th>
<th>Purchased and consumed electricity, heat, steam or cooling (MWh)</th>
<th>Purchased and consumed low-carbon electricity, heat, steam or cooling accounted for in Scope 2 market-based approach (MWh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>201474.37</td>
<td>201474.37</td>
<td>442386</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C7.6

(C7.6) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

By facility

C7.6b

(C7.6b) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business facility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)</th>
<th>Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>851.12</td>
<td>851.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>92.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>1765.2</td>
<td>1765.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, Pennsylvania</td>
<td>23275.07</td>
<td>23275.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>2443.42</td>
<td>2443.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana, Ohio, West Virginia</td>
<td>80065.41</td>
<td>80065.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>512.68</td>
<td>512.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois and Missouri</td>
<td>24992.78</td>
<td>24992.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama and Georgia</td>
<td>28485</td>
<td>28485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky, Mississippi, Tennessee</td>
<td>12938.69</td>
<td>12938.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, Pennsylvania</td>
<td>201474.37</td>
<td>201474.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia</td>
<td>26052.4</td>
<td>26052.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C-CE7.7/C-CH7.7/C-CO7.7/I-C-MM7.7/C-OG7.7/I-C-ST7.7/I-C-TO7.7/I-C-TS7.7

(C-CE7.7/C-CH7.7/C-CO7.7/I-C-MM7.7/C-OG7.7/I-C-ST7.7/I-C-TO7.7/I-C-TS7.7) Break down your organization’s total gross global Scope 2 emissions by sector production activity in metric tons CO2e.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Scope 2, location-based, metric tons CO2e</th>
<th>Scope 2, market-based (if applicable), metric tons CO2e</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cement production activities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals production activities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal production activities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals and mining production activities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and gas production activities (upstream)</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and gas production activities (midstream)</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and gas production activities (downstream)</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel production activities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport OEM activities</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport services activities</td>
<td>201474.37</td>
<td>201474.37</td>
<td>NS Scope 2 emissions for transport services activities in 2019 were 201,474.37 metric tons CO2e.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C7.9

(C7.9) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Decreased

C7.9a
(C7.9a) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)</th>
<th>Direction of change</th>
<th>Emissions value (percentage)</th>
<th>Please explain calculation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in renewable energy consumption</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other emissions reduction activities</td>
<td>134960</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divestment</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisitions</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mergers</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in output</td>
<td>9228</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in methodology</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in boundary</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in physical operating conditions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentified</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C7.9b

(C7.9b) Are your emissions performance calculations in C7.9 and C7.9a based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?  
Market-based

C8. Energy

C8.1

(C8.1) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?  
More than 10% but less than or equal to 15%

C8.2

(C8.2) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of purchased or acquired heat</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of purchased or acquired steam</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C8.2a
(C8.2a) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel Type</th>
<th>Heating value</th>
<th>MWh from renewable sources</th>
<th>MWh from non-renewable sources</th>
<th>Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)</td>
<td>HHV (higher heating value)</td>
<td>254983</td>
<td>18710760</td>
<td>18965743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>162398.5</td>
<td>279887.49</td>
<td>442385.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of purchased or acquired heat</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of purchased or acquired steam</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy consumption</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>417381.5</td>
<td>18990747.49</td>
<td>19408128.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C8.2b) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel Application</th>
<th>Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C8.2c) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Fuels (excluding feedstocks)

- **Diesel**
  - **Heating value**
    - HHV (higher heating value)
  - **Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization**
    - 17733951
  - **MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity**
    - <Not Applicable>
  - **MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat**
    - <Not Applicable>
  - **MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam**
    - <Not Applicable>
  - **MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling**
    - <Not Applicable>
  - **MWh fuel consumed for self-cogeneration or self-trigeneration**
    - <Not Applicable>
  - **Emission factor**
    - 10.15
  - **Unit**
    - kg CO2 per gallon
  - **Emissions factor source**
    - U.S. EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership.
  - **Comment**
    - NS consumed approximately 17,733,951 MWh of diesel fuel in the reporting year.

- **Fuel Oil Number 2**
  - **Heating value**
    - HHV (higher heating value)
  - **Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization**
    - 301920
  - **MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity**
    - <Not Applicable>
  - **MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat**
    - <Not Applicable>
  - **MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam**
    - <Not Applicable>
  - **MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling**
    - <Not Applicable>
  - **MWh fuel consumed for self-cogeneration or self-trigeneration**
    - <Not Applicable>
MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling
<Not Applicable>
MWh fuel consumed for self-cogeneration or self-trigeneration
<Not Applicable>
Emission factor
73.96
Unit
kg CO2 per million Btu
Emissions factor source
U.S. EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership.
Comment
NS consumed approximately 301,920 MWh of Fuel Oil Number 2 in the reporting year.

Fuels (excluding feedstocks)
Natural Gas
Heating value
HHV (higher heating value)
Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization
189764
MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity
<Not Applicable>
MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat
<Not Applicable>
MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam
<Not Applicable>
MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling
<Not Applicable>
MWh fuel consumed for self-cogeneration or self-trigeneration
<Not Applicable>
Emission factor
53.06
Unit
kg CO2 per million Btu
Emissions factor source
U.S. EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership.
Comment
NS consumed approximately 189,764 MWh of natural gas in the reporting year.

Fuels (excluding feedstocks)
Kerosene
Heating value
HHV (higher heating value)
Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization
11323
MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity
<Not Applicable>
MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat
<Not Applicable>
MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam
<Not Applicable>
MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling
<Not Applicable>
MWh fuel consumed for self-cogeneration or self-trigeneration
<Not Applicable>
Emission factor
75.2
Unit
kg CO2 per million Btu
Emissions factor source
U.S. EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership.
Comment
NS consumed approximately 11,323 MWh of kerosene in the reporting year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuels (excluding feedstocks)</th>
<th>Heating value [HHV (higher heating value)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Propane Liquid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization</td>
<td>73,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWh fuel consumed for self-cogeneration or self-trigeneration</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emission factor</td>
<td>62.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>kg CO2 per million Btu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions factor source</td>
<td>U.S. EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>NS consumed approximately 73,276 MWh of propane in the reporting year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuels (excluding feedstocks)</th>
<th>Heating value [HHV (higher heating value)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motor Gasoline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization</td>
<td>400,526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWh fuel consumed for self-cogeneration or self-trigeneration</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emission factor</td>
<td>70.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>kg CO2 per million Btu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions factor source</td>
<td>U.S. EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>NS consumed approximately 400,526 MWh of gasoline in the reporting year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuels (excluding feedstocks)</th>
<th>Heating value [HHV (higher heating value)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biodiesel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization</td>
<td>254,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling
<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-cogeneration or self-trigeneration
<Not Applicable>

Emission factor
73.84

Unit
kg CO2 per million Btu

Emissions factor source
U.S. EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership.

Comment
NS consumed approximately 254,983 MWh of biodiesel in the reporting year.

C8.2e

(C8.2e) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in C6.3.

Sourcing method
Other, please specify (Grid mix of renewable electricity)

Low-carbon technology type
Low-carbon energy mix

Country/region of consumption of low-carbon electricity, heat, steam or cooling
United States of America

MWh consumed accounted for at a zero emission factor
162398.5

Comment
NS purchased a grid mix of renewable energy that was accounted for at a low-carbon emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in C6.3.

C-TS8.2f

(C-TS8.2f) Provide details on the average emission factor used for all transport movements per mode that directly source energy from the grid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Emission factor unit</th>
<th>Average emission factor: unit value</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rail</td>
<td>gCO2/kWh</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Grid-sourced electricity does not provide motive power for Norfolk Southern transportation movements. All moves are diesel electric.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C-TS8.5

(C-TS8.5) Provide any efficiency metrics that are appropriate for your organization’s transport products and/or services.

Activity
Rail

Metric figure
0.002322

Metric numerator
Other, please specify (Gallons)

Metric denominator
Revenue-ton.mile

Metric numerator: Unit total
450600000

Metric denominator: Unit total
154044295000

% change from last year
2.1

Please explain
The increase in gallons per revenue ton mile is a negligible increase and not material in NS' overall emissions.

C9. Additional metrics
C9.1

(C9.1) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

C-TO9.3/C-TS9.3

(C-TO9.3/C-TS9.3) Provide tracking metrics for the implementation of low-carbon transport technology over the reporting year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Rail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>Fleet adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>Other, please specify (More fuel-efficient locomotives)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric figure</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric unit</td>
<td>Other, please specify (number of locomotives)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanation
Norfolk Southern is continuously upgrading our existing fleet. At our Juniata locomotive shop in Altoona, PA, Norfolk Southern regularly rebuilds locomotives into more efficient machines, benefiting both customers and the environment. In 2019, NS rebuilt 157 locomotives. NS is also leveraging our investment in positive train control (PTC) by integrating onboard locomotive energy management, train-handling systems into the safety-based PTC technology. The merging of these advanced technologies provides us with enhanced operational safety while giving us greater capabilities to improve locomotive fuel economy. NS deployed two types of onboard Energy Management (EM) systems – LEADER and Trip Optimizer. By mid-2019, NS outfitted approximately 1,300 road locomotives – about two-thirds of our road fleet – with EM technology integrated into PTC. In addition, our entire network is certified to operate trains equipped with Trip Optimizer or LEADER, meaning it has been mapped and is equipped with the required hardware and software that communicates with the train-handling technologies.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment in low-carbon R&amp;D</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C-TO9.6a/C-TS9.6a

(C-TO9.6a/C-TS9.6a) Provide details of your organization's investments in low-carbon R&D for transport-related activities over the last three years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Rail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technology area</td>
<td>Smart systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage of development in the reporting year</td>
<td>Applied research and development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average % of total R&amp;D investment over the last 3 years</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D investment figure in the reporting year (optional)</td>
<td>1000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>At NS, locomotive fuel efficiency is a top priority. Norfolk Southern's strategy to reduce locomotive diesel fuel use is multi-pronged and ever evolving as we evaluate new technologies and industry best practices. On average, trains are approximately three to four times more fuel efficient than trucks. NS builds upon this advantage and further reduced emissions through fuel management systems. In 2019, NS invested approximately $10 million in R&amp;D of these fuel management systems. NS made improvements in our onboard energy management technologies resulting in more efficient train handling. NS deployed two types of onboard energy management systems – LEADER and Trip Optimizer. These GPS-based systems identify the proper throttle position and dynamic braking setting to achieve optimal fuel efficiency based on factors such as track topography and train tonnage. The latest models have automated features similar to cruise control in automobiles, enabling the train to operate in an autopilot mode. NS also utilizes a fuel management system known as Horsepower Per Ton 2.0 (HPT). This operations tool conserves fuel by enabling train crews to match locomotive horsepower with operating requirements, such as train type, tonnage, and topography of track segments. In addition, NS expanded the use of our customized plug-in heater systems, known as the “Steeper,” that are installed in rail yards to eliminate engine idling. Locomotives can be shut down and plugged into the “Steeper,” which heats the engine and keeps the battery system charged. Through innovative public-private partnerships aimed at reducing transportation-related emissions in urban environments, NS installed &quot;Steper&quot; units at rail yards in Atlanta, Chicago, Kansas City, Missouri, and across Ohio. Additional installations are planned for 2020.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C10. Verification

C10.1

(C10.1) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Verification/assurance status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scope 1</td>
<td>Third-party verification or assurance process in place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)</td>
<td>Third-party verification or assurance process in place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope 3</td>
<td>Third-party verification or assurance process in place</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C10.1a

(C10.1a) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

- **Verification or assurance cycle in place**
  - Annual process
- **Status in the current reporting year**
  - Complete
- **Type of verification or assurance**
  - Limited assurance
- **Attach the statement**
  - 1 2020 KPMG Verification.pdf
- **Page/ section reference**
  - 1,2,4
- **Relevant standard**
  - Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)
- **Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)**
  - 100

C10.1b

(C10.1b) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

- **Scope 2 approach**
  - Scope 2 market-based
- **Verification or assurance cycle in place**
  - Annual process
- **Status in the current reporting year**
  - Complete
- **Type of verification or assurance**
  - Limited assurance
- **Attach the statement**
  - 1 2020 KPMG Verification.pdf
- **Page/ section reference**
  - 1,2,4
- **Relevant standard**
  - Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)
- **Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)**
  - 100

C10.1c
(C10.1c) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

**Scope 3 category**
Scope 3: Business travel

**Verification or assurance cycle in place**
Annual process

**Status in the current reporting year**
Complete

**Type of verification or assurance**
Limited assurance

**Attach the statement**
1
2020 KPMG Verification.pdf

**Page/section reference**
1, 2, 4

**Relevant standard**
Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

**Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)**
100

---

**Scope 3 category**
Scope 3: Upstream leased assets

**Verification or assurance cycle in place**
Annual process

**Status in the current reporting year**
Complete

**Type of verification or assurance**
Limited assurance

**Attach the statement**
1
2020 KPMG Verification.pdf

**Page/section reference**
1, 2, 4

**Relevant standard**
Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

**Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)**
100

---

(C10.2) Do you verify any climate-related information reported in your CDP disclosure other than the emissions figures reported in C6.1, C6.3, and C6.5?

No, but we are actively considering verifying within the next two years

---

C11. Carbon pricing

---

C11.1

(C11.1) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

No, but we anticipate being regulated in the next three years

---

C11.1d

(C11.1d) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?

NS's strategy for complying with systems we anticipate being regulated by includes emissions reductions strategies, efficiency upgrades, and generation of carbon credits. We anticipate being regulated in the next three years.
Has your organization originated or purchased any project-based carbon credits within the reporting period?  
No

Does your organization use an internal price on carbon?  
No, but we anticipate doing so in the next two years

Do you engage with your value chain on climate-related issues?  
Yes, our suppliers  
Yes, our customers

Provide details of your climate-related supplier engagement strategy.

Type of engagement
Information collection (understanding supplier behavior)

Details of engagement
Collect climate change and carbon information at least annually from suppliers

% of suppliers by number
0.7

% total procurement spend (direct and indirect)
36

% of supplier-related Scope 3 emissions as reported in C6.5
82

Rationale for the coverage of your engagement

NS is committed to being a responsible steward of the environment and helping to protect the communities where we operate and source materials. As part of that effort, NS seeks suppliers that demonstrate a commitment to sustainable business practices. To that end, Norfolk Southern has a sourcing sustainability statement. This statement lists six ways that companies can demonstrate sustainable projects and policies including: 1) documented corporate sustainability strategy, 2) plans and processes to reduce GHG emissions, 3) commitment to increase post-consumer recycled content, 4) documented reduction in landfill-bound waste, 5) documented minimization in packaging materials, and 6) demonstrated commitment to consolidate shipments. The NS sourcing statement says that, “Consideration will be given to suppliers who demonstrate a sustainability focus, including the above initiatives; who work to exceed their sustainability performance expectations; and who demonstrate transparency of their supply chain impacts through documentation, including country of origin.” The target suppliers are those with potential impact including wood tie treaters, rail mills, taxi crew-haul carriers, diesel fuel providers, intermodal facility operators, used cross-tie dispositions, ballast quarries, locomotives, and others. In total, NS has nearly 7,000 suppliers. Starting with a 2015 pilot, NS continues to survey key suppliers in its major supply chains on their sustainability efforts. The engagement is through email and a review of supplier website information regarding control and remediation efforts. Through supplier engagement, NS gains understanding of steps taken to mitigate environmental impact and to express NS interest in control and improvement. NS also supports a diverse supply chain, encouraging competition, adding resiliency, and making our business more sustainable.

Impact of engagement, including measures of success

For the 2019 CDP Report, Norfolk Southern sent outreach emails to the 11 largest suppliers asking them to complete a CDP survey. The suppliers were asked to identify the proportion of their greenhouse gas emissions that could be attributed to business conducted with Norfolk Southern. The measure of success for NS engagement is the number of suppliers who respond to the survey. Approximately 82 percent of the suppliers contacted completed the survey. This is an improvement compared to the rate of supplier response for the 2018 reporting year and showcases NS’ improved supplier engagement. Suppliers were asked to identify the proportion of their GHG emissions that they can attribute to business with Norfolk Southern. An impact on NS’ supplier engagement is the formation of partnerships. NS partners with suppliers on innovative business solutions that assist in efforts to reduce the environmental impacts of railroad operations.

Comment

The format and structure of data capture is in development. NS is exploring feasibility of more actively collecting measurements of emissions per relevant unit of measure, such as product or service or dollar of sales produced, and how they intend to further improve. The target for completion of the data capture plan is no later than October 31, 2021. Assessing impact of very large number of suppliers is complex; there is a high degree and variability of manufacturing and operating situations, domestic v foreign production, etc.
(C12.1b) Give details of your climate-related engagement strategy with your customers.

**Type of engagement**
Collaboration & innovation

**Details of engagement**
Run a campaign to encourage innovation to reduce climate change impacts

**% of customers by number**
10

**% of customer-related Scope 3 emissions as reported in C6.5**
0

**Portfolio coverage (total or outstanding)**
<Not Applicable>

Please explain the rationale for selecting this group of customers and scope of engagement
Norfolk Southern's stewardship of resources extends beyond its own business operations. The company also helps customers effectively manage their environmental and economic impacts in the community. As part of NS' strategic plan to transform the approach to business, in early 2019, the company formed two new departments – the Network Planning and Optimization Department and Customer Operations Department. These departments are focused on creating efficient operations, and a best-in-class service and customer experience across three areas of responsibility. One involves collaborating with customers and NS field transportation forces to optimize service and engagement. Another is ensuring that we have the terminals, equipment, and assets needed to provide consistent and reliable service today and in the future. The third is pursuing new technologies and practices that support continuous improvement in operations performance and service to meet evolving market demands. NS is committed to keeping customers fully informed as operations are transformed. The railroad conducts a customer forum including senior leadership, operating personnel, and account representatives to reduce transportation-related carbon emissions. For this initiative, NS contacted approximately 10 percent of its largest customers by spend. The rationale for selection within this group of customers is that these are the customers likely have the biggest impact. Norfolk Southern is committed to be an industry leader in environmental responsibility. Strong sustainability practices are good for the business, the economy, and the environment, and they benefit the company's people, the communities and customers we serve, and our stakeholders. NS subsidiaries share the railroad's commitment to provide efficient, productive, and sustainable freight transportation for customers. Trains are four times more fuel-efficient on average than trucks, reducing GHG emissions by 75 percent per ton-mile of freight.

Impact of engagement, including measures of success
In a multi-year effort, NS is improving the way it engages and communicates with customers. A goal of the 2019 strategic plan is to create a smarter, faster, and more responsive railroad to serve the evolving needs of our customers. This includes upgrading the e-commerce portal, AccessNS. The effort includes developing an enhanced and robust Customer Relationship Management (CRM) system and proactive communications tools that help customers meet their service priorities. One example of how NS engaged with its customers was by conducting interviews with customers in order to identify core sustainability issues. Through the Direct Intermodal Services, a logistics provider, and Triple Crown Services, subsidiaries of NS, a multimodal carrier, earned a place on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's first SmartWay High Performer list in 2018. The list recognizes shippers, carriers, and logistics service providers for supply chain efficiencies that help their customers reduce transportation-related greenhouse gas emissions and lower shipping costs. TDIS and TCS, who manage door-to-door freight transport services, rely heavily on rail-based solutions to reduce supply-chain emissions. In measuring success with NS customers through client interaction and further enhance efficiency, NS identifies opportunities for individual rail yards to gain more efficient operations.

---

C12.3

(C12.3) Do you engage in activities that could either directly or indirectly influence public policy on climate-related issues through any of the following?
Direct engagement with policy makers
Trade associations

---

C12.3a

(C12.3a) On what issues have you been engaging directly with policy makers?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus of legislation</th>
<th>Corporate position</th>
<th>Details of engagement</th>
<th>Proposed legislative solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy efficiency</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Freight railroads voluntarily invest millions of dollars each year into technologies supporting improved fuel efficiency metrics. Freight railroads remain significantly more fuel efficient than over-the-road trucking options. NS energy efficiency advocacy is coordinated through the Association of American Railroads.</td>
<td>Work towards government understanding of railroads improving fuel efficiency metrics and cautiously impose new requirements that may support the increased efficiency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean energy generation</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Freight railroads support a host of clean-energy industries, including ethanol. Ethanol, for example, is an important renewable fuel shipped by U.S. railroads. Because of its alcohol content, ethanol cannot move in oil pipelines, making railroads the chief mode of transport for this commodity. Railroads also transport components used in the wind, solar and nuclear industries.</td>
<td>Monitor legislative actions to reasonable regulations that protect the environment and enable freight railroads to effectively support clean energy industries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptation or resilience</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Freight railroads are focused on the resiliency of the national rail network. For hazardous weather conditions, freight railroads rehearse contingency plans throughout the year to ensure network fluidity regardless of weather conditions. Railroads also recognize the importance of protecting the environment for future generations, and continue to innovate new technologies, invest in new equipment and pioneer new ways of efficiently running our operations to help mitigate the effects of climate change.</td>
<td>Monitor and engage with regulators to enable freight railroads to continue to innovate new technologies and pioneer new ways of efficiently running operations to help mitigate the effects of climate change.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

C12.3b

(C12.3b) Are you on the board of any trade associations or do you provide funding beyond membership?
Yes

---

C12.3c
Enter the details of those trade associations that are likely to take a position on climate change legislation.

Trade association
Association of American Railroads

Is your position on climate change consistent with theirs?
Consistent

Please explain the trade association’s position
The Association of American Railroads (AAR) works with elected officials and leaders in Washington, D.C. to advance sound public policy that supports the interests of the freight rail industry to ensure it will continue to meet America’s transportation needs today and tomorrow. The AAR’s policy stance is that policymakers should embrace performance-based regulations, which allow railroads to innovate with the latest technologies. Some existing federal rules and non-transparent processes stifle innovation and growth throughout the freight rail industry. Freight railroads, like NS, invest millions of dollars each year into technologies to support improved fuel efficiency metrics. Freight railroads remain significantly more fuel efficient than over-the-road trucking options. NS energy efficiency advocacy is coordinated through the AAR.

Freight railroads, like NS, invest millions of dollars each year into technologies to support improved fuel efficiency metrics.

Freight railroads remain significantly more fuel efficient than over-the-road trucking options. NS energy efficiency advocacy is coordinated through the AAR.

How have you influenced, or are you attempting to influence their position?
Norfolk Southern consulted with the AAR regarding the trade association’s position on this issue and concurs with the current position.

C12.3f

What processes do you have in place to ensure that all of your direct and indirect activities that influence policy are consistent with your overall climate change strategy?

NS’ Government Relations team seeks to educate and inform public officials about issues important to NS’ business, and it supports public officials and candidates whose views match those of Norfolk Southern. By doing so, Norfolk Southern furthers public policy goals that are consistent with its business, values, and strategies.

To advocate our position, the corporation relies on government relations professionals, assisted as needed by subject matter experts. Norfolk Southern’s adopted corporate procedure states that only authorized employees and contract lobbyists may engage in lobbying activities, as defined by the appropriate jurisdiction, on behalf of the corporation. In addition, the procedure requires a corporation employee who has engaged in lobbying on behalf of the corporation to report the time spent on such lobbying, and any associated expenses, immediately following the close of the calendar quarter in which such lobbying occurred. The procedure further requires that persons who engage in lobbying on behalf of the corporation comply with all applicable legal requirements.

NS continues to have ongoing dialogue with regulators and policymakers. As part of its oversight role, the Governance and Nominating Committee of the corporation’s Board of Directors reviews, at least annually, the corporation’s political contributions, including spending related to trade associations and other tax-exempt organizations so that all of NS’ direct and indirect activities that influence policy are consistent with the company’s overall strategies.

C12.4
(C12.4) Have you published information about your organization’s response to climate change and GHG emissions performance for this reporting year in places other than in your CDP response? If so, please attach the publication(s).

**Publication**
- In mainstream reports

**Status**
- Complete

**Attach the document**
- annual-report-2019.pdf

**Page/Section reference**
- Page 4 & 5 - Precision railroading Restructuring for efficiency and resilience Page K11 - Climate change risk factors Page K13 - Risks from severe weather conditions Page K24 - Fuel consumption Page K25 - Fuel consumption

**Content elements**
- Governance
- Strategy
- Risks & opportunities
- Other metrics

**Comment**
- NS published information about our organization’s response to climate change and GHG emissions performance for this reporting year in our 2019 Annual Report.

---

(C15.1) Provide details for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP climate change response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Job title</th>
<th>Corresponding job category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1</td>
<td>Executive Vice President/Chief Transformation Officer</td>
<td>Other C-Suite Officer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

(C-FI) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization’s response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

(C-FI) Signoff

---

SC. Supply chain module

---

SC0.0
(SC0.1) If you would like to do so, please provide a separate introduction to this module.

SC0.1

(SC0.1) What is your company's annual revenue for the stated reporting period?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row 1</th>
<th>Annual Revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11296065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SC0.2

(SC0.2) Do you have an ISIN for your company that you would be willing to share with CDP?

Yes

SC0.2a

(SC0.2a) Please use the table below to share your ISIN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISIN country code (2 letters)</th>
<th>ISIN numeric identifier and single check digit (10 numbers overall)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1</td>
<td>US 6558441084</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SC1.1

(SC1.1) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.

SC1.2

(SC1.2) Where published information has been used in completing SC1.1, please provide a reference(s).

SC1.3

(SC1.3) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allocation challenges</th>
<th>Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other: (Please specify)</td>
<td>We would need a more robust car tracking system connected to the emissions factors for each commodity. A more robust car tracking system is a project that is NS began working on starting in 2019. NS is developing a more robust car tracking systems to calculate gross ton miles at the car level. This can be used to measure emissions for customers' shipments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SC1.4

(SC1.4) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

Yes

SC1.4a

(SC1.4a) Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities.

We hope to, over time, develop the capacity to segment our business and determine the different fuel efficiencies of our commodities.

SC2.1

(SC2.1) Please propose any mutually beneficial climate-related projects you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.
SC2.2

(SC2.2) Have requests or initiatives by CDP Supply Chain members prompted your organization to take organizational-level emissions reduction initiatives?

No

SC3.1

(SC3.1) Do you want to enroll in the 2020-2021 CDP Action Exchange initiative?

Yes

SC3.1a

(SC3.1a) Identify which member(s), if any, have motivated you to take part in Action Exchange this year.

Ford Motor Company
General Motors Company

SC3.1b

(SC3.1b) Select the types of emissions reduction activities that your company would like support in analyzing or in implementing in the next reporting year.

- Company policy or behavioral change
- Energy efficiency in buildings
- Energy efficiency in production processes
- Low-carbon energy consumption
- Transportation
- Waste reduction and material circularity

SC3.1c

(SC3.1c) As part of Action Exchange, would you like facility level analysis?

No

SC3.2

(SC3.2) Is your company a participating supplier in CDP’s 2019-2020 Action Exchange initiative?

Yes

SC3.2a

(SC3.2a) Describe how your company actively considered emissions reduction projects as a result of Action Exchange. If you do not have any emissions reduction activities resulting from Action Exchange at any stage of implementation, please explain why not in the second column.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of project</th>
<th>Details of proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1</td>
<td>Please select</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SC4.1

(SC4.1) Are you providing product level data for your organization’s goods or services?

No, I am not providing data

Submit your response

In which language are you submitting your response?

English
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I am submitting to</th>
<th>Public or Non-Public Submission</th>
<th>Are you ready to submit the additional Supply Chain Questions?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investors</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>Yes, submit Supply Chain Questions now</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please confirm below
I have read and accept the applicable Terms